

EXHIBIT H

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

3
4 MDL NO. 16-2738(MAS)(RLS)5
6 IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM)

7 POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING,) DEPOSITION OF:

8 SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS) SHU-CHUN SU

9 LIABILITY LITIGATION,)

10)

11)
12
13
14
15
16
1718 TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of
19 the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as
20 taken by and before SANDRA A. ROBERTSON, a Certified
21 Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New
22 Jersey, held at THE HELDRICH HOTEL 10 Livingston
23 Avenue, New Brunswick, New Jersey, on July 11, 2024,
24 commencing at 9:13 a.m.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES:</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 DEAN OMAR BRANHAM SHIRLEY, LLP</p> <p>4 BY: BENJAMIN BRALY, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 302 N. Market Street</p> <p>6 Suite 300</p> <p>7 Dallas, Texas 75202</p> <p>8 (214) 722-5990</p> <p>9 bbraly@dobslegal.com</p> <p>10 Attorneys for the Plaintiff Kayme Clark</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 COHEN PLACITELLA ROTH, PC</p> <p>14 BY: CHRISTOPHER M. PLACITELLA, ESQUIRE</p> <p>15 127 Maple Avenue</p> <p>16 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701</p> <p>17 (888) 219-3599</p> <p>18 cplacitella@cprlaw.com</p> <p>19 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs</p> <p>20</p> <p>21 KING & SPALDING, LLP</p> <p>22 BY: KEVIN HYNES, ESQUIRE</p> <p>23 JOHN EWALD, ESQUIRE</p> <p>24 1185 Avenue of the Americas</p> <p>34th Floor</p> <p>New York, New York 10036</p> <p>(212) 556-2100</p> <p>khynes@kslaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for the Defendant Johnson & Johnson</p> <p>McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP</p> <p>BY: JOHN C. GARDE, ESQUIRE</p> <p>Four Gateway Center</p> <p>100 Mulberry Street</p> <p>Newark, New Jersey 07102-4056</p> <p>(973) 622-4444</p> <p>jgarde@mccarter.com</p> <p>Attorneys for the Defendant Johnson & Johnson</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p>1 INDEX</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 WITNESS: PAGE:</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 SHU-CHUN SU, PhD</p> <p>6 By MR. BRALY 7</p> <p>7</p> <p>8 *****</p> <p>9 EXHIBITS:</p> <p>10</p> <p>11 1 Clark Third Amended Notice of Deposition 23</p> <p>12 2 PSC 2nd Amended Deposition Notice of 23</p> <p>13 Shu-Chun Su</p> <p>14 3 Report dated May 21, 2024 23</p> <p>15 4 Talc MisidentifiedAs Chrysotile, a Review of 24</p> <p>16 MSS 71134 and 71376 Talc Analysis of Gold</p> <p>17 Bond Medicated Powder dated January 30, 2022</p> <p>18 5 2006 Accreditation Sheet Or Report For 26</p> <p>19 Material Analytical Services</p> <p>20 6 Series of Emails 34</p> <p>21 7 Pittsburgh Work Plan 40</p> <p>22 8 Two Emails 42</p> <p>23 9 Summary of Invoices 53</p> <p>24</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p> <p>1 REMOTE</p> <p>2 APPEARANCES:</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, PC</p> <p>5 BY: P. LEIGH O'DELL, ESQUIRE</p> <p>6 MARGARET THOMPSON, ESQUIRE</p> <p>7 218 Commerce Street</p> <p>8 Montgomery, Alabama 36104</p> <p>9 (334) 269-2343</p> <p>leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com</p> <p>Co-lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs</p> <p>ASHCRAFT & GEREL</p> <p>BY: MICHELLE A. PARFITT, ESQUIRE</p> <p>8280 Willow Oaks Corporate Drive</p> <p>Suite 600</p> <p>Fairfax, Virginia 22031</p> <p>(703) 931-5500</p> <p>mparfitt@ashcraft.com</p> <p>Attorneys for the Plaintiffs</p> <p>REILLY MCDEVITT & HENRICH, PC</p> <p>BY: KEVIN KOTCH, ESQUIRE</p> <p>3 Executive Campus</p> <p>Suite 310</p> <p>Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002</p> <p>(856) 317-7180</p> <p>kkotch@rmh-law.com</p> <p>Attorneys for the Defendant Personal Care</p> <p>Products Council</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p> <p>1 *****</p> <p>2 EXHIBITS:</p> <p>3 10 Collection of Correspondence between Su and</p> <p>4 Dr. Wylie 63</p> <p>5 11 DRIMMC Asb RI Conversion Tables 34 pages</p> <p>6 2022 67</p> <p>7 12 Cargille Asb RI Conversion Tables 34 pages 67</p> <p>8 2022</p> <p>9 13 The Dispersion Staining Technique and Its 68</p> <p>10 Application to Measure Refractive Indices of</p> <p>11 Nonopaque Materials With Emphasis on</p> <p>12 Asbestos Analysis</p> <p>13 14 1993 EPA R-93 600 Test Method 70</p> <p>14 15 Collection of Containers Sent to Su 72</p> <p>15 16 Optical Mineralogy Six Pages 76</p> <p>16 17 Optical Mineralogy 12 Pages 79</p> <p>17 18 Sample CDCS 1.550 with SG-210 Alpha 94</p> <p>18 19 Sample CDCS 1.550 with SG-210 Gamma 97</p> <p>19 20 Micrometer 3183377 at Focus 1.550 Talc 103</p> <p>20 Particle</p> <p>21 21 Micrometer 318337 CSDS 1.550 Talc Particle 104</p> <p>22 Gamma</p> <p>23 22 3183377 With SG210 chrysotile in 1.560 Alpha 109</p> <p>24 23 3183377 With SG210 Chrysotile in 1.560 Gamma 110</p>

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 *****</p> <p>2 EXHIBITS:</p> <p>3 24 Particle 1 M2001 1.250 Gamma 117</p> <p>4 25 Particle 2 M2001 1.250 Gamma 117</p> <p>5 26 Particle 3 M2001 1.250 Gamma 117</p> <p>6 27 Particle 1 M2001 1.550 CSDS Alpha 120</p> <p>7 28 Particle 3 M2001 1.550 CSDS Alpha 122</p> <p>8 30 Image 1.55 Glass CSDS 1.550 157</p> <p>9 31 William Longo's Report dated October 9, 2023 171</p> <p>10 32 Section 5 of Report dated October 9, 2023 171</p> <p>11 33 PLM Analysis of Talc/Chrysotile Bundle 182</p> <p>12 Intergrowths</p> <p>13</p> <p>14 *****</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p>1 This might take a while so feel free to ask for 09:14:18</p> <p>2 breaks. 09:14:21</p> <p>3 A. Okay. 09:14:21</p> <p>4 Q. Given that English is not your first 09:14:21</p> <p>5 language -- 09:14:26</p> <p>6 A. No. 09:14:27</p> <p>7 Q. -- if there is anything challenging 09:14:28</p> <p>8 about what I am asking, please don't hesitate to 09:14:30</p> <p>9 utilize the interpreter. If you don't understand 09:14:33</p> <p>10 something, please ask me to -- 09:14:36</p> <p>11 A. Thanks for understanding. 09:14:38</p> <p>12 Q. I can ask terrible questions. Mr. 09:14:39</p> <p>13 Hynes knows that. 09:14:42</p> <p>14 You -- how old are you today? 09:14:44</p> <p>15 A. I'm -- I will be 84 the end of the 09:14:47</p> <p>16 year by November. 09:14:55</p> <p>17 Q. You're joking? 09:14:56</p> <p>18 A. I was born in 1940, November. 09:14:57</p> <p>19 Q. Wow. You look great. 09:15:00</p> <p>20 A. Thank you. Thank you. 09:15:03</p> <p>21 MR. PLACITELLA: 84 I ask what you're 09:15:07</p> <p>22 doing here. 09:15:09</p> <p>23 Q. You what born in China, correct? 09:15:10</p> <p>24 A. Yes. 09:15:12</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 YING SHI, Mandarin Interpreter,</p> <p>2 after having been duly sworn to interpret when</p> <p>3 requested.</p> <p>4 SHU-CHUN SU,</p> <p>5 after having been duly sworn, testified in English</p> <p>6 as follows:</p> <p>7 EXAMINATION</p> <p>8 BY MR. BRALY:</p> <p>9 Q. Good morning, Dr. Su. 09:13:43</p> <p>10 A. Good morning. 09:13:44</p> <p>11 Q. It's nice to meet you. 09:13:45</p> <p>12 A. Nice to meet you too. Last time we 09:13:48</p> <p>13 chatted was last May. 09:13:50</p> <p>14 Q. Right. You know, I've had a chance 09:13:53</p> <p>15 to read your publications to kind of study your 09:13:55</p> <p>16 career a little bit, and I know that you've done a 09:13:59</p> <p>17 lot for the microscopy community and for the science 09:14:04</p> <p>18 community. I appreciate your spending the time to 09:14:06</p> <p>19 be here with us. 09:14:09</p> <p>20 A. Thank you. 09:14:11</p> <p>21 Q. Have you ever given a deposition 09:14:11</p> <p>22 before? 09:14:13</p> <p>23 A. Never. 09:14:13</p> <p>24 Q. I have a lot of ground to cover. 09:14:13</p>	<p>1 Q. Where regionally in China? 09:15:12</p> <p>2 A. In the southwest. 09:15:15</p> <p>3 Q. Southwest? 09:15:17</p> <p>4 A. Southwest. The area called Chongqing. 09:15:18</p> <p>5 It's a Sichuan province, used to be but later that 09:15:22</p> <p>6 city was I think changed into the direct city under 09:15:26</p> <p>7 central government which elevate status to like a 09:15:33</p> <p>8 province like a state. 09:15:38</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. You went to college in China 09:15:40</p> <p>10 originally, correct? 09:15:46</p> <p>11 A. Yes. 09:15:47</p> <p>12 Q. I saw a reference to postgraduate 09:15:48</p> <p>13 work done at the University of Moscow. Is that 09:15:54</p> <p>14 the -- 09:15:58</p> <p>15 A. No, no. What I meant here, you see I 09:15:58</p> <p>16 went to college in 1957, so at that time, China and 09:16:03</p> <p>17 Russia still in honeymoon, but later they broke from 09:16:11</p> <p>18 each other. So at that time, the Peking University 09:16:15</p> <p>19 which attended was consider the premium university 09:16:21</p> <p>20 in China. So the government says since the Moscow 09:16:25</p> <p>21 University science program, they are six-year 09:16:32</p> <p>22 instead of a four year, we should follow that. 09:16:38</p> <p>23 Therefore, my undergrad program it take six years 09:16:43</p> <p>24 although the degree is only a bachelor. 09:16:47</p>

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 Q. I understand. I was asking because 09:16:50</p> <p>2 the University of Idaho is in Moscow, Idaho. 09:16:54</p> <p>3 A. That's right. 09:17:00</p> <p>4 Q. So I didn't know if you had ever 09:17:03</p> <p>5 attended school at Moscow Idaho, which is -- 09:17:05</p> <p>6 A. I'm sorry. I thought Moscow in 09:17:09</p> <p>7 Russia. 09:17:13</p> <p>8 Q. Well, I was looking to clarify that. 09:17:13</p> <p>9 A. Okay. 09:17:17</p> <p>10 Q. I appreciate it. All right. When 09:17:17</p> <p>11 did you -- when did you come to the United States 09:17:33</p> <p>12 for the first time? 09:17:36</p> <p>13 A. 1981. 09:17:38</p> <p>14 Q. 1981? 09:17:40</p> <p>15 A. Summer. 09:17:41</p> <p>16 Q. This was after you earned your 09:17:42</p> <p>17 master's in science in mineralogy at The Institute 09:17:45</p> <p>18 of Geology Sand Geophysics at the Chinese Academy of 09:17:49</p> <p>19 Sciences? 09:17:53</p> <p>20 A. Yes. 09:17:53</p> <p>21 Q. All right. In 1981, did you -- is 09:17:54</p> <p>22 that when you started working with Professor Donald 09:18:00</p> <p>23 Bloss and Paul Ribbe? 09:18:04</p> <p>24 A. Yes. 09:18:05</p>	<p>1 A. Bear, Delaware. 09:19:43</p> <p>2 (Reporter asks for clarification.) 09:19:43</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: B-e-a-r, that's next to 09:19:45</p> <p>4 Newark. 09:19:49</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. I didn't know there was a 09:19:49</p> <p>6 Newark, Delaware, so Bear is completely new to me. 09:19:51</p> <p>7 A. Yes. 09:19:58</p> <p>8 Q. Do you live in Delaware? 09:19:59</p> <p>9 A. Yes. 09:20:00</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. This sounds like a trap 09:20:01</p> <p>11 question or something. It's not, I promise you. I 09:20:09</p> <p>12 am curious. 09:20:12</p> <p>13 What is your immigration status? 09:20:12</p> <p>14 A. I'm an American citizen. 09:20:14</p> <p>15 Q. You are, okay. When did you get 09:20:16</p> <p>16 naturalized as an American citizen? 09:20:19</p> <p>17 A. I guess after work at Hercules. I 09:20:21</p> <p>18 start working for Hercules in 1987, but at that time 09:20:24</p> <p>19 I was still -- I was at a green card. However, my 09:20:30</p> <p>20 job, I have to travel. Hercules multination 09:20:36</p> <p>21 company. We have about six, 70 plus facilities in 09:20:42</p> <p>22 Europe, so I had to travel to Europe. The company 09:20:49</p> <p>23 said we can do the visa for you. However, it takes 09:20:54</p> <p>24 time. However, you already here for so many years, 09:21:01</p>
Page 11	Page 13
<p>1 Q. Okay. That was at -- 09:18:06</p> <p>2 A. Actually 1981, because it was the 09:18:07</p> <p>3 sabbatical year of Donald Bloss, so actually he got 09:18:13</p> <p>4 the chair professor in University of New Mexico in 09:18:19</p> <p>5 Albuquerque. So I joined him directly first in 09:18:24</p> <p>6 Albuquerque during his sabbatical. Then the next 09:18:30</p> <p>7 year we move back to Virginia Tech. 09:18:35</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. You completed your PhD program 09:18:38</p> <p>9 in geology and mineralogy in 1985? 09:18:45</p> <p>10 A. Actually, it was in '84. However, I 09:18:49</p> <p>11 attended the '85 graduation, the commencement, yeah. 09:18:54</p> <p>12 Q. You did your postdoctoral research... 09:19:01</p> <p>13 A. After my PhD. 09:19:10</p> <p>14 Q. I understand. Okay. Tell me about 09:19:11</p> <p>15 the -- do you have a lab in Delaware? Am I 09:19:17</p> <p>16 understanding this correctly? 09:19:21</p> <p>17 A. Now? 09:19:23</p> <p>18 Q. Yes. 09:19:23</p> <p>19 A. I have simple equipment, polarized 09:19:25</p> <p>20 light microscope at home. Okay. 09:19:30</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. 09:19:32</p> <p>22 A. But it's not a lab. You can look at 09:19:33</p> <p>23 the same slides or things like that. 09:19:38</p> <p>24 Q. Is that in Newark, Delaware or in -- 09:19:40</p>	<p>1 you're eligible to be naturalized. Then it makes 09:21:07</p> <p>2 your travel to work easier. So then, I was 09:21:13</p> <p>3 naturalized in 1995. In, let me see, eight years 09:21:17</p> <p>4 after I work for Hercules. 09:21:26</p> <p>5 Q. Do you -- you have a series of 09:21:31</p> <p>6 publications going back. I think the earliest one 09:21:51</p> <p>7 that I have is an article that you wrote or coauthor 09:21:55</p> <p>8 on with Professor Bloss and Mickey Gunter from 1983 09:22:02</p> <p>9 called "Gladstone-Dale Constants; a New Approach." 09:22:09</p> <p>10 A. Mm-hmm, yes. 09:22:15</p> <p>11 Q. You're familiar with this article? 09:22:16</p> <p>12 A. Yeah. 09:22:17</p> <p>13 Q. I don't need to mark this article. 09:22:18</p> <p>14 I'm just -- is this the first time that your name 09:22:21</p> <p>15 appeared as an author in the peer-reviewed 09:22:24</p> <p>16 literature? 09:22:26</p> <p>17 A. I think earlier than that, because I 09:22:27</p> <p>18 listed only articles related to the polarized light 09:22:31</p> <p>19 microscopy optical property of minerals. However, 09:22:40</p> <p>20 even when I was in China I published in 09:22:45</p> <p>21 peer-reviewed articles. 09:22:49</p> <p>22 Q. Let me come back to you. We need to 09:22:50</p> <p>23 clarify something for her. 09:22:52</p> <p>24 (Reporter asks for clarification.) 09:22:52</p>

Page 14	Page 16
<p>1 THE WITNESS: Light microscopy. 09:22:57</p> <p>2 Q. We did a search for articles that you 09:23:02</p> <p>3 had authored. I think was the earliest one that we 09:23:08</p> <p>4 pulled up. 09:23:11</p> <p>5 Did you publish articles in China 09:23:12</p> <p>6 before coming to the United States? 09:23:15</p> <p>7 A. That's right. 09:23:16</p> <p>8 Q. Had you published anything related to 09:23:17</p> <p>9 polarized light microscopy in China before coming to 09:23:20</p> <p>10 the United States? 09:23:25</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I did. 09:23:25</p> <p>12 Q. You did. Before I ask you about 09:23:27</p> <p>13 that, when did you meet Mickey Gunter? 09:23:33</p> <p>14 A. 1981, when I arrived at Albuquerque. 09:23:37</p> <p>15 Because he was in Albuquerque as well with Doug 09:23:42</p> <p>16 Bloss. That's the first time we met. 09:23:50</p> <p>17 Q. In Albuquerque that was part of the 09:23:52</p> <p>18 graduate program? 09:23:56</p> <p>19 A. Yes, my PhD program. 09:23:56</p> <p>20 Q. You and Mickey Gunter, I mean, to 09:24:00</p> <p>21 this day you guys have a professional friendship. 09:24:07</p> <p>22 You guys have been friends for a long time? 09:24:10</p> <p>23 A. Yeah. 09:24:13</p> <p>24 Q. I have to ask you this: Stories get 09:24:13</p>	<p>1 You were there for his testimony -- quite 09:25:43</p> <p>2 well-respected with the polarized light microscopy. 09:25:48</p> <p>3 How did you get involved in that specific field? 09:25:51</p> <p>4 What drew you to it? 09:25:54</p> <p>5 A. Polarized light microscopy? 09:25:56</p> <p>6 Q. Right. 09:26:00</p> <p>7 A. My first job in China after I 09:26:01</p> <p>8 graduate from the college, I got a job in northwest 09:26:03</p> <p>9 China, which is a geological survey of Gansu 09:26:12</p> <p>10 Province. So the structure in China geology is you 09:26:16</p> <p>11 have a ministry of geology, then have geological 09:26:20</p> <p>12 survey in every province. Now, at that time I was 09:26:24</p> <p>13 working at central lab of the geological survey of 09:26:30</p> <p>14 Gansu Province. The mission of that lab I was in a 09:26:40</p> <p>15 group called rock and mineral identification. China 09:26:43</p> <p>16 at that time, they are still doing the grunt 09:26:50</p> <p>17 geological work, which is the geological mapping. 09:26:55</p> <p>18 The Gansu is a very large province. 09:26:59</p> <p>19 The field geologists, when they do the mapping, they 09:27:04</p> <p>20 collect samples on a grid, like every kilometer or 09:27:09</p> <p>21 every 500 meters. So they put a grid, they collect 09:27:15</p> <p>22 samples, rocks, minerals. So they sent us samples 09:27:22</p> <p>23 to our lab for us to identify. The polarized light 09:27:27</p> <p>24 microscope is the instrument. 09:27:34</p>
Page 15	Page 17
<p>1 told and everything. I have heard that when you 09:24:20</p> <p>2 guys were in your graduate program that you lived in 09:24:24</p> <p>3 the same building as each other. 09:24:28</p> <p>4 A. That is not true. 09:24:30</p> <p>5 Q. That is not true. Okay. 09:24:30</p> <p>6 A. You see Mickey was married. 09:24:32</p> <p>7 Q. Yeah. 09:24:34</p> <p>8 A. So we lived in different apartment, 09:24:35</p> <p>9 okay. And after he come back from Albuquerque, and 09:24:42</p> <p>10 she [sic] and his wife, they rent a home, but I only 09:24:50</p> <p>11 rented apartment in apartment complex. So then we 09:24:56</p> <p>12 have never been roommate. I noticed something like 09:25:02</p> <p>13 Dr. Longo said we were roommate. No. We were 09:25:06</p> <p>14 office mate. That is inaccurate. And also school 09:25:10</p> <p>15 mate. Okay. 09:25:14</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Well, we can correct that 09:25:15</p> <p>17 rumor then. 09:25:19</p> <p>18 A. Yeah. Thanks. 09:25:21</p> <p>19 Q. You guys did work together. You guys 09:25:22</p> <p>20 did your school work together. You were friends. 09:25:24</p> <p>21 A. That's right. 09:25:29</p> <p>22 Q. And you're still friends? 09:25:29</p> <p>23 A. Right. 09:25:32</p> <p>24 Q. You are -- Dr. Longo has said this. 09:25:34</p>	<p>1 So the rock, we ground the rock. 09:27:37</p> <p>2 There is a lot for to prepare the samples for us. 09:27:40</p> <p>3 You grind the rock, cut in small piece, grind that 09:27:45</p> <p>4 to 30 microns thick in uniform, and then cover with 09:27:49</p> <p>5 cover glass with glue. Then you put this on the 09:27:55</p> <p>6 polarized light microscope. Then you identify 09:27:59</p> <p>7 whether it's quartz, it's feldspar, it's muscovite. 09:28:05</p> <p>8 Anyway, those so-called rock-forming minerals. Of 09:28:13</p> <p>9 course, the ultra basic rock is not uncommon now, 09:28:18</p> <p>10 which contains serpentine and chrysotile -- 09:28:21</p> <p>11 (Reporter asks for clarification.) 09:28:21</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: It's a mineral name, 09:28:35</p> <p>13 chrysotile, c-h-r-y-s-o-t-y-l-e. 09:28:35</p> <p>14 MR. HYNES: I-I-e. 09:28:42</p> <p>15 A. So, therefore, as I said, I start to 09:28:43</p> <p>16 use the polarized light microscopy to identify 09:28:46</p> <p>17 rock-forming minerals in 1964. Actually, I've been 09:28:53</p> <p>18 doing that in China for maybe more than ten years. 09:29:05</p> <p>19 Yeah. 09:29:09</p> <p>20 Q. What we are going to be discussing 09:29:13</p> <p>21 today has to do with a process referred to as 09:29:16</p> <p>22 central stop dispersion staining, which am I correct 09:29:20</p> <p>23 that this methodology is something that you 09:29:27</p> <p>24 developed? 09:29:31</p>

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 A. No. Central stop dispersion staining 09:29:32</p> <p>2 was invented by Russia mineralogist in 1930s. It 09:29:37</p> <p>3 was I think introduced to China probably 1950s. 09:29:47</p> <p>4 Okay. And also to the United States I think Dr. 09:29:54</p> <p>5 McCrone was the pioneer to introduce that method. 09:29:59</p> <p>6 Q. We'll talk about it in more detail 09:30:06</p> <p>7 later. 09:30:09</p> <p>8 A. Okay. 09:30:10</p> <p>9 Q. But the -- it's called central stop 09:30:10</p> <p>10 because there is actually a block that blocks the 09:30:13</p> <p>11 light that's in the central part of the aperture 09:30:17</p> <p>12 that allows polarized light to travel around that 09:30:22</p> <p>13 central block. 09:30:24</p> <p>14 A. Actually, I actually brought today an 09:30:25</p> <p>15 objective central stop, the McCrone. 09:30:31</p> <p>16 Q. Great. 09:30:34</p> <p>17 A. Yes. There's a small metal disc that 09:30:36</p> <p>18 the diameter are usually 2 to 3 millimeter in size, 09:30:44</p> <p>19 a circle metal disc at a back focal plane of the 09:30:48</p> <p>20 objective. Yeah. That is called central stop. 09:30:53</p> <p>21 Q. And the purpose of this is to prevent 09:30:56</p> <p>22 light from passing directly through -- 09:30:59</p> <p>23 A. To block the batching wavelengths. 09:31:03</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. 09:31:07</p>	<p>1 Q. So you have the Pumpkin Book and you 09:33:09</p> <p>2 have the Green Book? 09:33:11</p> <p>3 A. That's right. 09:33:11</p> <p>4 Q. All right. 09:33:11</p> <p>5 A. After they come to the states to do 09:33:13</p> <p>6 PhD with Doc Bloss, Professor... Pumpkin Book 09:33:15</p> <p>7 author. 09:33:30</p> <p>8 Q. Morse? 09:33:30</p> <p>9 A. Morse, he was revising his book. It 09:33:32</p> <p>10 happened the publisher sent his book for me to 09:33:39</p> <p>11 review. Okay. And when I was reviewing his book, I 09:33:43</p> <p>12 found there's a part of his textbook, how do you 09:33:53</p> <p>13 calculate the refract [ph] index from the dispersion 09:34:00</p> <p>14 staining color. It's very cumbersome. It's a lot 09:34:06</p> <p>15 mathematics. Actually so I was actually friends 09:34:11</p> <p>16 with Professor Morse, so we talk about. I said this 09:34:18</p> <p>17 shouldn't be that complicated. Okay. 09:34:26</p> <p>18 Professor Morse used a calculation 09:34:32</p> <p>19 and Dr. McCrone used a graphic solution. You plot 09:34:37</p> <p>20 the dispersion curve of the liquid, and also you 09:34:43</p> <p>21 plot its dispersion curve of whether it's chrysotile 09:34:50</p> <p>22 or amosite, whatever. You plot this curve. You 09:34:55</p> <p>23 find the intersection where it's so-called matching 09:35:01</p> <p>24 wavelengths. Then you graphically solve the refract 09:35:04</p>
Page 19	Page 21
<p>1 A. Between the liquid and the solid. 09:31:08</p> <p>2 Q. Cool. We'll get more technical about 09:31:13</p> <p>3 that later. 09:31:16</p> <p>4 A. Okay. 09:31:17</p> <p>5 Q. There's been something that's been 09:31:18</p> <p>6 referred to as the "Su Method" of dispersion 09:31:35</p> <p>7 staining for the identification of chrysotile, maybe 09:31:41</p> <p>8 not for chrysotile but for asbestos in samples. 09:31:49</p> <p>9 What is your understanding of what the "Su Method" 09:31:54</p> <p>10 is and how does this distinguish from normal central 09:31:59</p> <p>11 stop dispersion staining process? 09:32:05</p> <p>12 MR. HYNES: Form. 09:32:11</p> <p>13 You can answer. 09:32:11</p> <p>14 A. The Su Method, actually, that was 09:32:12</p> <p>15 named by a professor I think in Amherst University, 09:32:15</p> <p>16 a university in Massachusetts, Stoiber and Morse, 09:32:30</p> <p>17 Professor Morse. Because he is a very famous, like, 09:32:32</p> <p>18 mineralogist. When he wrote -- his textbook as been 09:32:38</p> <p>19 widely used in the geology department. They called 09:32:46</p> <p>20 it Pumpkin Book because the book is pumpkin color 09:32:51</p> <p>21 Dr. Green is Green Book. Professor... 09:33:01</p> <p>22 Q. Morse? 09:33:04</p> <p>23 A. Morse book people call it Pumpkin 09:33:05</p> <p>24 Book. 09:33:08</p>	<p>1 index for the 589 nanometer wavelengths because that 09:35:11</p> <p>2 is the standard wavelengths used to describe 09:35:17</p> <p>3 material refract index. 09:35:23</p> <p>4 MR. PLACITELLA: Would it make sense 09:35:39</p> <p>5 for the two of you to switch? 09:35:41</p> <p>6 A. Actually, now, that's why I develop 09:35:53</p> <p>7 the so-called equation a simple equation to go from 09:36:00</p> <p>8 the dispersion coefficient of the liquid which is 09:36:07</p> <p>9 listed on the bottle of the liquid. 09:36:14</p> <p>10 Q. Right. 09:36:17</p> <p>11 A. And also the dispersion of the 09:36:19</p> <p>12 mineral you have those data in a textbook, in a 09:36:21</p> <p>13 mineralogy book. I used that to the parameter. I 09:36:26</p> <p>14 found an analytical relationship between them and 09:36:31</p> <p>15 the wavelength. So that would make the derivation 09:36:36</p> <p>16 of refract index from the dispersion staining color 09:36:45</p> <p>17 a lot easier -- 09:36:50</p> <p>18 Q. And then -- I'm sorry. 09:36:52</p> <p>19 A. Then Professor Morse, he revised that 09:36:54</p> <p>20 chapter of his book and he used my material. He is 09:37:00</p> <p>21 the first man call it Su Method. So Su Method is 09:37:08</p> <p>22 not just for the asbestos identification; it is for 09:37:13</p> <p>23 deriving the numerical value of refract index, from 09:37:18</p> <p>24 the dispersion staining color. 09:37:23</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 22</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And the steps involved in this 09:37:27 2 involve the interaction between wavelength and 09:37:31 3 refractive index values based on the temperature of 09:37:36 4 what's being sampled at that time? 09:37:40 5 A. Yeah. The temperature, actually the 09:37:42 6 reason the temperature is considered because the 09:37:45 7 liquid is sensitive -- its refract index is 09:37:50 8 sensitive to the temperature. Therefore, the effect 09:38:05 9 is on the fourth decimal place, about usually around 09:38:11 10 .0005. So each fluctuates of 2 centigrade degree 09:38:17 11 will change one unit in the third decimal place. 09:38:24 12 Then it matters. 09:38:29 13 Q. Okay. Okay. We will have plenty of 09:38:31 14 time to talk about that more. 09:38:42 15 A. Okay. 09:38:44 16 Q. I want to I suppose go through some 09:38:44 17 of the legals, legal part of this. 09:38:48 18 You are here because of -- that 09:38:54 19 didn't work the way I wanted it to. You're here 09:39:00 20 because of a lawsuit filed here in New Jersey called 09:39:11 21 Kayme Clark and also because of the ongoing 09:39:19 22 litigation in what's referred to as the 09:39:23 23 multidistrict litigation related to the ovarian 09:39:25 24 cancer cases. Do you understand that? 09:39:31</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 that you authored in 2022 titled "Talc Misidentified 09:40:40 2 As Chrysotile, a Review of MSS 71134 and 71376 Talc 09:40:47 3 Analysis of Gold Bond Medicated Powder dated 09:40:57 4 January 30, 2022." 09:41:03 5 (Exhibit 4 Talc Misidentified As Chrysotile, 09:40:46 6 a Review of MSS 71134 and 71376 Talc Analysis of 09:40:48 7 Gold Bond Medicated Powder dated January 30, 2022 09:40:58 8 marked for identification.) 09:41:05 9 You're familiar with this -- 09:41:05 10 A. Yes. 09:41:06 11 Q. -- publication too? 09:41:07 12 A. Yes. It's not publication. It's 09:41:08 13 just a review. 09:41:10 14 Q. Thank you. That's correct. I did 09:41:11 15 misspeak on that. 09:41:16 16 That publication I believe was the 09:41:16 17 first time that you had signed your name to any 09:41:19 18 report involving a litigation-type matter; is that 09:41:24 19 right? 09:41:24 20 A. I think so. But at that time I 09:41:31 21 didn't even understand the nature of the report 09:41:32 22 before me. It's just a report about analysis of the 09:41:46 23 asbestos. 09:41:52 24 Q. Right. Before this report, 09:41:53</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 23</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 09:39:33 2 Q. Okay. There are a couple of exhibits 09:39:34 3 that I'm going to start building out for this 09:39:37 4 deposition. The first two exhibits; Exhibit 1, is 09:39:40 5 just the notice of deposition for the Kayme Clark 09:39:43 6 case. 09:39:49 7 (Exhibit 1 Clark Third Amended Notice of 09:39:51 8 Deposition marked for identification.) 09:39:53 9 Q. Exhibit 2 is going to be the notice 09:39:53 10 of deposition for the MDL, both for today. I don't 09:40:07 11 really have I don't think any questions about those 09:40:12 12 documents specifically. 09:40:15 13 (Exhibit 2 PSC 2nd Amended Deposition Notice 09:40:15 14 of Shu-Chun Su marked for identification.) 09:40:21 15 Q. Exhibit 3 is the report that you 09:40:21 16 issued dated May 21st of 2024. I believe that's the 09:40:23 17 document that's directly in front of you. 09:40:28 18 A. Yep. 09:40:30 19 Q. Great. I'm sure you have gathered we 09:40:30 20 will be talking about this document. 09:40:33 21 A. Okay. 09:40:34 22 (Exhibit 3 Report dated May 21, 2024 marked 09:40:34 23 for identification.) 09:40:38 24 Q. Exhibit 4 is going to be a report 09:40:38</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 Exhibit 4, which is from 2022, you did have prior 09:42:01 2 involvement with MAS and Dr. Longo's laboratory in 09:42:10 3 Georgia, right? 09:42:14 4 A. Yes. 09:42:15 5 Q. You served as an NVLAP or NVLAP 09:42:15 6 auditor, correct? 09:42:26 7 A. Yes. 09:42:27 8 Q. Did you know Dr. Longo personally 09:42:28 9 before this report? 09:42:33 10 A. You see, I did the on-site assessment 09:42:37 11 of an MAS in 2015. That's the first time I met Dr. 09:42:42 12 Longo. Because after the assessment, I think we 09:42:51 13 talked briefly before I left. That's only time we 09:42:56 14 talked before the -- before this, this review. 09:43:04 15 Q. Before you saw him in the courthouse 09:43:09 16 in May? 09:43:11 17 A. That's right. 09:43:12 18 Q. Do you recall being at MAS before 09:43:13 19 2015? 09:43:23 20 A. The name? 09:43:24 21 Q. The lab, MAS. 09:43:25 22 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. 09:43:27 23 Q. You didn't meet Dr. Longo until 2015? 09:43:28 24 A. That's right, until I visit the lab. 09:43:32</p>

Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 But I heard about that lab, 'cause, as you know, the 09:43:35</p> <p>2 NVLAP, I am one of the technical expert for NVLAP. 09:43:40</p> <p>3 So we now probably pretty much the laboratory in the 09:43:45</p> <p>4 United States, so MAS is one of them. 09:43:49</p> <p>5 Q. You may not -- you may just not 09:43:55</p> <p>6 recall this. We can mark this as Exhibit 5. I am 09:43:58</p> <p>7 going to do all my exhibits electronically. We 09:44:01</p> <p>8 don't need to sticker this. I will provide the 09:44:04</p> <p>9 documents electronically. 09:44:08</p> <p>10 (Exhibit 5 2006 Accreditation Sheet Or 09:44:10</p> <p>11 Report For Material Analytical Services marked for 09:46:14</p> <p>12 identification.) 09:44:49</p> <p>13 Q. I just need to mark this. I don't 09:44:49</p> <p>14 have any detailed questions about this document 09:45:10</p> <p>15 right now other than, were you aware or did you 09:45:13</p> <p>16 just -- I mean, I know it's been a long time, but 09:45:24</p> <p>17 did you just not recall being present at MAS as far 09:45:27</p> <p>18 back as December of 2006? 09:45:32</p> <p>19 A. I forgot. 09:45:35</p> <p>20 Q. No problem. I may ask you about that 09:45:36</p> <p>21 later. I may later. 09:45:45</p> <p>22 MR. BRALY: Exhibit 5 is a 2006 09:46:06</p> <p>23 accreditation sheet or report for material 09:46:10</p> <p>24 analytical services. That's what it is. 09:46:16</p>	<p>1 A. That document says that, but at that 09:48:21</p> <p>2 time I was not aware of the litigation, you see. 09:48:26</p> <p>3 Q. Right. That's kind of what I was 09:48:31</p> <p>4 getting at. Dr. Gunter was the person who brought 09:48:34</p> <p>5 this issue to your attention, right? 09:48:37</p> <p>6 A. Correct. 09:48:40</p> <p>7 Q. You and Dr. Gunter -- there was a 09:48:40</p> <p>8 criticism about this report, Exhibit 4, that you may 09:48:50</p> <p>9 not have written Exhibit 4, this report. You're 09:48:56</p> <p>10 familiar with that criticism, correct? 09:49:01</p> <p>11 A. Which criticism? 09:49:03</p> <p>12 Q. The criticism that you did not 09:49:05</p> <p>13 actually write this report. You're aware of that 09:49:06</p> <p>14 criticism? 09:49:10</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 09:49:10</p> <p>16 Q. And you and Dr. Gunter got together 09:49:11</p> <p>17 and shot a short video where you said that, no, I 09:49:14</p> <p>18 did, in fact, this is my report? 09:49:18</p> <p>19 A. Yeah. 09:49:20</p> <p>20 Q. Did Dr. Gunter write this report and 09:49:20</p> <p>21 then ask you to review it for whether or not it was 09:49:27</p> <p>22 in conformance with your opinions? 09:49:31</p> <p>23 A. No, not at all. Not at all. 09:49:33</p> <p>24 Q. This report from 2022 is your 09:49:36</p>
Page 27	Page 29
<p>1 Q. Dr. Su, just one additional question, 09:46:42</p> <p>2 if your name appears as the assessor's name at 09:46:45</p> <p>3 the -- in that document, does that mean that you 09:46:49</p> <p>4 personally did the assessment of the lab? 09:46:51</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I did. 09:46:54</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. You can set that aside. We 09:46:55</p> <p>7 may come back to that. 09:46:58</p> <p>8 A. Okay. 09:47:00</p> <p>9 Q. The report that is Exhibit 4, it says 09:47:01</p> <p>10 at the very beginning of this -- you see it on the 09:47:12</p> <p>11 screen here that Dr. Gunter had asked you to do -- 09:47:15</p> <p>12 conduct the analysis of the materials, correct? 09:47:21</p> <p>13 A. Mm-hmm, yes. 09:47:24</p> <p>14 Q. Did Dr. Gunter, was he the first 09:47:27</p> <p>15 person to bring to your attention that Dr. Longo was 09:47:30</p> <p>16 using polarized light dispersion staining to 09:47:35</p> <p>17 identify chrysotile and talc samples? 09:47:40</p> <p>18 A. No. Because at the lab when I do the 09:47:45</p> <p>19 assessment, I will check the -- there are two 09:47:48</p> <p>20 program, PLM and TM. So the PLM is dispersion 09:47:53</p> <p>21 staining. 09:48:00</p> <p>22 Q. Was Dr. Gunter -- did Dr. Gunter 09:48:01</p> <p>23 bring to your attention that Dr. Longo was finding 09:48:06</p> <p>24 chrysotile in cosmetic talc samples by PLM? 09:48:12</p>	<p>1 authorship. You typed this out? 09:49:40</p> <p>2 A. Yeah. 09:49:43</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. 09:49:43</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 09:49:45</p> <p>5 Q. For Exhibit 3 -- in Exhibit 3 is your 09:49:46</p> <p>6 report in this case. It's the one that you have in 09:49:56</p> <p>7 front of you. 09:49:58</p> <p>8 A. Mm-hmm. 09:50:00</p> <p>9 Q. There is a PowerPoint section. It's 09:50:00</p> <p>10 Appendix C. 09:50:03</p> <p>11 A. Yes. 09:50:05</p> <p>12 Q. Who created that PowerPoint? 09:50:06</p> <p>13 A. Myself entirely. 09:50:08</p> <p>14 Q. Entirely? 09:50:10</p> <p>15 A. Yeah. 09:50:11</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. 09:50:11</p> <p>17 A. It takes lot of time and effort. 09:50:12</p> <p>18 Q. Oh, I know it does. My dad is 83. 09:50:14</p> <p>19 He can barely turn on a computer. I'm impressed. 09:50:18</p> <p>20 MR. PLACITELLA: My dad is 98 and he 09:50:22</p> <p>21 is very good in turning on a computer. 09:50:24</p> <p>22 MR. BRALY: You should take some tips 09:50:28</p> <p>23 from him. 09:50:30</p> <p>24 BY MR. BRALY: 09:50:32</p>

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 Q. Okay. So the Exhibit C, the 09:50:33</p> <p>2 PowerPoint that you developed that you put that 09:50:37</p> <p>3 together yourself? 09:50:40</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 09:50:40</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did Dr. Gunter have any input 09:50:43</p> <p>6 or any involvement with the development of the 09:51:05</p> <p>7 report that's in front of you now, which is 09:51:09</p> <p>8 Exhibit 3? 09:51:12</p> <p>9 A. Not at all. I did not talk with him 09:51:12</p> <p>10 during this period. I never told him, like, I'm 09:51:19</p> <p>11 working on something. Okay. 09:51:26</p> <p>12 Q. When you say during this period, do 09:51:28</p> <p>13 you mean during the period that you created the 09:51:30</p> <p>14 Exhibit 3 PowerPoint? 09:51:34</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 09:51:35</p> <p>16 Q. Yes, okay. When was the last time 09:51:36</p> <p>17 that you talked with Dr. Gunter? 09:51:39</p> <p>18 A. That should be maybe months or more 09:51:47</p> <p>19 ago. Yes, that would be the last time we talked. 09:51:55</p> <p>20 Q. Are you saying months ago? 09:52:02</p> <p>21 A. Months or more ago. 09:52:03</p> <p>22 Q. Months or more, okay. You are 09:52:05</p> <p>23 acquainted with Dr. Matt Sanchez and Dr. Bryan 09:52:08</p> <p>24 Bandli? 09:52:19</p>	<p>1 A. Yeah. 09:54:29</p> <p>2 Q. Perfect. The Bloss symposium where 09:54:30</p> <p>3 Dr. Gunter introduced you to Matt Sanchez, when was 09:54:34</p> <p>4 that? 09:54:37</p> <p>5 A. 2012 or -- I don't remember exact 09:54:42</p> <p>6 year. 09:54:49</p> <p>7 Q. When did you first come to know that 09:54:52</p> <p>8 Matt Sanchez serves as an expert witness for Johnson 09:54:56</p> <p>9 & Johnson in litigation-related matters? 09:55:03</p> <p>10 A. I think until this year I start get 09:55:07</p> <p>11 involved. I didn't know that before. 09:55:14</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Did you know if Matt Sanchez 09:55:17</p> <p>13 was involved with expert consulting or testifying 09:55:20</p> <p>14 work for anybody before this year, 2024? 09:55:25</p> <p>15 A. I wasn't aware. 09:55:29</p> <p>16 Q. When you met Bryan Bandli in Chicago, 09:55:32</p> <p>17 did Dr. Gunter introduce you to him as well? 09:55:47</p> <p>18 A. Yes. 09:55:51</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. When did that occur? What 09:55:51</p> <p>20 year? 09:55:57</p> <p>21 A. Let me see my... I should be able to 09:55:58</p> <p>22 find out from -- because I listed that on a training 09:56:02</p> <p>23 course I conducted. Let me see my... 1986 -- no. 09:56:10</p> <p>24 That's Virginia Tech. That is not Chicago. Let me 09:56:29</p>
Page 31	Page 33
<p>1 A. Yes. 09:52:19</p> <p>2 Q. When did you first meet Dr. Sanchez 09:52:20</p> <p>3 or Dr. Bandli? 09:52:24</p> <p>4 A. I believe it was in two thousand -- I 09:52:26</p> <p>5 forget the year. The first time we met, Sanchez, it 09:52:37</p> <p>6 was last symposium of The Geological Society of 09:52:42</p> <p>7 America annual meeting. They have a special 09:52:50</p> <p>8 symposium on Dr. Bloss, the contribution. So I 09:53:00</p> <p>9 remember of course Dr. Gunter was there and Matt 09:53:10</p> <p>10 Sanchez was there. 09:53:18</p> <p>11 Q. Did Dr. Gunter introduce you to Matt 09:53:19</p> <p>12 Sanchez? 09:53:23</p> <p>13 A. Yes. 09:53:23</p> <p>14 Q. Are you aware of the relationship 09:53:24</p> <p>15 between Dr. Gunter and Matt Sanchez and Bryan 09:53:26</p> <p>16 Bandli? 09:53:31</p> <p>17 A. That's right, I am fully aware. 09:53:35</p> <p>18 Actually, I met Dr. Bryan in Chicago because McCrone 09:53:38</p> <p>19 Research Institute host a course about spindle 09:53:47</p> <p>20 stage. So I was one of the instructors. Dr. Gunter 09:54:03</p> <p>21 also brought, brought Dr. Bryan. I believe he was 09:54:08</p> <p>22 doing his PhD with him at that period. So he came 09:54:14</p> <p>23 also to Chicago. That's the first time I met Bryan. 09:54:19</p> <p>24 Q. And Dr. Bryan is Bryan Bandli? 09:54:24</p>	<p>1 see. 09:56:34</p> <p>2 Q. Can I make a suggestion? At page -- 09:57:31</p> <p>3 it's the 14th overall page but page two of your 09:57:36</p> <p>4 references, there is an entry here -- if you look at 09:57:39</p> <p>5 the screen -- for 2004 where it's Dr. Gunter, Bryan 09:57:43</p> <p>6 Bandli, Dr. Bloss talking about how to build a 09:57:48</p> <p>7 spindle stage. This looks just inferentially kind 09:57:52</p> <p>8 of like what you're talking about, sort of. 09:57:58</p> <p>9 A. This paper resulted from that McCrone 09:58:05</p> <p>10 course. 09:58:10</p> <p>11 Q. Perfect. You first met Bryan Bandli 09:58:10</p> <p>12 sometime -- 09:58:14</p> <p>13 A. Before -- 09:58:15</p> <p>14 Q. -- before 2004? 09:58:16</p> <p>15 A. Yeah. 09:58:20</p> <p>16 Q. Is that fair? 09:58:21</p> <p>17 MR. HYNES: For clarification go to 09:58:22</p> <p>18 page four, it's the second entry on page four. 09:58:23</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that was the 09:58:34</p> <p>20 short course. 09:58:36</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. 2003? 09:58:37</p> <p>22 A. Yeah. 09:58:40</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Matt 09:58:41</p> <p>24 Sanchez and Bryan Bandli had both been students of 09:58:46</p>

Page 34			Page 36		
1	Mickey Gunter?	09:58:52	1	May 30th?	10:01:44
2	A. Yes.	09:58:53	2	A. Yes.	10:01:47
3	Q. Yes. Had you maintained a working	09:58:53	3	Q. You were present for that?	10:01:48
4	relationship with either Matt Sanchez or Bryan	09:59:00	4	A. Yes.	10:01:49
5	Bandli after meeting them, meaning did you	09:59:05	5	Q. Right. So prior to the hearing on	10:01:49
6	correspond with them or did you work collaboratively	09:59:09	6	May 30th, you had not conducted any analysis on	10:01:55
7	on papers?	09:59:13	7	Calidria or samples identify as Calidria yourself,	10:02:00
8	A. No.	09:59:14	8	correct?	10:02:05
9	Q. After -- so you provided to me, and	09:59:14	9	A. No.	10:02:05
10	to Mr. Placitella, information including	09:59:47	10	Q. Do you know where Matt Sanchez	10:02:06
11	correspondence between yourself and Matt Sanchez,	09:59:53	11	acquired this Calidria material that he had?	10:02:11
12	and between yourself and Ann Wylie?	09:59:57	12	A. I believe it was from Mickey Gunter,	10:02:17
13	A. Yes.	10:00:00	13	Dr. Gunter.	10:02:22
14	Q. Yes?	10:00:02	14	Q. Did you ever review Dr. Gunter's PLM	10:02:24
15	A. What's on the screen right now is a	10:00:03	15	analysis of Calidria?	10:02:28
16	collection of seven pages. I am going to go through	10:00:05	16	A. No.	10:02:31
17	some of these. They are in chronological order.	10:00:08	17	Q. Are you aware that Dr. Gunter did an	10:02:33
18	The first one is -- by the way, this	10:00:11	18	analysis of Calidria?	10:02:36
19	is Exhibit 6.	10:00:14	19	A. Yeah, I'm aware, but I want -- I am	10:02:39
20	(Exhibit 6 Series of Emails marked for	10:00:15	20	not interested in other people's analysis. I want	10:02:42
21	identification.)	10:00:16	21	to see myself. Okay.	10:02:45
22	Q. The first one is dated May 23, 2024,	10:00:16	22	Q. Right. Okay. Are you aware that Dr.	10:02:48
23	at very early in the morning. What were you doing	10:00:22	23	Gunter testified that his analysis of Calidria	10:02:59
24	at 3:37 a.m.?	10:00:24	24	produced central stop dispersion staining colors	10:03:03
Page 35			Page 37		
1	A. I woke up probably early.	10:00:27	1	similar to Dr. Longo's analysis of Calidria?	10:03:07
2	MR. HYNES: Clarifying I think	10:00:31	2	MR. HYNES: Objection. Assumes	10:03:12
3	timestamp on these emails is Chinese --	10:00:34	3	facts, misstates testimony.	10:03:14
4	THE WITNESS: I was in the States	10:00:39	4	A. I wasn't aware of any testimony in	10:03:14
5	last May. No, no. That's right. The May 23rd, I	10:00:40	5	May.	10:03:17
6	was in China.	10:00:45	6	Q. The next message in Exhibit 6 is from	10:03:23
7	Q. Okay.	10:00:47	7	May 23rd -- I said these were chronological. They	10:03:28
8	A. Now I recall. I came back on the	10:00:48	8	are -- is from May 23rd. There is a response from	10:03:31
9	27th.	10:00:52	9	Matt Sanchez that is not included here. It says,	10:03:35
10	MR. PLACITELLA: You're not as crazy	10:00:53	10	quote, text hidden. Do you see that?	10:03:39
11	as I am.	10:00:55	11	A. Okay.	10:03:41
12	Q. Regardless, the question that you	10:00:57	12	Q. Do you know what Dr. Sanchez wrote	10:03:43
13	were asking Dr. Sanchez was whether or not he could	10:01:02	13	back to you?	10:03:46
14	send a gram or less of the two Calidria chrysotiles	10:01:06	14	A. Oh, yes. He said I will, I will make	10:03:47
15	to me at your office in Bear, Delaware. Do you see	10:01:11	15	sure it arrived before May 27th. So I said thank	10:03:50
16	that?	10:01:16	16	you. Okay.	10:03:55
17	A. Yes.	10:01:16	17	Q. Okay. The next correspondence that	10:03:56
18	Q. [Reading] It would be great if they	10:01:16	18	was produced to me is from June 11, 2024, at	10:04:01
19	can be delivered no later than 5/27.	10:01:20	19	a.m.	10:04:08
20	A. That's the date I came back from	10:01:23	20	A. Mm-hmm.	10:04:08
21	China.	10:01:26	21	Q. This is from Dr. Sanchez to you	10:04:09
22	Q. All right. And then you're aware	10:01:27	22	discussing a call that is happening that day.	10:04:13
23	that Dr. Longo's first day of hearing in the Clark	10:01:32	23	A. Yeah.	10:04:17
24	case relative to his PLM procedure began on	10:01:40	24	Q. Okay. And Bryan in this context is	10:04:17

Page 38	Page 40
<p>1 Bryan Bandli? 10:04:21</p> <p>2 A. Yes. 10:04:22</p> <p>3 Q. This occurred after Dr. Longo had 10:04:26</p> <p>4 completed his testimony about his PLM analysis -- 10:04:30</p> <p>5 A. Yes. 10:04:30</p> <p>6 Q. -- in the court case with Judge 10:04:35</p> <p>7 Viscomi? 10:04:38</p> <p>8 MR. HYNES: Wait for him to finish 10:04:42</p> <p>9 the question. Hang on. Give him a second to make 10:04:43</p> <p>10 sure the question is through and then respond. Not 10:04:46</p> <p>11 in the middle of the question. It's okay. 10:04:48</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10:04:50</p> <p>13 MR. BRALY: People can do this for 10:04:51</p> <p>14 years and get that wrong. It's -- this is 10:04:52</p> <p>15 conversational, but it's not a conversation, if that 10:04:56</p> <p>16 makes sense. 10:05:00</p> <p>17 MR. HYNES: She can't take down two 10:05:01</p> <p>18 people speaking at once. 10:05:03</p> <p>19 BY MR. BRALY: 10:05:06</p> <p>20 Q. The next correspondence that we have 10:05:06</p> <p>21 from Exhibit 6 is the same date, couple minutes 10:05:09</p> <p>22 later where you just respond and say yeah, 12 is 10:05:12</p> <p>23 fine, right? 10:05:16</p> <p>24 A. Correct. 10:05:17</p>	<p>1 Pittsburgh on the 14th of June, two days after this 10:06:34</p> <p>2 meeting because we were discussing what I want to 10:06:40</p> <p>3 do, what kind of sample I want analyzed. So after 10:06:45</p> <p>4 this written communication, I met him in Pittsburgh. 10:06:52</p> <p>5 Okay. 10:07:00</p> <p>6 Q. My question was, all of the 10:07:01</p> <p>7 conversations between you and Dr. Sanchez and Dr. 10:07:04</p> <p>8 Bandli after June 12th have been either face-to-face 10:07:07</p> <p>9 or by video conference or on the phone? 10:07:13</p> <p>10 A. Correct. 10:07:16</p> <p>11 Q. Did somebody tell you not to 10:07:17</p> <p>12 communicate in writing with Dr. Sanchez? 10:07:19</p> <p>13 A. No, no. Because we see each other, 10:07:22</p> <p>14 there is no need to communicate in writing. Okay. 10:07:26</p> <p>15 Q. Hold on a second. I am going to have 10:07:54</p> <p>16 to do just a little bit of mechanical tinkering with 10:07:57</p> <p>17 this. 10:08:00</p> <p>18 MR. HYNES: Good time for a quick 10:08:00</p> <p>19 break? 10:08:02</p> <p>20 MR. BRALY: Yeah, let me ask a 10:08:03</p> <p>21 question and we can do that. I agree with you. 10:08:04</p> <p>22 It's a PowerPoint. I just have to export it as a 10:08:08</p> <p>23 pdf. This will be Exhibit 7. 10:08:12</p> <p>24 (Exhibit 7 Pittsburgh Work Plan marked for 10:08:27</p>
Page 39	Page 41
<p>1 Q. Apparently at 12 you guys had a 10:05:19</p> <p>2 meeting and then at 1:46 p.m. you said please see 10:05:23</p> <p>3 the attachment. 10:05:30</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 10:05:30</p> <p>5 Q. What was attached is a file dated 10:05:30</p> <p>6 June 7, 2024, called the Pittsburgh Work Plan. Do 10:05:36</p> <p>7 you see that? 10:05:40</p> <p>8 A. Yes. 10:05:40</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. This is the next page. It's 10:05:40</p> <p>10 not something I need to ask you about. 10:05:49</p> <p>11 Then the last email that I have is 10:05:53</p> <p>12 from June 12, 12:47 p.m. that says [Reading] I have 10:05:56</p> <p>13 the link now. No resend is necessary. 10:06:01</p> <p>14 Do you see that? 10:06:05</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 10:06:05</p> <p>16 Q. Is that the last correspondence that 10:06:05</p> <p>17 you had in writing with either Matt Sanchez or Bryan 10:06:08</p> <p>18 Bandli? 10:06:12</p> <p>19 A. I believe so. 10:06:13</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. The remainder of the 10:06:14</p> <p>21 conversations or communications between you and Mr. 10:06:18</p> <p>22 Sanchez and Mr. Bandli have been by phone or by 10:06:22</p> <p>23 video, correct? 10:06:26</p> <p>24 A. You see, I went to RJ Lee in 10:06:28</p>	<p>1 identification.) 10:08:27</p> <p>2 Q. This is the supposed Pittsburgh Work 10:08:27</p> <p>3 Plan that you had attached to that email to Dr. 10:08:31</p> <p>4 Sanchez and Dr. Bandli, correct? 10:08:35</p> <p>5 A. Correct. 10:08:37</p> <p>6 Q. This work plan is a two-page document 10:08:37</p> <p>7 that includes steps that you wanted to take -- what 10:08:43</p> <p>8 does it include? I shouldn't presume. You tell me, 10:08:49</p> <p>9 what were you doing here? 10:08:53</p> <p>10 A. Yes. Because RJ Lee Group, they have 10:08:56</p> <p>11 a Leica DM 2700 P polarized light microscope which 10:09:12</p> <p>12 Dr. Longo has. So what I plan to do is to use the 10:09:22</p> <p>13 same microscope to analyze the samples in question 10:09:29</p> <p>14 to verify my MDL report. Okay. Because when I 10:09:39</p> <p>15 wrote MDL report was based on the data of MS report. 10:09:45</p> <p>16 But I'm confident my analysis of this report is 10:09:53</p> <p>17 correct. However, since I have a chance to use the 10:09:59</p> <p>18 same instrument, I want to produce my own work to 10:10:05</p> <p>19 prove my opinion in my MDL report. 10:10:13</p> <p>20 MR. BRALY: Would you like to take a 10:10:20</p> <p>21 break? 10:10:21</p> <p>22 MR. HYNES: Yeah. Why don't we take 10:10:21</p> <p>23 five minutes. 10:10:23</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10:10:24</p>

Page 42			Page 44		
1	(A break was taken.)	10:28:01	1	Q. Had you ever spoken to Mr. Hynes	10:30:44
2	BY MR. BRALY:	10:28:24	2	before March or February of this year?	10:30:47
3	Q. Welcome back, Dr. Su.	10:28:24	3	A. No. We first met in Wilmington,	10:30:51
4	A. Thank you.	10:28:27	4	Delaware, Wilmington, Delaware. We did not speak	10:31:02
5	Q. I've marked Exhibit 8. Exhibit 8 is	10:28:28	5	before that.	10:31:07
6	two emails. Show you the second one, the second	10:28:32	6	Q. Well, how did you come to meet?	10:31:09
7	page.	10:28:37	7	A. I think I was introduced by attorney	10:31:13
8	(Exhibit 8 Two Emails marked for	10:28:37	8	Kurt Grieves.	10:31:21
9	identification.)	10:28:38	9	Q. I am not sure. Grieves?	10:31:23
10	Q. It should be on the monitor in front	10:28:38	10	A. Grieves.	10:31:24
11	of you. The first one is dated March 5, 2024, from	10:28:40	11	MR. HYNES: Greve, G-r-e-v-e.	10:31:25
12	an individual named Michael Douglas, whose signature	10:28:45	12	MR. BRALY: Thank you.	10:31:28
13	file indicates that he is an attorney at King &	10:28:47	13	BY MR. BRALY:	10:31:30
14	Spalding. Do you see that?	10:28:53	14	Q. Is Attorney Greve, is he -- do you	10:31:30
15	A. Yes.	10:28:53	15	know who he works for?	10:31:34
16	Q. It is asking you if you are amenable	10:28:53	16	A. I know. American International --	10:31:35
17	to retention in the Kayme and Dustin Clark case. Do	10:28:56	17	AII.	10:31:41
18	you see that?	10:29:00	18	Q. Okay. This may be -- this may be a	10:31:41
19	A. Yes, I see.	10:29:00	19	technical question so if you don't know the answer	10:31:49
20	Q. The second email is also from Mr.	10:29:01	20	to this, that's fine.	10:31:51
21	Douglas, same -- oh, it's to a distribution list	10:29:03	21	Do you know if he works for AII or if	10:31:53
22	called J&J talc expert as well, asking if you're	10:29:11	22	he is a lawyer for AII?	10:31:56
23	amenable to retention in the ovarian MDL group.	10:29:17	23	A. I believe he works.	10:31:59
24	This one is dated Friday, April 5, 2024. Do you see	10:29:23	24	Q. Okay. Have you ever met an	10:32:02
Page 43			Page 45		
1	that?	10:29:27	1	individual named Robert Faxon?	10:32:05
2	A. I saw that.	10:29:27	2	A. I don't remember. I don't remember.	10:32:10
3	Q. Okay. Do you have any return email	10:29:28	3	I am not very good in names.	10:32:22
4	from you back to Mr. Douglas accepting these offers?	10:29:31	4	Q. He is a lawyer. Heavy southern	10:32:25
5	A. I remember I replied by yes.	10:29:37	5	drawl, accent, bald.	10:32:32
6	Q. Did you ever -- you are on a	10:29:43	6	A. Let me see.	10:32:39
7	retention agreement that pays you \$800 an hour,	10:29:53	7	Q. It's all right if you don't remember.	10:32:40
8	correct?	10:29:56	8	A. Yeah.	10:32:42
9	A. Correct.	10:29:56	9	Q. If you don't remember, that's fine.	10:32:43
10	Q. Before March 5th of 2024, which is	10:29:57	10	A. Okay.	10:32:45
11	when this first document is dated, who initially	10:30:05	11	Q. Do you know Mr. Greve through your	10:32:45
12	approached you on behalf of Johnson & Johnson asking	10:30:10	12	prior work from that report that we looked at	10:32:52
13	about your availability to be an expert witness for	10:30:15	13	previously --	10:32:55
14	them?	10:30:18	14	A. For Golden Bond Baby Powder.	10:32:56
15	A. Kevin, Mr. Kevin Hynes.	10:30:19	15	(Reporter asks for clarification.)	10:32:56
16	Q. Mr. Hynes, the individual sitting	10:30:26	16	MR. BRALY: It's Gold Bond, but he is	10:33:05
17	next to you now?	10:30:28	17	saying it "golden."	10:33:08
18	A. Yes.	10:30:29	18	BY MR. BRALY:	10:33:11
19	Q. All right. Do you recall when Mr.	10:30:30	19	Q. Do you know how you met Mr. Greve the	10:33:11
20	Hynes first made contact with you?	10:30:32	20	first time, how you were introduced to him?	10:33:14
21	A. In March or February. I don't	10:30:38	21	A. That was after I wrote a review for	10:33:17
22	remember.	10:30:40	22	Dr. Gunter. So and then I came to States in August	10:33:23
23	Q. This year though?	10:30:40	23	last year because my daughter -- with my daughter.	10:33:33
24	A. This year. Anyway, this year.	10:30:41	24	She lives in Washington, DC. So at that junction, I	10:33:40

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 think they -- Dr. Gunter knows I am coming to the 10:33:45</p> <p>2 States. Then I met with Mr. Greve. 10:33:52</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. You know Dr. Gunter has served 10:33:57</p> <p>4 as an expert witness for AII in asbestos-related 10:34:00</p> <p>5 lawsuits. You're aware of this, right? 10:34:07</p> <p>6 A. I only aware he working with Mr. 10:34:10</p> <p>7 Greve. At that time, I didn't even know AII name 10:34:14</p> <p>8 so, okay. 10:34:19</p> <p>9 Q. All right. So Dr. Gunter initially 10:34:20</p> <p>10 asked you to write this report in January of 2022 10:34:46</p> <p>11 related to Gold Bond. 10:34:50</p> <p>12 A. You finished? 10:34:56</p> <p>13 Q. I was going to continue. 10:34:57</p> <p>14 A. Okay. 10:34:58</p> <p>15 Q. That's correct so far, right? 10:34:59</p> <p>16 A. Let me say this: He, actually he did 10:35:01</p> <p>17 not ask me to write anything. He asked me to review 10:35:05</p> <p>18 and I believe is such complicate matter, technical 10:35:11</p> <p>19 matter I need to write down my opinion, but he did 10:35:19</p> <p>20 not ask me to write any review paper. 10:35:23</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. 10:35:28</p> <p>22 A. Okay. That I did. 10:35:29</p> <p>23 Q. That January 2022 paper is when you 10:35:32</p> <p>24 first theorized that the lighting Dr. Longo utilized 10:35:36</p>	<p>1 introduced you to Mr. Hynes? 10:37:02</p> <p>2 A. Yes. 10:37:04</p> <p>3 Q. Had you had any contact with anybody 10:37:05</p> <p>4 representing Johnson & Johnson prior to meeting Mr. 10:37:09</p> <p>5 Hynes? 10:37:13</p> <p>6 A. No. 10:37:14</p> <p>7 Q. Have you ever met Bruce Bishop? 10:37:15</p> <p>8 A. I didn't know this name. Yeah, I 10:37:19</p> <p>9 never met this name. 10:37:22</p> <p>10 Q. Have you ever corresponded with Bruce 10:37:24</p> <p>11 Bishop? 10:37:27</p> <p>12 A. No, never. 10:37:28</p> <p>13 Q. Other than Mr. Douglas, who we see in 10:37:38</p> <p>14 Exhibit 8, and Mr. Hynes, have you corresponded with 10:37:42</p> <p>15 any other attorneys for Johnson & Johnson? 10:37:45</p> <p>16 MR. HYNES: Clarifying, do you mean 10:37:50</p> <p>17 corresponding in writing? 10:37:52</p> <p>18 MR. BRALY: I do. 10:37:53</p> <p>19 A. Let me think. I don't think so, but 10:37:55</p> <p>20 I could hardly remember. 10:38:10</p> <p>21 Q. Other than Mr. Hynes, have you had 10:38:12</p> <p>22 meetings or conversations with any other attorneys 10:38:14</p> <p>23 representing Johnson & Johnson going back to 10:38:19</p> <p>24 February or March of 2024? 10:38:23</p>
Page 47	Page 49
<p>1 may be at its full intensity? 10:35:42</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, that was my opinion. 10:35:45</p> <p>3 Q. Right. After that, you -- in August 10:35:46</p> <p>4 of 2023, you and Dr. Gunter met again in Washington, 10:35:54</p> <p>5 DC where you recorded the video, that very short 10:36:00</p> <p>6 video where he confirmed that you had actually 10:36:04</p> <p>7 written that report. 10:36:07</p> <p>8 A. Correct. That's on the 28th of 10:36:08</p> <p>9 August. Okay. 10:36:10</p> <p>10 Q. That video was shot from multiple 10:36:12</p> <p>11 different camera angles. Do you know who paid to 10:36:16</p> <p>12 set up the videographer crew? 10:36:18</p> <p>13 A. Nobody told me that. That I did not 10:36:22</p> <p>14 ask. 10:36:26</p> <p>15 Q. Up until August of 2023, had you been 10:36:27</p> <p>16 paid any money by anybody for your work reviewing 10:36:31</p> <p>17 Dr. Longo's PLM analysis? 10:36:37</p> <p>18 A. No. 10:36:42</p> <p>19 Q. After that, you were introduced to 10:36:43</p> <p>20 Mr. Greve, who you believe to be employed with AII, 10:36:47</p> <p>21 correct? 10:36:52</p> <p>22 A. That was later, but not at that time. 10:36:53</p> <p>23 Okay. 10:36:57</p> <p>24 Q. Mr. Greve is the person who 10:36:58</p>	<p>1 A. No. 10:38:27</p> <p>2 Q. Have you ever spoken with Morty 10:38:34</p> <p>3 Dubin? 10:38:37</p> <p>4 A. Yes, I did. 10:38:38</p> <p>5 Q. Tell me when you met Mr. Dubin and 10:38:39</p> <p>6 when you guys first met. 10:38:43</p> <p>7 A. That was the, the May 29th or 10:38:49</p> <p>8 May 30th, Dr. Longo's hearing. At first I met Morty 10:38:57</p> <p>9 Dubin that day. 10:39:07</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. All right. Have you discussed 10:39:09</p> <p>11 your potential testimony in the MDL or in Ms. 10:39:16</p> <p>12 Clark's case with anybody other than Mr. Hynes? 10:39:21</p> <p>13 A. No. 10:39:32</p> <p>14 Q. Have you consulted with Mickey Gunter 10:39:32</p> <p>15 about the nature of litigation or the types of 10:39:36</p> <p>16 questions you may receive? 10:39:39</p> <p>17 A. No. 10:39:41</p> <p>18 Q. When you prepared for this 10:39:44</p> <p>19 deposition, did you only meet with Mr. Hynes? 10:39:46</p> <p>20 A. Yes. 10:39:50</p> <p>21 Q. Did you review potential questions 10:39:55</p> <p>22 that you might be asked? 10:39:57</p> <p>23 MR. HYNES: I object on the grounds 10:39:58</p> <p>24 of privilege to the extent that you're asking for 10:40:00</p>

Page 50	Page 52
<p>1 the content of communications with counsel during 10:40:03</p> <p>2 the preparation for MDL and Clark cross-noticed 10:40:05</p> <p>3 deposition. 10:40:15</p> <p>4 MR. BRALY: What privilege are you 10:40:16</p> <p>5 referring to? 10:40:17</p> <p>6 MR. HYNES: Work product privilege. 10:40:17</p> <p>7 MR. BRALY: That is not work product 10:40:19</p> <p>8 privileges. 10:40:21</p> <p>9 MR. HYNES: With respect to the 10:40:22</p> <p>10 content of communications in preparation for a 10:40:22</p> <p>11 deposition session I believe it is. 10:40:25</p> <p>12 MR. BRALY: So I don't agree with 10:40:39</p> <p>13 you. Yelling at you about it won't do anything. 10:40:41</p> <p>14 I'm going to modify my question, but not because I 10:40:45</p> <p>15 agree with you so we may come back to this at a 10:40:48</p> <p>16 later time. 10:40:52</p> <p>17 MR. HYNES: Sure. Go ahead. 10:40:53</p> <p>18 BY MR. BRALY: 10:40:56</p> <p>19 Q. Don't tell me what, but did you 10:40:58</p> <p>20 review potential questions that you may be asked at 10:41:00</p> <p>21 this deposition? 10:41:04</p> <p>22 A. Review with whom? 10:41:05</p> <p>23 Q. Mr. Hynes. 10:41:07</p> <p>24 A. We met yesterday just go over my MDL 10:41:10</p>	<p>1 Are you aware that you have not been 10:42:49</p> <p>2 designated as an expert witness in Ms. Clark's case? 10:42:51</p> <p>3 A. No. 10:42:57</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Your -- so it's -- your first 10:42:58</p> <p>5 contact with anybody representing Johnson & Johnson 10:43:11</p> <p>6 relative to asbestos litigation was in February or 10:43:15</p> <p>7 March of this year. 10:43:19</p> <p>8 A. Correct. 10:43:21</p> <p>9 Q. All right. Do you know what Mr. 10:43:21</p> <p>10 Hynes relationship with mister... 10:43:24</p> <p>11 MR. HYNES: Greve. 10:43:31</p> <p>12 MR. BRALY: I will start the question 10:43:33</p> <p>13 over again. 10:43:35</p> <p>14 Q. Do you know what Mr. Hynes's 10:43:35</p> <p>15 relationship with Mr. Greve is going back prior to 10:43:37</p> <p>16 you meeting Mr. Hynes? 10:43:40</p> <p>17 A. They have to because Mr. Greve 10:43:43</p> <p>18 introduce Mr. Hynes to me. They must know each 10:43:49</p> <p>19 other before that. 10:43:53</p> <p>20 Q. I agree with that. 10:43:54</p> <p>21 A. Okay. 10:43:56</p> <p>22 Q. What I am driving at is, do you have 10:43:56</p> <p>23 any understanding about what -- how they knew each 10:43:58</p> <p>24 other or under what circumstances? 10:44:01</p>
Page 51	Page 53
<p>1 report. That's it. 10:41:17</p> <p>2 Q. No practice questions or anything 10:41:19</p> <p>3 like that? 10:41:21</p> <p>4 A. No. 10:41:22</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did you review any deposition 10:41:23</p> <p>6 transcripts of examinations that I've conducted or 10:41:26</p> <p>7 Mr. Placitella had conducted or anything of that 10:41:31</p> <p>8 nature? 10:41:37</p> <p>9 A. I don't remember. 10:41:37</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed anybody 10:41:38</p> <p>11 else's deposition or trial transcripts in 10:41:41</p> <p>12 preparation for this case or either of these cases? 10:41:44</p> <p>13 A. Not for the preparation, but I did 10:41:49</p> <p>14 see it, did read I think Dr. Longo's deposition 10:41:57</p> <p>15 document, the transcript, before, but I don't think 10:42:04</p> <p>16 it's related to my deposition. 10:42:09</p> <p>17 Q. In this retention -- excuse me. In 10:42:23</p> <p>18 this retention letter of March 5, 2024, you're 10:42:29</p> <p>19 provided with an anticipated trial date for this 10:42:33</p> <p>20 case of July 22, 2024. Do you see that? 10:42:36</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I see that. 10:42:40</p> <p>22 Q. Now, that is not currently the trial 10:42:41</p> <p>23 date for Ms. Clark's case, but in March that was 10:42:44</p> <p>24 accurate. 10:42:47</p>	<p>1 A. No, I don't. 10:44:03</p> <p>2 Q. Very well. Since your retention in 10:44:04</p> <p>3 March, you have been under an agreement where they 10:44:11</p> <p>4 will pay you \$800 an hour for your time, correct? 10:44:17</p> <p>5 A. Correct. 10:44:21</p> <p>6 Q. Did you consult with anybody in 10:44:21</p> <p>7 coming up with that value for your time? 10:44:24</p> <p>8 A. I did. 10:44:27</p> <p>9 Q. Who? 10:44:27</p> <p>10 A. My daughter. She's in finance. 10:44:28</p> <p>11 Q. Well, okay. There were a series of 10:44:35</p> <p>12 invoices provided, and instead of marking each of 10:44:41</p> <p>13 them individually, we created a summary, which is 10:44:45</p> <p>14 Exhibit 9. 10:44:48</p> <p>15 (Exhibit 9 Summary of Invoices marked for 10:44:49</p> <p>16 identification.) 10:44:49</p> <p>17 Q. There is actually a copy of it in 10:44:49</p> <p>18 front of you. 10:44:51</p> <p>19 A. Yes. 10:44:52</p> <p>20 Q. Does the summary, does it appear 10:44:53</p> <p>21 accurate? 10:44:59</p> <p>22 MR. HYNES: I will note that he 10:45:00</p> <p>23 hasn't had a chance to go back through each invoice 10:45:01</p> <p>24 and compare. 10:45:03</p>

Page 54			Page 56		
1	But you can answer.	10:45:05	1	the working horse for the asbestos lab. That's a	10:49:04
2	A. It should be, because I recognize	10:45:07	2	pretty decent microscope. I want one so that it's	10:49:07
3	that is the invoice I sent.	10:45:10	3	better if I want to exam some samples at home.	10:49:14
4	Q. In total since March 6th of this	10:45:14	4	Okay.	10:49:19
5	year, up to this date or the last invoice is	10:45:27	5	Q. Did King & Spalding purchase that?	10:49:19
6	June 24th, you've billed 322.9 almost 323 total	10:45:32	6	A. No. I did.	10:49:22
7	hours?	10:45:40	7	Q. You purchased it. What did that	10:49:23
8	A. Yes, I did.	10:45:40	8	microscope cost?	10:49:25
9	Q. For these entries -- this entry of	10:45:41	9	A. I remember it's 1500.	10:49:26
10	May 30th of 2024, were you billing \$800 an hour to	10:45:49	10	Q. 1500 or 15,000?	10:49:30
11	sit in the courtroom and watch Dr. Longo's	10:45:58	11	A. No. 1500 and I have my credit card	10:49:31
12	testimony?	10:46:02	12	charge.	10:49:38
13	A. I believe I did.	10:46:02	13	Q. Sure. Did you participate with the	10:49:39
14	Q. Your report in this case was	10:46:05	14	attorneys for Johnson & Johnson in preparing	10:49:46
15	completed or issued on May 21st. Here. It's	10:46:11	15	questions to ask Bill Longo in his hearing?	10:49:49
16	Exhibit 3. It's dated May 21st. Do you see that?	10:46:19	16	A. I don't think so because I came back	10:49:57
17	A. Mm-hmm.	10:46:24	17	on the 27th from China. The hearing is 29th and I	10:50:01
18	Q. So leading up to May 21st, I can	10:46:25	18	don't think so.	10:50:11
19	understand the work that you were conducting to put	10:46:37	19	Q. Did you participate with lawyers from	10:50:11
20	together your report. What is taking up your time	10:46:41	20	Johnson & Johnson in preparing questions for Paul	10:50:14
21	since May 30th for these entries of 12 hours, 12	10:46:46	21	Hess's deposition taken yesterday?	10:50:18
22	hours, 12 hours? What does that involve? What have	10:46:51	22	A. I think we talk about that but not	10:50:29
23	you been doing?	10:46:56	23	necessarily like say the question to be asked.	10:50:32
24	A. I believe I am still review the	10:47:01	24	Okay. But I did mention the problem in the MS	10:50:39
Page 55			Page 57		
1	material at hands and also issues related to my MDL	10:47:05	1	report of the PLM analysis, the problem of the	10:50:46
2	report. Okay.	10:47:14	2	analysis. Now, if the analysis was done by Paul	10:50:51
3	Q. Okay. Your total billing since March	10:47:16	3	Hess, then it must be the problem about his	10:50:57
4	of this year through June 24th has been \$258,240,	10:47:20	4	analytical skill, things like that. Yeah.	10:51:03
5	correct?	10:47:26	5	Q. When you say problems, we are going	10:51:09
6	A. Correct.	10:47:26	6	to talk about these eventually at some point we will	10:51:11
7	Q. With the exception of March, every	10:47:27	7	get to it. But that would include problems with the	10:51:15
8	single month you've billed in excess of \$65,000?	10:47:34	8	lighting, problems with the field of view?	10:51:18
9	A. Correct.	10:47:39	9	A. Mm-hmm.	10:51:23
10	Q. All right. Oh, Mr. Douglas, Michael	10:47:40	10	Q. I'm sorry. You have to say yes or	10:51:24
11	Douglas, this person to whom this -- from whom this	10:48:01	11	no.	10:51:26
12	email is from, exhibit -- I'm sorry -- in Exhibit 8,	10:48:06	12	A. Yes.	10:51:27
13	have you ever spoken with him?	10:48:12	13	Q. I'm sorry. Problems with the size	10:51:27
14	A. Yes, I did.	10:48:16	14	distribution?	10:51:31
15	Q. Okay. About what?	10:48:17	15	A. Yes.	10:51:33
16	A. About -- I think the purchase of	10:48:20	16	Q. I think those are the big ones. Am I	10:51:34
17	polarized microscope, which is the seller is in	10:48:28	17	missing a big one?	10:51:40
18	Connecticut, ask him to arrange transportation to	10:48:32	18	A. Or so the distorted dispersion	10:51:42
19	bring that microscope to New York City. Okay.	10:48:39	19	staining color.	10:51:46
20	Q. Purchase the polarized light	10:48:46	20	Q. The focus with the reflection effect?	10:51:47
21	microscope for what purpose? Don't you already have	10:48:49	21	A. Yeah.	10:51:51
22	one of those?	10:48:53	22	Q. Right?	10:51:52
23	A. Well, the one I had was not very	10:48:53	23	A. Yeah.	10:51:53
24	good. I purchased an Olympus BH-2, which used to be	10:48:58	24	Q. Okay. Did you evaluate Mr. Hess's	10:51:53

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 responses to any of those criticisms in his 10:52:00</p> <p>2 deposition yesterday? 10:52:04</p> <p>3 A. No, but I was attending so I'm aware 10:52:06</p> <p>4 of his answers, yeah. 10:52:12</p> <p>5 Q. For example, when we get to talking 10:52:14</p> <p>6 about the lighting associated with the analysis that 10:52:16</p> <p>7 was performed, you're aware that these microscopes 10:52:20</p> <p>8 have a lighting adjustment knob, correct? 10:52:24</p> <p>9 A. Correct. 10:52:28</p> <p>10 Q. And you're aware that lighting can be 10:52:28</p> <p>11 digitally manipulated after the fact through digital 10:52:32</p> <p>12 software, correct? 10:52:37</p> <p>13 MR. HYNES: Vague, overbroad. 10:52:43</p> <p>14 He can answer. 10:52:46</p> <p>15 A. Are you talking about the micrograph 10:52:48</p> <p>16 or the time the field of view when he is conducting 10:52:52</p> <p>17 the analysis? 10:52:59</p> <p>18 Q. Both very good questions. Before I 10:52:59</p> <p>19 get into the details on this, I'm asking generally. 10:53:02</p> <p>20 A. Okay. 10:53:06</p> <p>21 Q. You're aware that images can be 10:53:07</p> <p>22 artificially brightened or dimmed using software 10:53:10</p> <p>23 like PowerPoint or Photoshop or things of that 10:53:14</p> <p>24 nature? 10:53:17</p>	<p>1 chrysotile? 10:54:54</p> <p>2 A. Could I look my report? 10:54:56</p> <p>3 Q. Mm-hmm. 10:54:58</p> <p>4 A. The tensile strength of chrysotile 10:55:25</p> <p>5 according to the literature is 1.1 to 4.4 gigabars. 10:55:29</p> <p>6 Q. What page are you looking at on 10:55:43</p> <p>7 your -- 10:55:45</p> <p>8 A. I am looking at page 40. 10:55:46</p> <p>9 Q. 40? Is the page on the screen right 10:55:49</p> <p>10 now the page that you're referencing? 10:56:19</p> <p>11 A. That is the talc. The previous. 10:56:22</p> <p>12 Q. I'm sorry. 10:56:25</p> <p>13 A. Yeah, yeah, I'm referring this page. 10:56:26</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. I got you. It's paginated 40 10:56:28</p> <p>15 in Exhibit C. It's page 60 in the pdf of Exhibit 3 10:56:32</p> <p>16 just for the record. Okay. 10:56:38</p> <p>17 Is there any way to measure the 10:56:42</p> <p>18 tensile strength of chrysotile with a microscope? 10:56:45</p> <p>19 A. No. 10:56:50</p> <p>20 Q. Let me ask you now about your 10:57:03</p> <p>21 interactions with Ann Wylie, all right? 10:57:18</p> <p>22 A. Yes. Okay. 10:57:22</p> <p>23 Q. I'm not going to ask you about that 10:57:24</p> <p>24 right now. 10:57:27</p>
Page 59	Page 61
<p>1 A. Yes, of course. 10:53:17</p> <p>2 Q. Of course. Did you evaluate Mr. 10:53:19</p> <p>3 Hess's responses in the deposition where he 10:53:23</p> <p>4 testified under oath that the PLM when he conducted 10:53:27</p> <p>5 the analysis was at its full brightness? 10:53:33</p> <p>6 A. Was what? 10:53:36</p> <p>7 Q. Was at its full brightness. 10:53:38</p> <p>8 A. I was aware his testimony, but since 10:53:42</p> <p>9 I used that microscope myself, so I believe what he 10:53:47</p> <p>10 said was not true. 10:53:54</p> <p>11 Q. When is the first time you used, 10:53:56</p> <p>12 quote, unquote, that microscope? 10:54:00</p> <p>13 A. That was on the 15th of last month, 10:54:02</p> <p>14 June 15th. 10:54:06</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. So the first time you used the 10:54:07</p> <p>16 what you believe to be the same microscope that Mr. 10:54:10</p> <p>17 Hess used was after you had authored your report in 10:54:13</p> <p>18 these cases? 10:54:16</p> <p>19 A. Correct. 10:54:19</p> <p>20 Q. In fairness to you, this next 10:54:38</p> <p>21 question has no natural place anywhere in my 10:54:40</p> <p>22 outline, so this is an out-of-left-field question. 10:54:45</p> <p>23 I need to prepare you for that. 10:54:48</p> <p>24 What is the tensile strength of 10:54:50</p>	<p>1 A. Okay. 10:57:28</p> <p>2 Q. When did you first meet Dr. Wylie? 10:57:30</p> <p>3 A. On the I think 28th of May at the 10:57:36</p> <p>4 hearing in New Brunswick. 10:57:45</p> <p>5 Q. Do you know of Dr. Wylie prior to 10:57:50</p> <p>6 meeting her in person? 10:57:54</p> <p>7 A. Yes. 10:57:55</p> <p>8 Q. What did you know about Dr. Wylie 10:57:57</p> <p>9 prior to meeting her? 10:57:59</p> <p>10 A. First, I think she's a famous 10:58:01</p> <p>11 professor at University of Maryland. Also I had 10:58:07</p> <p>12 another professor, Mr. Luke Chang. Luke Chang was 10:58:13</p> <p>13 Ann Wylie's college at the same geology department. 10:58:21</p> <p>14 So I was friends with friends with Professor Luke 10:58:29</p> <p>15 Chang. And he talked about Ann Wylie, saying he is 10:58:34</p> <p>16 working same field. He is mineralogist, very 10:58:41</p> <p>17 accomplished mineralogist. Also when I was reading 10:58:46</p> <p>18 literature, I think he publish a paper with Jennifer 10:58:51</p> <p>19 Verkouteren NIST about amphibole so I was aware of 10:58:55</p> <p>20 it, aware of her. 10:59:15</p> <p>21 Q. You had mentioned somebody who is 10:59:18</p> <p>22 associated with NIST, which is NIST? 10:59:19</p> <p>23 A. Yeah. 10:59:23</p> <p>24 Q. Who was that? 10:59:23</p>

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 A. That's Jennifer Verkouteren. She is 10:59:24</p> <p>2 a researcher at NIST. Also a mineralogist. Okay. 10:59:28</p> <p>3 Q. Do you know anything about Ann 10:59:34</p> <p>4 Wylie's affiliation with a trade organization known 10:59:42</p> <p>5 as The National Stone and Sand Gravel Association? 10:59:46</p> <p>6 A. No, I don't. 10:59:51</p> <p>7 Q. Stone Sand and Gravel. I'm sorry. 10:59:52</p> <p>8 A. No. 10:59:56</p> <p>9 Q. You don't know anything about her 10:59:56</p> <p>10 association or affiliation with that group? 10:59:58</p> <p>11 A. No. Okay. 10:59:59</p> <p>12 Q. Do you know anything about Ann 11:00:01</p> <p>13 Wylie's affiliation with a talc mining concerning 11:00:04</p> <p>14 referred to as Vanderbilt minerals? 11:00:07</p> <p>15 A. No. 11:00:10</p> <p>16 Q. Do you know anything at all about the 11:00:10</p> <p>17 mineralogy of talc located in Upstate New York? 11:00:12</p> <p>18 A. No. 11:00:17</p> <p>19 Q. Do you know anything about Ann 11:00:18</p> <p>20 Wylie's advocacy for reclassifying asbestos articles 11:00:23</p> <p>21 as non-asbestiform or asbestiform? 11:00:29</p> <p>22 MR. HYNES: Objection to form. 11:00:34</p> <p>23 Argumentative. 11:00:36</p> <p>24 You can answer. 11:00:36</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, yes. 11:01:52</p> <p>2 Q. You conclude here by saying [Reading] 11:01:53</p> <p>3 I think the lawyers involved should benefit from a 11:01:55</p> <p>4 one-day training session with the two of us to 11:01:58</p> <p>5 ensure they understand the basic chrysotile and talc 11:02:01</p> <p>6 analysis by polarized light microscopy needed in 11:02:06</p> <p>7 litigation. Thanks, Shu-Chun. 11:02:11</p> <p>8 A. Yes. 11:02:15</p> <p>9 Q. I am going to ask a very pointed 11:02:16</p> <p>10 question that sounds insulting. I mean it literally 11:02:19</p> <p>11 but it sounds insulting. I am telling you that up 11:02:22</p> <p>12 front. 11:02:25</p> <p>13 A. Okay. 11:02:25</p> <p>14 Q. What do you know about litigation? 11:02:25</p> <p>15 MR. HYNES: Objection; vague. 11:02:29</p> <p>16 Overbroad. 11:02:31</p> <p>17 A. I think the litigation is the dispute 11:02:33</p> <p>18 whether there is asbestos mineral, like chrysotile, 11:02:38</p> <p>19 in the baby powder product. I believe that issue I 11:02:43</p> <p>20 have been providing my consulting about. That's my 11:02:50</p> <p>21 understanding. 11:02:58</p> <p>22 Q. Do you know what experience Dr. Wylie 11:02:58</p> <p>23 has relative to polarized light microscopy? 11:03:02</p> <p>24 A. I think I know she was teaching the 11:03:07</p>
Page 63	Page 65
<p>1 A. No, I don't. 11:00:37</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know anything about Ann 11:00:38</p> <p>3 Wylie's testimony to Congress relative to the 1992 11:00:40</p> <p>4 OSHA regulations -- 11:00:44</p> <p>5 A. Not at all. 11:00:46</p> <p>6 MR. HYNES: Let him -- sorry. Let 11:00:48</p> <p>7 him finish the question. 11:00:50</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11:00:52</p> <p>9 (Exhibit 10 Collection of Correspondence 11:00:52</p> <p>10 between Su and Dr. Wylie marked for identification.) 11:01:05</p> <p>11 Q. Exhibit 10 is an email -- it's two 11:01:05</p> <p>12 pages. It's two emails from you to Dr. Wylie. 11:01:08</p> <p>13 A. Correct. 11:01:14</p> <p>14 Q. The first one here was sent June 1st 11:01:15</p> <p>15 of this year 2024. And there is a series of seven 11:01:17</p> <p>16 attachments. 11:01:23</p> <p>17 A. Mm-hmm. Yes. 11:01:25</p> <p>18 Q. It says [Reading] It was a great 11:01:26</p> <p>19 pleasure to meet you in person. Here are the 11:01:28</p> <p>20 matching wavelengths to refractive index or RI 11:01:31</p> <p>21 conversion tables for Cargille and DRIMMC oils. 11:01:35</p> <p>22 We will talk about those in a second. 11:01:40</p> <p>23 Then you attach some of your recent papers, fair? 11:01:43</p> <p>24 Yes? 11:01:51</p>	<p>1 course in University of Maryland. 11:03:11</p> <p>2 Q. Have you scheduled this one day 11:03:16</p> <p>3 training or is this progressed in any way to where 11:03:20</p> <p>4 you are preparing to conduct a training session for 11:03:23</p> <p>5 attorneys to teach them information that you think 11:03:28</p> <p>6 might be important to them? 11:03:32</p> <p>7 A. No, because Ann Wylie, she was not 11:03:34</p> <p>8 responsive. Okay. 11:03:38</p> <p>9 Q. Not responsive in what sense? 11:03:42</p> <p>10 A. To my suggestion in this email. 11:03:44</p> <p>11 Q. Do you mean she told you no or do you 11:03:48</p> <p>12 mean that she just hasn't responded? 11:03:50</p> <p>13 A. She did not respond to that. He 11:03:54</p> <p>14 [sic] only replies thank you for my paper. He [sic] 11:03:57</p> <p>15 never mentioned whether she agree about this 11:04:01</p> <p>16 training I mentioned. 11:04:07</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. The response here -- this is 11:04:08</p> <p>18 the second page -- says [Reading] Thank you, 11:04:12</p> <p>19 Shu-Chun. I appreciate these very much. Best 11:04:14</p> <p>20 regards, Ann. 11:04:19</p> <p>21 A. Mm-hmm. 11:04:21</p> <p>22 Q. You have to say "yes" or "no." 11:04:22</p> <p>23 A. Yes. 11:04:24</p> <p>24 Q. I hate it too. 11:04:24</p>

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 A. I'm sorry. That's the first time I 11:04:26 2 do this deposition. 11:04:27 3 Q. Of course. It's awful. I know what 11:04:28 4 you meant but. 11:04:32 5 Have you had anymore communications 11:04:37 6 of any kind with Dr. Wylie, spoken or in writing? 11:04:39 7 A. No. 11:04:44 8 Q. All right. So that was it. After 11:04:50 9 her email on June 1st of this year, you have not 11:04:57 10 spoken with Dr. Wylie at all? 11:05:00 11 A. No, not at all. 11:05:03 12 Q. Do you know she gave a deposition in 11:05:08 13 this case, in Kayme Clark's case and in the MDL? 11:05:10 14 Are you aware of that? 11:05:15 15 A. I am aware of that. 11:05:16 16 Q. Did you read it? 11:05:17 17 A. No. 11:05:18 18 Q. You attached to this two documents, 11:05:26 19 which you provided to me. I am going to have them 11:05:31 20 marked here. 11:05:33 21 The first one is going to be 11:06:08 22 Exhibit 11. This is a reference sheet. It's 34 11:06:10 23 pages long. But it's the selection of DRIMMC 11:06:16 24 immersion liquids for asbestos analysis. That's the 11:06:21</p>	<p>1 liquids that are listed as appropriate for 11:07:41 2 evaluating chrysotile in the gamma direction include 11:07:46 3 refractive index oils of 1.550 and 1.560? 11:07:52 4 A. Correct. 11:08:00 5 Q. Correct. I need to rename this real 11:08:00 6 quick. 11:08:17 7 (Exhibit 13 The Dispersion Staining 11:08:22 8 Technique and Its Application to Measure Refractive 11:08:28 9 Indices of Nonopaque Materials With Emphasis on 11:08:33 10 Asbestos Analysis marked for identification.) 11:08:37 11 Q. Exhibit 13 is a 2022 peer-reviewed 11:08:22 12 paper that you authored called The Dispersion 11:08:25 13 Staining Technique and Its Application to Measure 11:08:28 14 Refractive Indices of Nonopaque Materials With 11:08:31 15 Emphasis on Asbestos Analysis, correct? 11:08:35 16 A. Yes, that's my paper. 11:08:38 17 Q. There is a quotation in this paper 11:08:40 18 that I know you're familiar with by this point. 11:08:43 19 A. Yeah. 11:08:46 20 Q. In the Section 3 that says "Select a 11:08:47 21 proper refractive index liquid to mount the 11:08:51 22 samples," there is a statement in here where you 11:08:55 23 state [Reading] The rule of thumb is to choose a 11:08:58 24 refractive index liquid as close as possible to the 11:09:02</p>
Page 67	Page 69
<p>1 Delaware Research Institute For Minerals. 11:06:26 2 A. Material. 11:06:30 3 Q. Do you know what it stands for? 11:06:30 4 A. I think it's Delaware Research 11:06:31 5 Institute of Material -- Mineral and Material 11:06:35 6 Characterization. I find difficult to pronounce 11:06:46 7 that word after my stroke. 11:06:53 8 Q. I'm sorry. I wasn't trying to put 11:06:55 9 you on the spot. 11:06:56 10 A. Okay. 11:06:58 11 (Exhibit 11 DRIMMC Asb RI Conversion Tables 11:06:19 12 34 pages 2022 marked for identification.) 11:07:00 13 Q. DRIMMC and Cargille are two of the 11:07:00 14 companies that manufacture what are referred to as 11:07:04 15 standards or standard oils used for the process of 11:07:07 16 polarized light microscopy, true? 11:07:12 17 A. Yes. 11:07:14 18 Q. What I was going to ask about -- 11:07:15 19 before I do that, Exhibit 12 is the same -- similar 11:07:19 20 document, but it's the Cargille liquids. 11:07:24 21 A. Yes. 11:07:28 22 (Exhibit 12 Cargille Asb RI Conversion 11:07:28 23 Tables 34 pages 2022 marked for identification.) 11:07:29 24 Q. So for both Exhibits 11 and 12, the 11:07:29</p>	<p>1 refractive indexes that will be measured. For 11:09:06 2 example, there are chrysotile minerals whose 11:09:11 3 refractive indexes are significantly higher than 11:09:14 4 those of the standard chrysotile from the NIST, 11:09:17 5 N-I-S-T, SRM 1866 set. In that case, 1.555 or 1.560 11:09:22 6 instead of 1.550 refractive index liquids should be 11:09:34 7 used to determine gamma. 11:09:41 8 Do you see that? 11:09:44 9 A. Correct. 11:09:44 10 Q. A couple of questions related to 11:09:44 11 this: 11:09:48 12 Chrysotile is a family of minerals 11:09:51 13 depending on where it comes from may have a 11:09:54 14 different refractive index than chrysotile from 11:09:58 15 another place in the world, correct? 11:10:01 16 A. Correct. 11:10:03 17 Q. Chrysotile taken from Canada, for 11:10:03 18 example, may have a different refractive index than 11:10:10 19 chrysotile taken from somewhere else, correct? 11:10:13 20 A. Correct. 11:10:16 21 Q. Chrysotiles refractive indices are 11:10:16 22 expressed as a range because they're known in nature 11:10:22 23 to occur in a range, correct? 11:10:24 24 A. Correct. 11:10:27</p>

Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 Q. In your opinion, what is the range of 11:10:35 2 refractive indexes for chrysotile in the gamma 11:10:40 3 direction? 11:10:44 4 A. Then I would have to refer to the EPA 11:10:48 5 documents 600 93 test method. I believe it was 11:10:53 6 Table 2.2, the listed the range of the 6 asbestos 11:11:07 7 minerals refract index in that table. Yes, this is 11:11:17 8 the table. 11:11:25 9 Q. Yes. So this is Exhibit 14. This is 11:11:26 10 the 1992 [sic] EPA R-93 600 Test Method. 11:11:31 11 (Exhibit 14 1993 EPA R-93 600 Test Method 11:11:40 12 marked for identification.) 11:11:41 13 Q. In your opinion, the ranges for 11:11:41 14 chrysotile in gamma, which is the -- under the 11:11:45 15 refractive indices column. It's the second Greek 11:11:51 16 letter. 11:11:56 17 A. Yes. 11:11:56 18 Q. Range from 1.517 all the way up to 11:11:57 19 1.567. 11:12:03 20 A. Yes. 11:12:07 21 Q. Okay. Is this your only reference 11:12:07 22 point? 11:12:15 23 A. Yes. 11:12:17 24 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen chrysotile 11:12:19</p>	<p>1 this will be Exhibit 15. This is a collection of 26 11:14:04 2 photos. 11:14:07 3 (Exhibit 15 Collection of Containers Sent to 11:14:08 4 Su marked for identification.) 11:14:08 5 Q. The samples that were sent to you -- 11:14:08 6 this is not the SG-210 -- but came to you in these 11:14:12 7 plastic containers of different colors, correct? 11:14:16 8 A. Mm-hmm. 11:14:20 9 Q. I'm sorry. You have to go with "yes" 11:14:21 10 or "no." 11:14:23 11 A. These are the sample I analyzed in 11:14:24 12 Pittsburgh. 11:14:29 13 Q. These photographs were produced to us 11:14:32 14 in a folder that was labeled sent to Su, meaning 11:14:36 15 that these -- well, I'm inferring -- anybody can 11:14:45 16 title a folder anything they want. Just from the 11:14:49 17 title of it, I presume these were sent to you. 11:14:53 18 A. Correct. 11:14:57 19 Q. Were they sent to you in these 11:14:57 20 containers? 11:15:00 21 A. Yes. I have it. 11:15:01 22 Q. Great. Were they sent to you already 11:15:03 23 mounted on slides? 11:15:06 24 A. These are the slides I analyzed in 11:15:08</p>
Page 71	Page 73
<p>1 with a refractive index above 1.6 in gamma? 11:12:23 2 A. Only the Calidria. It's gamma is 11:12:31 3 1.750 or 1.760 or 1.561. That's my measurement. 11:12:37 4 Q. That's your measurement? 11:12:48 5 A. That's right. Also the reference 11:12:50 6 value in the NVLAP proficient testing. 11:12:53 7 Q. Yeah, you're testifying of Calidria 11:12:59 8 was conducted in June of this year, correct? 11:13:05 9 A. Correct. 11:13:08 10 Q. Is that the first time you've ever 11:13:09 11 analyzed a sample of what you believe to be 11:13:11 12 Calidria? 11:13:13 13 A. Yes, that's the first time I 11:13:15 14 personally analyze it. 11:13:18 15 Q. The origin of the Calidria that you 11:13:20 16 analyzed, it was provided to you by Matt Sanchez, 11:13:24 17 correct? 11:13:29 18 A. Actually, it was Professor Gunter. 11:13:31 19 He sent his sample to Mr. Sanchez and Mr. Sanchez 11:13:38 20 brought that sample to Pittsburgh. I said I want to 11:13:45 21 analyze them. That's SB-210 grade. 11:13:51 22 Q. That's SG? 11:13:56 23 A. SG-210, yeah. 11:13:58 24 Q. The samples that were sent to you -- 11:14:01</p>	<p>1 Pittsburgh after the completion of the work. I said 11:15:12 2 I want save those slides so please collect them, 11:15:19 3 pack them and send that to me. 11:15:27 4 Q. I am glad that you saved them. But 11:15:29 5 my question was a little bit different. 11:15:31 6 These arrived to you as prepared 11:15:34 7 slides, correct? 11:15:38 8 A. Actually, we prepare -- I prepare 11:15:40 9 some of them in the lab before I analyze them. 11:15:44 10 Q. So, for example, the photograph that 11:15:51 11 we are looking at right here, which is page six of 11:15:53 12 Exhibit 15, that's the photograph that we are 11:15:57 13 looking at. It says SG-210, 1.550. 11:15:59 14 A. Correct. 11:16:05 15 Q. And this is how you received it, 11:16:05 16 correct? It was in this container when you received 11:16:07 17 it? 11:16:10 18 A. Yes. 11:16:11 19 Q. The labeling indicates that this had 11:16:11 20 already been mounted in 1.550 oil, right? 11:16:14 21 A. That was mounted on the day of my 11:16:20 22 analysis. 11:16:27 23 Q. Who did that? 11:16:27 24 A. I believe it's Monica at RJ Lee. 11:16:29</p>

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 Q. Is she a scientist or lab technician? 11:16:38</p> <p>2 Who is she? 11:16:40</p> <p>3 A. She is an analyst, she is 11:16:41</p> <p>4 accomplished analyst. 11:16:43</p> <p>5 Q. What is purported to be SG-210 here 11:16:50</p> <p>6 is something that was provided to your understanding 11:16:54</p> <p>7 from Mickey Gunter to Matt Sanchez to you? 11:16:56</p> <p>8 A. Correct. Or so I heard Mickey 11:17:02</p> <p>9 Gunter's SG-210 was provided by Dr. Longo. 11:17:07</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So it's your belief that the 11:17:12</p> <p>11 SG-210 was the same SG-210 that Dr. Longo previously 11:17:15</p> <p>12 provided to Mickey Gunter? 11:17:20</p> <p>13 A. Yes, that's my understanding. 11:17:23</p> <p>14 Q. And you have not looked at Mickey 11:17:26</p> <p>15 Gunter's analysis of this same material? 11:17:28</p> <p>16 A. No. 11:17:31</p> <p>17 Q. Why not? 11:17:31</p> <p>18 A. You see, I don't think it's necessary 11:17:33</p> <p>19 for me to look at that. I want look that myself. 11:17:36</p> <p>20 Q. The refractive index of in Gamma, the 11:17:50</p> <p>21 highest refractive index that you identified for 11:17:55</p> <p>22 Calidria -- repeat it again if you can. 11:17:59</p> <p>23 A. Yeah, the highest refract index, the 11:18:01</p> <p>24 gamma refract index of the chrysotile I measured. 11:18:05</p>	<p>1 but you were not a coauthor of either of these 11:20:18</p> <p>2 books? 11:20:21</p> <p>3 A. I indicated that was my review. The 11:20:22</p> <p>4 publisher sent me two books for me to review. 11:20:27</p> <p>5 Q. Optical Mineralogy, 2nd Edition? 11:20:32</p> <p>6 A. Yes, yes. 11:20:35</p> <p>7 Q. This is my only copy. I am going to 11:20:36</p> <p>8 hand this to you. 11:20:39</p> <p>9 A. Okay. 11:20:43</p> <p>10 MR. HYNES: Are you marking chapters 11:20:46</p> <p>11 or sections? 11:20:49</p> <p>12 MR. BRALY: I will put it up on the 11:20:50</p> <p>13 screen of what I am actually marking. 11:20:52</p> <p>14 Q. I am marking six pages from this 11:21:10</p> <p>15 book. Optical Mineralogy by David Shelley as 11:21:13</p> <p>16 Exhibit 16. 11:21:16</p> <p>17 (Exhibit 16 Optical Mineralogy Six Pages 11:21:17</p> <p>18 marked for identification.) 11:21:17</p> <p>19 Q. What I wanted to ask you about is 11:21:17</p> <p>20 where that blue tab is on the right-hand side of the 11:21:20</p> <p>21 physical book, do you want to turn to that? 11:21:22</p> <p>22 A. Yeah, I saw that. 11:21:29</p> <p>23 Q. There is a section called Mineral 11:21:30</p> <p>24 Descriptions. This is in Chapter 9. 11:21:32</p>
Page 75	Page 77
<p>1 Q. Numerically -- 11:18:11</p> <p>2 A. Numerically my results is 1.560 or 11:18:13</p> <p>3 1.561. 11:18:22</p> <p>4 Q. Is that something that you consider 11:18:30</p> <p>5 to be at the higher range of what the refractive 11:18:33</p> <p>6 index for chrysotile is in the gamma direction? 11:18:37</p> <p>7 A. Correct. 11:18:41</p> <p>8 Q. Do you have an opinion or have you 11:19:00</p> <p>9 ever analyzed the refractive index of chrysotile 11:19:02</p> <p>10 originating from Vermont? 11:19:07</p> <p>11 A. No. 11:19:12</p> <p>12 Q. Let me do a little cleaning up here. 11:19:25</p> <p>13 All right. I am going to go back to your Exhibit 3 11:19:31</p> <p>14 for a moment. 11:19:35</p> <p>15 There is a list of references in 11:19:38</p> <p>16 Exhibit 3. At page -- it's paginated as page 3. 11:19:41</p> <p>17 It's page 15 of the pdf. There are two books 11:19:52</p> <p>18 relevant to asbestos analysis listed here. One of 11:19:57</p> <p>19 them is 1989 book Introduction to Optical Mineralogy 11:20:00</p> <p>20 by William Nesse or Nesse. The other one is a book 11:20:05</p> <p>21 1986 called Optical Mineralogy, 2nd Edition by David 11:20:09</p> <p>22 Shelley. Do you see that? 11:20:14</p> <p>23 A. I saw that. 11:20:15</p> <p>24 Q. Your name is listed on both of these, 11:20:16</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. 11:21:36</p> <p>2 Q. We get to a section here for 11:21:37</p> <p>3 olivines. There is a chart at page 154 that lists 11:21:44</p> <p>4 forsterite, chrysotile and other minerals associated 11:21:53</p> <p>5 with olivines where the gamma direction, the 11:21:57</p> <p>6 refractive index for chrysotile is reflected as 11:22:02</p> <p>7 ranging between 1.69 and 1.70. Do you see that? 11:22:06</p> <p>8 A. You mean which paragraph? 11:22:21</p> <p>9 Q. I am looking at the chart. Figure 11:22:23</p> <p>10 9.1. 11:22:27</p> <p>11 A. The chart, that is olivine, that is 11:22:28</p> <p>12 not chrysotile. 11:22:31</p> <p>13 Q. The section below it says chrysotile. 11:22:32</p> <p>14 Do you see that? 11:22:37</p> <p>15 A. Which section? 11:22:38</p> <p>16 Q. Do you mind if I point it to you? 11:22:40</p> <p>17 A. Okay. 11:22:42</p> <p>18 Q. I will come to you. I am trying to 11:22:43</p> <p>19 figure out if I am looking at this correctly. Part 11:22:47</p> <p>20 of this is just educating me. See it says 11:22:50</p> <p>21 chrysotile right there. Follow the line up for 11:22:53</p> <p>22 gamma and it intersects at 1.69 and runs to 1.70. 11:22:55</p> <p>23 A. No, that is not the chrysotile 11:23:02</p> <p>24 refract index. 11:23:05</p>

Page 78	Page 80
<p>1 Q. Okay. What is it? 11:23:07</p> <p>2 A. It is olivine. Olivine number is 11:23:11</p> <p>3 forsterite, the other end member is fayalite. These 11:23:20</p> <p>4 are the two end members in mineralogy, like a 11:23:24</p> <p>5 mineral series. Okay. That all about olivine. 11:23:29</p> <p>6 It's a mineral. 11:23:40</p> <p>7 Q. Could I grab that back from you? 11:23:50</p> <p>8 A. Yeah. 11:23:54</p> <p>9 Q. So it is your testimony then that the 11:24:07</p> <p>10 chrysotile referenced here is not the same 11:24:09</p> <p>11 chrysotile as what would be in a family like 11:24:12</p> <p>12 serpentine; is that right? 11:24:18</p> <p>13 A. Correct. 11:24:19</p> <p>14 MR. HYNES: Objection. Misstates 11:24:20</p> <p>15 testimony. 11:24:22</p> <p>16 Q. So the objection kind of through me 11:24:23</p> <p>17 off. I want to make sure we are in agreement here. 11:24:27</p> <p>18 What is -- when it's referencing 11:24:31</p> <p>19 chrysotile, what is that a reference to in this 11:24:35</p> <p>20 context? 11:24:40</p> <p>21 A. I don't know. I don't know why he 11:24:41</p> <p>22 put the chrysotile words in this graph. I have no 11:24:44</p> <p>23 idea. 11:24:52</p> <p>24 Q. Do you know what? I do. I am saying 11:24:55</p>	<p>1 A. Okay. 11:27:10</p> <p>2 Q. Right? 11:27:10</p> <p>3 A. Yes. 11:27:11</p> <p>4 Q. Yeah. For the properties for the 11:27:11</p> <p>5 optical properties, it says that in gamma that these 11:27:15</p> <p>6 minerals can range from 1.545 all the way to 1.584. 11:27:20</p> <p>7 Do you see that? 11:27:26</p> <p>8 A. Yes. I saw that. 11:27:26</p> <p>9 Q. And that the birefringences 11:27:28</p> <p>10 associated with these falls between .004 to .017. 11:27:31</p> <p>11 Do you see that? 11:27:38</p> <p>12 A. Correct. 11:27:38</p> <p>13 Q. And that there is an inverse 11:27:38</p> <p>14 relationship between refractive index and 11:27:40</p> <p>15 birefringence values? 11:27:46</p> <p>16 A. Correct. 11:27:50</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. You can hand that one back. 11:27:50</p> <p>18 MR. BRALY: Kevin, what's your 11:28:10</p> <p>19 pleasure here as far as -- oh, don't want that. 11:28:11</p> <p>20 MR. HYNES: Do you want to go another 11:28:14</p> <p>21 15, 20 and maybe break? 11:28:16</p> <p>22 MR. BRALY: Sure. Sounds good. I 11:28:20</p> <p>23 have an outline and I have already kind of screwed 11:28:46</p> <p>24 it all up. I am going to try to pick up where I am 11:28:48</p>
Page 79	Page 81
<p>1 it wrong. I'm saying it wrong. Okay. I think I 11:24:59</p> <p>2 can resolve this. I'm just now realizing -- keep in 11:25:07</p> <p>3 mind I got this book yesterday -- it doesn't say 11:25:11</p> <p>4 chrysotile. It says chrysolite. 11:25:14</p> <p>5 A. Okay. It's not chrysotile. 11:25:21</p> <p>6 Q. That actually explains what I was 11:25:25</p> <p>7 getting at then. That helps me intensely. That is 11:25:27</p> <p>8 chrysolite. 11:25:33</p> <p>9 This will be Exhibit 17. This is the 11:25:40</p> <p>10 same book, just some additional pages. 11:26:23</p> <p>11 (Exhibit 17 Optical Mineralogy 12 Pages 11:26:27</p> <p>12 marked for identification.) 11:26:27</p> <p>13 Q. We looked at that section. I am 11:26:27</p> <p>14 going to give you the book back. There is another 11:26:30</p> <p>15 section that begins at page 229. Right here. 11:26:32</p> <p>16 A. Okay. 11:26:41</p> <p>17 Q. This book that you referenced states 11:26:41</p> <p>18 that there are three varieties of serpentines, 11:26:51</p> <p>19 chrysotile this time exactly spelled chrysotile -- 11:26:54</p> <p>20 not chrysolite -- lizardite and antigorite? 11:26:57</p> <p>21 A. Correct. 11:27:02</p> <p>22 Q. We are talking about the things that 11:27:03</p> <p>23 are generally referred to when we are talking about 11:27:04</p> <p>24 asbestos at least for chrysotile. 11:27:07</p>	<p>1 and see if we can cover some more ground. 11:28:53</p> <p>2 BY MR. BRALY: 11:28:53</p> <p>3 Q. In your report, in the report that's 11:28:57</p> <p>4 in front of you, Exhibit 3, you don't comment on -- 11:28:59</p> <p>5 or you don't critique the birefringence calculations 11:29:05</p> <p>6 that Dr. Longo performed relative to the minerals 11:29:10</p> <p>7 that he was examination, correct? 11:29:14</p> <p>8 A. Correct. 11:29:16</p> <p>9 Q. Why not? 11:29:17</p> <p>10 A. For me, birefringence is not an 11:29:19</p> <p>11 issue. Gamma is. Once you get alpha and a gamma 11:29:25</p> <p>12 correctly, you got birefringence. So actually we 11:29:30</p> <p>13 don't think birefringence is a specific property you 11:29:36</p> <p>14 have to measure independently. You measure the 11:29:45</p> <p>15 gamma and the alpha that birefringence is 11:29:49</p> <p>16 automatically. Therefore, you don't have to 11:29:54</p> <p>17 calculate that, because it was defined as the gamma 11:29:59</p> <p>18 minus alpha. 11:30:04</p> <p>19 Q. Right, which is exactly how Dr. Longo 11:30:05</p> <p>20 calculated birefringence, is by taking the gamma 11:30:07</p> <p>21 value less the alpha value. 11:30:11</p> <p>22 MR. HYNES: Objection. 11:30:14</p> <p>23 A. Yes. 11:30:15</p> <p>24 MR. HYNES: Misstates Dr. Longo's 11:30:16</p>

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 methodology. 11:30:19</p> <p>2 MR. BRALY: Not really. 11:30:20</p> <p>3 BY MR. BRALY: 11:30:20</p> <p>4 Q. You were present for Dr. Longo's 11:30:20</p> <p>5 testimony as to how he calculated birefringence, 11:30:22</p> <p>6 correct? 11:30:27</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I did. 11:30:27</p> <p>8 Q. Did you agree with how he calculated 11:30:28</p> <p>9 birefringence values? Was it scientifically 11:30:30</p> <p>10 accurate in your opinion? 11:30:33</p> <p>11 MR. HYNES: Objection to form. 11:30:34</p> <p>12 Vague. 11:30:36</p> <p>13 A. There are two aspects. The formula 11:30:36</p> <p>14 of birefringence, that's one issue, gamma minus 11:30:43</p> <p>15 alpha. Another issue is the gamma value, whether 11:30:49</p> <p>16 the gamma value is chrysotile or talc. 11:30:56</p> <p>17 Q. Yeah. 11:31:03</p> <p>18 A. You see? 11:31:05</p> <p>19 Q. Understood. Let me be as fair to 11:31:06</p> <p>20 this as I can be. I understand that you do not 11:31:09</p> <p>21 agree with Dr. Longo's values in the gamma direction 11:31:13</p> <p>22 for what he is identifying as chrysotile. I 11:31:18</p> <p>23 understand that you don't agree with that. 11:31:22</p> <p>24 Presuming the values are correct, did 11:31:24</p>	<p>1 and also the data showed they misinterpreted the 11:33:15</p> <p>2 dispersion staining color. They think a mineral 11:33:20</p> <p>3 particle display a range of dispersion staining 11:33:25</p> <p>4 color for its parallel direction with the gamma or 11:33:31</p> <p>5 so for its perpendicular direction which is alpha. 11:33:35</p> <p>6 They interpret that as a range of recur [ph] index. 11:33:40</p> <p>7 This is totally wrong. 11:33:46</p> <p>8 Q. I feel we are talking about two 11:33:49</p> <p>9 different things. One of them what I do understand 11:33:50</p> <p>10 to be a criticism of yours about their findings, 11:33:54</p> <p>11 multiple refractive indices within a singular 11:33:58</p> <p>12 bundle, which is what I think you're talking about. 11:34:02</p> <p>13 A. Yes. 11:34:05</p> <p>14 Q. I am asking about something a little 11:34:05</p> <p>15 bit more discreet. 11:34:08</p> <p>16 Back to Exhibit 14 this is the EPA 11:34:10</p> <p>17 493 we see a range of birefringence reported as 11:34:13</p> <p>18 between .004 to .017 for chrysotile. 11:34:17</p> <p>19 A. Correct. 11:34:23</p> <p>20 Q. And that's the same range of 11:34:23</p> <p>21 birefringence -- 11:34:25</p> <p>22 A. In the book. 11:34:27</p> <p>23 Q. -- in the book, right? 11:34:28</p> <p>24 A. Yes. 11:34:29</p>
Page 83	Page 85
<p>1 he perform the calculation in a scientifically 11:31:28</p> <p>2 reliable way for calculating birefringence? 11:31:33</p> <p>3 MR. HYNES: Same objection. Vague, 11:31:36</p> <p>4 overbroad. 11:31:39</p> <p>5 A. I don't think it's meaningless if you 11:31:40</p> <p>6 don't have the correct gamma and alpha. That's the 11:31:42</p> <p>7 key. Okay. 11:31:47</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Do you agree that subtracting 11:31:49</p> <p>9 the maximum gamma less the maximum alpha and the 11:31:53</p> <p>10 minimum gamma minus the minimum alpha will give you 11:31:59</p> <p>11 a range of birefringence? 11:32:02</p> <p>12 A. I disagree. 11:32:05</p> <p>13 Q. You do. Why? 11:32:06</p> <p>14 A. The concept maximum gamma, minimum 11:32:08</p> <p>15 alpha is a confused concept. You see, when we talk 11:32:14</p> <p>16 of maximum and a minimum, it's not about single 11:32:22</p> <p>17 particle. It's about a group, like chrysotile. You 11:32:29</p> <p>18 have location for Vermont, from Canada, from 11:32:34</p> <p>19 Arizona, from California. Okay. 11:32:41</p> <p>20 Then if we talk alpha. Again, it's a 11:32:44</p> <p>21 group of mineral, not individual. For any 11:32:50</p> <p>22 individual mineral chrysotile, you have only one 11:32:55</p> <p>23 value of birefringence. You have only one gamma and 11:33:01</p> <p>24 one alpha. Here is the problem, because I believe 11:33:07</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. So it is fair to say that the 11:34:30</p> <p>2 birefringence values associated with chrysotile fall 11:34:34</p> <p>3 between .004 and .017? 11:34:40</p> <p>4 A. Correct. 11:34:44</p> <p>5 Q. All right. And birefringence by the 11:34:45</p> <p>6 way is a unit list number. It has -- it doesn't 11:34:47</p> <p>7 have units? 11:34:50</p> <p>8 A. No. 11:34:51</p> <p>9 Q. The birefringence associated with 11:34:55</p> <p>10 talc is generally higher than this? 11:34:58</p> <p>11 A. Much higher. 11:35:00</p> <p>12 Q. Give me a range of the birefringence 11:35:02</p> <p>13 you associate with talc. It may be here actually. 11:35:06</p> <p>14 A. I think the best literature is Dr. 11:35:12</p> <p>15 Gunter's on 2022 paper. He was the first who 11:35:17</p> <p>16 measured 20 talc from different localities where he 11:35:25</p> <p>17 can collect the sample. So he listed a range for 11:35:32</p> <p>18 each talc, you have alpha, now you have a gamma. 11:35:38</p> <p>19 But the average alpha I believe is around 1.50 -- 11:35:43</p> <p>20 1.540. The average of gamma in his paper is 1.85, 11:35:53</p> <p>21 if I remember correctly. So the difference between 11:36:01</p> <p>22 gamma and alpha on the average for talc is somewhere 11:36:07</p> <p>23 under .045. That we talk about that the range of 11:36:15</p> <p>24 the birefringence about chrysotile an EPA method. 11:36:23</p>

Page 86	Page 88
<p>1 But for each individual samples, they have 11:36:31</p> <p>2 individual value of the birefringence. 11:36:36</p> <p>3 Q. I understand what you're saying. If 11:36:40</p> <p>4 your evaluating a singular fiber of chrysotile and 11:36:43</p> <p>5 you're calculating the refractive index for that 11:36:47</p> <p>6 fiber, you can get a singular measurement for gamma 11:36:50</p> <p>7 and alpha and calculate a singular birefringence 11:36:53</p> <p>8 value? 11:36:58</p> <p>9 A. That's correct. 11:36:59</p> <p>10 Q. For something like what's present 11:37:00</p> <p>11 here in Exhibit 14 -- again, this is page 26 of 11:37:02</p> <p>12 Exhibit 14. This is EPA R-93. When you're dealing 11:37:07</p> <p>13 with a range of multiple fibers or multiple 11:37:14</p> <p>14 measurements, you can get a range of birefringences? 11:37:19</p> <p>15 A. Correct. 11:37:24</p> <p>16 Q. Mathematically, when you have ranges 11:37:24</p> <p>17 like this, you would calculate the range of 11:37:28</p> <p>18 birefringence in this case like what we see on the 11:37:31</p> <p>19 page here, by taking the maximum high end and the 11:37:36</p> <p>20 maximum -- high end of gamma, subtracted by the high 11:37:40</p> <p>21 end of alpha, and the low end of gamma subtracted by 11:37:44</p> <p>22 the low end of alpha as they did in this example? 11:37:47</p> <p>23 A. Yes. 11:37:51</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. The range for birefringence -- 11:37:52</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. 11:39:32</p> <p>2 Q. Your opinion about Dr. Longo's 11:39:33</p> <p>3 finding of chrysotile in Johnson & Johnson's talc is 11:39:41</p> <p>4 that what he's reporting as chrysotile is not 11:39:45</p> <p>5 chrysotile? 11:39:48</p> <p>6 A. No. 11:39:48</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. I mean that is your opinion, 11:39:49</p> <p>8 correct? 11:39:51</p> <p>9 A. That's right. 11:39:52</p> <p>10 Q. Yes. Okay. Are you aware or have 11:39:52</p> <p>11 you been told that Dr. Longo is not the only 11:39:58</p> <p>12 scientist who has found chrysotile in Johnson & 11:40:02</p> <p>13 Johnson's powder? 11:40:05</p> <p>14 A. I was aware I think there is a -- now 11:40:08</p> <p>15 which agency is that? EPA or -- 11:40:16</p> <p>16 Q. FDA. 11:40:20</p> <p>17 A. FDA. Yeah. I know it was sample 11:40:21</p> <p>18 analyze by a lab in Maryland. Okay. I have been to 11:40:25</p> <p>19 that lab. I was aware they found asbestos in the 11:40:30</p> <p>20 sample. 11:40:35</p> <p>21 Q. You're talking about the AMA? 11:40:36</p> <p>22 A. AMA. 11:40:38</p> <p>23 Q. Yeah. Were you aware of this prior 11:40:39</p> <p>24 to being retained by Johnson & Johnson as an expert? 11:40:43</p>
Page 87	Page 89
<p>1 by the way, you agree with that, right, when you're 11:37:59</p> <p>2 dealing with ranges? 11:38:03</p> <p>3 A. Agree. 11:38:04</p> <p>4 Q. Yes. Good. When you're dealing with 11:38:04</p> <p>5 birefringence for chrysotile, you will get numbers 11:38:07</p> <p>6 that range from .004 up until around .017? 11:38:10</p> <p>7 A. Correct. 11:38:17</p> <p>8 Q. Understanding that any individual 11:38:17</p> <p>9 fiber or bundle will have its own discrete 11:38:20</p> <p>10 birefringence value? 11:38:23</p> <p>11 A. Between these two values. 11:38:24</p> <p>12 Q. Right. I apologize. I was probably 11:38:26</p> <p>13 doing too many things at one time. For talc, what 11:38:30</p> <p>14 is the range of birefringence that you associated 11:38:34</p> <p>15 with talc? 11:38:37</p> <p>16 A. I will referred to that table. It's 11:38:40</p> <p>17 somewhere I think between .04 to .05. 11:38:45</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So somewhere around four to 11:38:51</p> <p>19 five times higher? 11:38:54</p> <p>20 A. Yeah, that's about 10 times higher 11:38:55</p> <p>21 than the chrysotile. 11:38:58</p> <p>22 Q. I have a section that I want to cover 11:39:26</p> <p>23 and I think it will be probably be time for lunch, 11:39:29</p> <p>24 okay? 11:39:32</p>	<p>1 A. I never look into that before my 11:40:49</p> <p>2 involvement, but after I was retained as an expert 11:40:54</p> <p>3 witness, I was reading literature, then I came up to 11:41:02</p> <p>4 that AMA. It's appendix for the FDA kind of report, 11:41:09</p> <p>5 yeah. 11:41:17</p> <p>6 Q. Is this literature that was provided 11:41:20</p> <p>7 to you by Mr. Hynes? 11:41:21</p> <p>8 A. No. That's -- I was -- I was 11:41:23</p> <p>9 review -- looking the literature related to this 11:41:29</p> <p>10 topic. 11:41:32</p> <p>11 Q. I could be wrong about this, but I 11:41:34</p> <p>12 don't know if the AMA results were ever published in 11:41:38</p> <p>13 the peer-reviewed literature. It may have been -- 11:41:42</p> <p>14 A. It's on the internet. 11:41:44</p> <p>15 Q. So you were just doing basically a 11:41:45</p> <p>16 Google search about -- 11:41:47</p> <p>17 A. That's right. 11:41:49</p> <p>18 Q. Got you. You came across the AMA 11:41:49</p> <p>19 findings from a couple years ago? 11:41:52</p> <p>20 A. No. This year. 11:41:56</p> <p>21 Q. No, no, no. Their findings were from 11:41:58</p> <p>22 a couple years ago? 11:42:01</p> <p>23 A. Yes. 11:42:02</p> <p>24 Q. I'm sorry. That was confusing. 11:42:03</p>

Page 90	Page 92
<p>1 That's something that you discovered after you 11:42:05</p> <p>2 became an expert -- or after you agreed to serve as 11:42:07</p> <p>3 an expert witness? 11:42:12</p> <p>4 A. Correct. 11:42:13</p> <p>5 Q. That was part of your review that 11:42:13</p> <p>6 accounted for some of that billing that we looked at 11:42:15</p> <p>7 before? 11:42:17</p> <p>8 A. Yes. 11:42:18</p> <p>9 Q. Right. Are you aware that McCrone, 11:42:18</p> <p>10 as a hired lab for Johnson & Johnson, found 11:42:24</p> <p>11 chrysotile in Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder? 11:42:27</p> <p>12 A. That, I am not aware. 11:42:32</p> <p>13 Q. Are you aware that the Colorado 11:42:34</p> <p>14 School of Mines found chrysotile in Johnson & 11:42:37</p> <p>15 Johnson's Baby Powder? 11:42:41</p> <p>16 A. That literature I read. I was aware. 11:42:41</p> <p>17 Q. Are you aware that NIOSH, the 11:42:46</p> <p>18 National Institution of Occupational Safety and 11:42:49</p> <p>19 Health, through a series of contractors found 11:42:52</p> <p>20 chrysotile in Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder? 11:42:55</p> <p>21 A. No, I don't. 11:42:57</p> <p>22 Q. Are you aware that Art Langer found 11:42:58</p> <p>23 chrysotile in Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder? 11:43:00</p> <p>24 A. No. 11:43:03</p>	<p>1 here? 11:44:28</p> <p>2 MR. BRALY: Yes. 11:44:29</p> <p>3 (A luncheon recess was taken.) 12:25:18</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>
Page 91	Page 93
<p>1 Q. Are you aware that RJ Lee found 11:43:03</p> <p>2 chrysotile in Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder? 11:43:06</p> <p>3 A. No. 11:43:09</p> <p>4 Q. You didn't know that? 11:43:09</p> <p>5 A. No. 11:43:10</p> <p>6 Q. They didn't tell you that? 11:43:10</p> <p>7 A. No. 11:43:11</p> <p>8 Q. Didn't bother to mention it to you 11:43:12</p> <p>9 while you're looking at all these things? 11:43:14</p> <p>10 A. I did come across. I did Google 11:43:16</p> <p>11 search. I did not come up with any document saying 11:43:20</p> <p>12 RJ Lee has found the, like you said, the asbestos in 11:43:25</p> <p>13 baby powder. No, I don't. 11:43:31</p> <p>14 Q. You understand that Bryan Bandli and 11:43:33</p> <p>15 Matt Sanchez, they work for RJ Lee? 11:43:37</p> <p>16 A. I do. They never told me. 11:43:40</p> <p>17 Q. Right. Are you aware that Johnson & 11:43:42</p> <p>18 Johnson's suppliers, including supplier generally 11:43:48</p> <p>19 referred to as Imerys or Rio Tinto found chrysotile 11:43:52</p> <p>20 in the supply for baby powder? 11:43:56</p> <p>21 A. No, I am not aware. 11:44:02</p> <p>22 MR. BRALY: Kevin, this is a good a 11:44:23</p> <p>23 time as any. 11:44:25</p> <p>24 MR. HYNES: Should we break for lunch 11:44:26</p>	<p>1 AFTERNOON SESSION 12:25:18</p> <p>2 12:28:11</p> <p>3 BY MR. BRALY: 12:28:11</p> <p>4 Q. Welcome back from lunch. 12:28:18</p> <p>5 A. Thanks. 12:28:21</p> <p>6 Q. I hope that was -- you had a nice 12:28:21</p> <p>7 break. And just keep in mind that you need to -- if 12:28:23</p> <p>8 you want to take a break or something, just to 12:28:28</p> <p>9 stretch -- 12:28:32</p> <p>10 A. I will let you know. 12:28:32</p> <p>11 Q. Please do. 12:28:33</p> <p>12 A. So far, I'm okay. 12:28:34</p> <p>13 Q. Great. We had looked at what's -- 12:28:36</p> <p>14 should be on your screen right here about samples, 12:28:40</p> <p>15 26 pages of samples that came from a folder that was 12:28:45</p> <p>16 labeled "Sent to Su." That includes all of these 12:28:49</p> <p>17 similar-looking containers with different labels on 12:28:54</p> <p>18 them. For example, the second page of this says 12:28:58</p> <p>19 .560 HD Valadez with and it gives a number M12001 12:29:02</p> <p>20 CTL? 12:29:11</p> <p>21 Were all of these containers provided 12:29:11</p> <p>22 to you by Matt Sanchez? 12:29:15</p> <p>23 A. Yes. Again, these are the samples I 12:29:18</p> <p>24 analyzed. 12:29:25</p>

Page 94	Page 96
<p>1 Q. Yes. These are all part of the 12:29:25</p> <p>2 samples that you analyzed in June with Matt Sanchez 12:29:28</p> <p>3 and Bryan Bandli? 12:29:31</p> <p>4 A. Correct. 12:29:32</p> <p>5 Q. And then you've provided those 12:29:33</p> <p>6 samples to us in the materials provided prior to 12:29:37</p> <p>7 this deposition? 12:29:41</p> <p>8 A. Say again. 12:29:43</p> <p>9 Q. All of your images from the samples 12:29:44</p> <p>10 were provided? 12:29:49</p> <p>11 A. Yes. 12:29:49</p> <p>12 Q. Yeah. So I was going to ask you 12:29:50</p> <p>13 about some of these. Some of these samples involve 12:29:53</p> <p>14 what is purported to be SG-210 mounted in 1.550 oil. 12:29:57</p> <p>15 There is another one in 1.560. 12:30:06</p> <p>16 A. Correct. 12:30:10</p> <p>17 Q. So I have some of these images. I 12:30:10</p> <p>18 wanted to mark those images as exhibits as we go 12:30:14</p> <p>19 forward here. I am going to start with -- if I can 12:30:18</p> <p>20 get this to work -- what is going to be Exhibit 18. 12:30:20</p> <p>21 (Exhibit 18 Sample CDCS 1.550 with SG-210 12:30:24</p> <p>22 Alpha marked for identification.) 12:30:37</p> <p>23 Q. Exhibit 18 is a single photo that's 12:30:25</p> <p>24 marked as a number followed by CDCS 1.550 with 12:30:28</p>	<p>1 Q. I guess what I am asking is, how do 12:32:20</p> <p>2 you know that what you're looking at isn't asbestos 12:32:22</p> <p>3 that was in the Johnson's Baby Powder absent the 12:32:26</p> <p>4 spiking? 12:32:30</p> <p>5 A. Because the optical property 12:32:30</p> <p>6 indicates the SG-210 shows a blue central stop 12:32:33</p> <p>7 disbursing staining color along its gamma direction 12:32:44</p> <p>8 but lighter than the blue in the gamma direction. 12:32:49</p> <p>9 Q. You said gamma twice. 12:32:54</p> <p>10 A. No, alpha versus gamma. Alpha is 12:32:57</p> <p>11 slight lighter. Gamma is deeper blue. 12:33:01</p> <p>12 Q. Isn't that also true for just 12:33:04</p> <p>13 chrysotile? 12:33:06</p> <p>14 A. No. The 1866, chrysotile, the 12:33:09</p> <p>15 distinction between 1866 chrysotile versus the 12:33:20</p> <p>16 Calidria SG-210 is the gamma direction. I have the 12:33:27</p> <p>17 micrograph showing that it's magenta in color. 12:33:33</p> <p>18 Q. I don't think 1866 has ever been 12:33:39</p> <p>19 reported to be present in Johnson's Baby Powder. 12:33:43</p> <p>20 1866 is a Canadian chrysotile. 12:33:50</p> <p>21 A. No, but I said they are spiked 12:33:50</p> <p>22 sample. They purposely put the 1866 to spike the 12:33:53</p> <p>23 Valadez baby powder. 12:34:00</p> <p>24 Q. I suppose my question remains, is, 12:34:05</p>
Page 95	Page 97
<p>1 SG-210 alpha. 12:30:36</p> <p>2 A. Correct. 12:30:39</p> <p>3 Q. So this is a photo of that SG-210 in 12:30:39</p> <p>4 the alpha direction or the perpendicular direction 12:30:46</p> <p>5 under polarized light, correct? 12:30:50</p> <p>6 A. Correct. 12:30:52</p> <p>7 Q. In 1.550 refractive index liquid? 12:30:53</p> <p>8 A. The number preceding that, 3183377, 12:30:58</p> <p>9 indicates that is Valadez talc baby powder. That 12:31:03</p> <p>10 baby powder was spiked with SG-210 I look at that 12:31:10</p> <p>11 sample. 12:31:16</p> <p>12 Q. Are you sure about that? 12:31:19</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I'm sure. 12:31:20</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So this is not an analysis of 12:31:34</p> <p>15 just straight unadulterated SG-210. This is a 12:31:40</p> <p>16 spiked sample of the Valadez baby powder? 12:31:45</p> <p>17 A. Correct. 12:31:48</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. This might be a dumb question, 12:31:49</p> <p>19 but how do you know what we are looking at is the 12:31:56</p> <p>20 SG-210? 12:31:58</p> <p>21 A. Because it was prepared with the 12:32:00</p> <p>22 SG-210 first provided by Dr. Longo to Professor 12:32:04</p> <p>23 Gunter, then from Gunter to Mr. Sanchez, then Mr. 12:32:11</p> <p>24 Sanchez brought that sample. 12:32:17</p>	<p>1 how do you know that what you're looking at is one 12:34:10</p> <p>2 of the spike fibers and not asbestos that was 12:34:13</p> <p>3 present in the Valadez baby powder? 12:34:15</p> <p>4 A. Because I examine the Valadez sample, 12:34:19</p> <p>5 pure nonspiked. I did not find any chrysotile 12:34:25</p> <p>6 structure. 12:34:38</p> <p>7 Q. Do you -- so this image -- and this 12:34:39</p> <p>8 is -- I will just do this: 12:34:47</p> <p>9 Let me put up the next image here, 12:34:50</p> <p>10 which is going to be Exhibit 19. 12:34:53</p> <p>11 (Exhibit 19 Sample CDCS 1.550 with SG-210 12:34:57</p> <p>12 Gamma marked for identification.) 12:34:58</p> <p>13 Q. This is the same sample in the gamma 12:34:58</p> <p>14 direction, correct? 12:35:01</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 12:35:04</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Again, in 1.550 refractive 12:35:06</p> <p>17 index oil? 12:35:10</p> <p>18 A. Yes. 12:35:11</p> <p>19 Q. So when you're evaluating the color 12:35:12</p> <p>20 of this sample, is it your opinion that this color 12:35:19</p> <p>21 is uniform throughout this image? 12:35:27</p> <p>22 A. Uniform for what? 12:35:30</p> <p>23 Q. From the edge to the center. 12:35:35</p> <p>24 A. No. 12:35:37</p>

Page 98	Page 100
<p>1 Q. Okay. When using color to identify a 12:35:38</p> <p>2 central stop dispersion staining reference, where is 12:35:44</p> <p>3 the appropriate location on a particle in the gamma 12:35:54</p> <p>4 direction to identify the representative color? 12:35:59</p> <p>5 A. I am glad you bring this up. That I 12:36:03</p> <p>6 want to explain. A structure of a mineral like here 12:36:06</p> <p>7 is a chrysotile. It's a fibrous, fiber bundle. 12:36:16</p> <p>8 It's consistent with the fiber oils. 12:36:25</p> <p>9 Now, the interface between those 12:36:29</p> <p>10 fibers will affect the dispersion staining color. 12:36:33</p> <p>11 Therefore, I think we need to differentiate between 12:36:40</p> <p>12 the true central stop dispersion staining color 12:36:47</p> <p>13 which is representative the true refract index of 12:36:54</p> <p>14 the structure versus those central stop 12:36:59</p> <p>15 dispersion -- what I call distorted color. Those 12:37:05</p> <p>16 color is not indicative of the gamma value of the 12:37:09</p> <p>17 fiber. What I am saying is, even it display a range 12:37:15</p> <p>18 of color, different color doesn't mean it is a range 12:37:26</p> <p>19 of refract index. Same is true for 1866 photograph. 12:37:30</p> <p>20 They show a range of the dispersion staining color, 12:37:38</p> <p>21 which was interpreted by Dr. Longo as the variation 12:37:44</p> <p>22 of refract index of the 1866, which is incorrect, 12:37:49</p> <p>23 because 1866 has a constant value of 1.556 for the 12:37:56</p> <p>24 gamma direction. Those color which does not 12:38:07</p>	<p>1 objective. It has a three setting. One is central 12:40:12</p> <p>2 stop. One is annular stop. Another is just -- 12:40:18</p> <p>3 there is no stop. So in this case when you are in 12:40:26</p> <p>4 doubt which color is the right one to use, you 12:40:33</p> <p>5 switch that to the no stop and you close the 12:40:39</p> <p>6 aperture diaphragm to examine the Becke line. 12:40:46</p> <p>7 Q. I was going to talk about Becke lines 12:40:50</p> <p>8 later. Becke line analysis is a different form of 12:40:54</p> <p>9 analysis than phase contrast microscopy, correct? 12:40:58</p> <p>10 MR. HYNES: Objection to the form. 12:41:02</p> <p>11 A. Not phase contrast. There are four 12:41:04</p> <p>12 method measuring refract index in polarized light 12:41:08</p> <p>13 microscope. The traditional, the foremost one is 12:41:15</p> <p>14 Becke line. Later on, there is another method 12:41:21</p> <p>15 called oblique elimination. However, oblique 12:41:26</p> <p>16 elimination method is only used for screening 12:41:33</p> <p>17 purpose to see my liquid is too high or too low 12:41:38</p> <p>18 until you got the liquid closer to the object, the 12:41:46</p> <p>19 structure you are measuring. Then you do the Becke 12:41:52</p> <p>20 line. Becke line is the most accurate method. 12:41:58</p> <p>21 Now, later for the asbestos industry, 12:42:03</p> <p>22 since it's a commercial operation, it's not a 12:42:08</p> <p>23 research, they can't afford to spend too much time 12:42:12</p> <p>24 on a sample. So Becke line -- because Becke line 12:42:19</p>
Page 99	Page 101
<p>1 correspond to 1.556, they are distorted due to the 12:38:14</p> <p>2 interface condition between fibers. I have graphic 12:38:21</p> <p>3 to explain the formation of the distorted color. 12:38:29</p> <p>4 Q. I believe it's one of your slides? 12:38:37</p> <p>5 A. Yeah. 12:38:39</p> <p>6 Q. I've seen it. It's not what I am 12:38:40</p> <p>7 asking you about here. Let's look at Exhibit 19 12:38:43</p> <p>8 here. I have tried to enlarge it a little bit. 12:38:46</p> <p>9 The fiber, this item that you could 12:38:52</p> <p>10 see in the horizontal direction here in the center 12:38:56</p> <p>11 of this, if you look at it in the center, there is 12:39:00</p> <p>12 some golden color, there is some reddish color. On 12:39:03</p> <p>13 the edges it's kind of purplish. 12:39:06</p> <p>14 When you're -- when you're evaluating 12:39:11</p> <p>15 what color corresponds to the chart, where do you 12:39:16</p> <p>16 select the color? On the edges? On the center of 12:39:23</p> <p>17 the structure? What color do you use when there's 12:39:26</p> <p>18 multiple colors in a sample like Exhibit 19? 12:39:30</p> <p>19 A. Yes. Now, in order to determine 12:39:35</p> <p>20 which color to be used to derive the refract index 12:39:43</p> <p>21 of this fiber, first you will have to determine 12:39:52</p> <p>22 which is the true, I call it true central stop 12:39:57</p> <p>23 dispersion staining color. The way to distinguish 12:40:02</p> <p>24 them -- see, this is a McCrone dispersion staining 12:40:07</p>	<p>1 you have to change the liquid. You put a liquid. 12:42:23</p> <p>2 You find it's higher than the structure. Now you 12:42:28</p> <p>3 prepare another sample, use a lower liquid until 12:42:34</p> <p>4 they got the match. So it's cumbersome. It's 12:42:40</p> <p>5 time-consuming. It's not for the commercial 12:42:45</p> <p>6 operation. 12:42:48</p> <p>7 Then the third method is the 12:42:49</p> <p>8 dispersion staining. 12:42:54</p> <p>9 Q. Did you perform a Becke line analysis 12:42:57</p> <p>10 of this particle? 12:43:00</p> <p>11 A. I did. 12:43:02</p> <p>12 Q. Is that part of your Becke line 12:43:02</p> <p>13 folder? 12:43:05</p> <p>14 A. Not -- which folder -- 12:43:06</p> <p>15 Q. I'm sorry. I shouldn't have thrown 12:43:10</p> <p>16 that at you. Is that part of the materials that you 12:43:11</p> <p>17 produced? 12:43:15</p> <p>18 A. Actually, I think in the folder of 12:43:15</p> <p>19 the glass, I want use the glass, the Cargille glass 12:43:18</p> <p>20 in 1.55 and 1.560. That folder, I want use the 12:43:26</p> <p>21 glass to show what it distorted central stop 12:43:35</p> <p>22 dispersion staining color versus the Becke line. 12:43:40</p> <p>23 And how do you use Becke line to -- 12:43:45</p> <p>24 Q. I see, I see that folder. I can talk 12:43:50</p>

Page 102	Page 104
<p>1 to you about it later. 12:43:55</p> <p>2 I am asking specifically with this 12:43:56</p> <p>3 SG-210 fiber, did you specifically do a Becke line 12:43:58</p> <p>4 analysis of this? 12:44:02</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I did. 12:44:04</p> <p>6 Q. Is that included in the photos that I 12:44:05</p> <p>7 have? I don't know that I have seen that. 12:44:08</p> <p>8 A. I did not take the picture, because 12:44:10</p> <p>9 it just flip to switch, then you observe. Which is 12:44:13</p> <p>10 automatic kind of operation for me. See, I keep 12:44:27</p> <p>11 switching between the central stop and Becke line. 12:44:31</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. So for this particular fiber, 12:44:37</p> <p>13 which color is the color that is the right color in 12:44:45</p> <p>14 comparison to the CDCS chart -- CSDS? 12:44:49</p> <p>15 A. That is the color corresponding to 12:44:56</p> <p>16 the 1.560 refract index. 12:45:01</p> <p>17 Q. What color is that? We have, we have 12:45:06</p> <p>18 a golden, we have a reddish, we have purple, we have 12:45:12</p> <p>19 a little bit of blue in there. What color is the 12:45:15</p> <p>20 color that you're then comparing to the CSDS chart? 12:45:19</p> <p>21 A. I believe reddish purple. 12:45:25</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So for this fiber that's 12:45:29</p> <p>23 Exhibit 19, you're identifying that as corresponding 12:45:33</p> <p>24 with reddish purple? 12:45:38</p>	<p>1 of the micrometer image with the gamma value, gamma 12:48:05</p> <p>2 dispersion staining color in central stop dispersion 12:48:13</p> <p>3 mode. 12:48:22</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. We will look at what we are 12:48:22</p> <p>5 going to mark as Exhibit 21. 12:48:25</p> <p>6 A. Yes. This is the corresponding 12:48:28</p> <p>7 central stop dispersion staining image. 12:48:31</p> <p>8 (Exhibit 21 Micrometer 318337 CSDS 1.550 12:49:07</p> <p>9 talc particle gamma marked for identification.) 12:49:14</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Let me do this: Okay. So 12:48:36</p> <p>11 this image that we are looking at, which is 12:49:02</p> <p>12 Exhibit 21, is entitled "Micrometer 318337 CSDS 12:49:05</p> <p>13 1.550 talc particle gamma" and this image is what 12:49:13</p> <p>14 you were saying is the same image as Exhibit 19? 12:49:20</p> <p>15 A. No. This is -- wait a second. You 12:49:24</p> <p>16 mean this? I think you show a Becke line image. 12:49:30</p> <p>17 That is the same of this. Not the one without 12:49:38</p> <p>18 micrometer. No. This is not. 12:49:43</p> <p>19 Q. Not this one? 12:49:46</p> <p>20 MR. HYNES: Exhibit 20. 12:49:47</p> <p>21 MR. BRALY: Exhibit 20 he already 12:49:51</p> <p>22 told me wasn't. 12:49:52</p> <p>23 A. This is not. Whenever there is no 12:49:53</p> <p>24 micrometer in the file name, it is not a sample 12:49:59</p>
Page 103	Page 105
<p>1 A. Correct. 12:45:39</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. There is no -- there is no 12:45:40</p> <p>3 scale bar for this photograph, correct? 12:45:59</p> <p>4 A. In this image, it doesn't, but I took 12:46:03</p> <p>5 a series image with the scale bar. There is one 12:46:09</p> <p>6 sample I prepare the sample on a micrometer. So 12:46:14</p> <p>7 it's superimposed on the micrometer to show the 12:46:24</p> <p>8 scale of the particle size, which in the photo 12:46:30</p> <p>9 probably was named micrometer or something like 12:46:34</p> <p>10 that. 12:46:38</p> <p>11 Q. So there is -- I am going to show an 12:46:47</p> <p>12 image here and ask you if this is the image that 12:47:07</p> <p>13 corresponds with that one. 12:47:10</p> <p>14 A. Yes. See the background, this is a 12:47:12</p> <p>15 sample prepared on the surface of the micrometer. 12:47:16</p> <p>16 Q. What I am asking is, is the image we 12:47:22</p> <p>17 are looking at -- I am mark this next one as 12:47:25</p> <p>18 Exhibit 20. 12:47:27</p> <p>19 (Exhibit 20 Micrometer 3183377 at Focus 12:47:27</p> <p>20 1.550 Talc Particle marked for identification.) 12:47:28</p> <p>21 Q. Is this the same image as Exhibit 19? 12:47:28</p> <p>22 A. No. 12:47:32</p> <p>23 Q. No? 12:47:33</p> <p>24 A. But I am sure we will find an image 12:48:02</p>	<p>1 prepared on the micrometer. 12:50:04</p> <p>2 MR. HYNES: Show Exhibit 20. 21 and 12:50:10</p> <p>3 20 I think relate to one another. 12:50:13</p> <p>4 MR. BRALY: They both come from the 12:50:16</p> <p>5 micrometer folder. 12:50:18</p> <p>6 BY MR. BRALY: 12:50:19</p> <p>7 Q. This is Exhibit 20. This is 12:50:19</p> <p>8 Exhibit 21. Did you superimpose a micrometer over 12:50:23</p> <p>9 Dr. Longo's findings? 12:50:31</p> <p>10 A. No. This sample I prepared by 12:50:33</p> <p>11 sprinkle the baby powder on the micrometer slide 12:50:40</p> <p>12 instead a regular blank slide because I want the 12:50:49</p> <p>13 micrometer image showing same time in the field of 12:50:55</p> <p>14 view. 12:51:01</p> <p>15 Q. The particle that we are looking at 12:51:01</p> <p>16 in Exhibit 21, right here, that's golden. Looks 12:51:03</p> <p>17 like there might be some greenish lineation and some 12:51:11</p> <p>18 reddish on the outside, what is that? 12:51:16</p> <p>19 A. These are the distorted dispersion 12:51:20</p> <p>20 staining color of the talc elongated talc particle. 12:51:25</p> <p>21 Q. So that's talc? 12:51:31</p> <p>22 A. This is talc. 12:51:32</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And this is a sample that you 12:51:34</p> <p>24 prepared? 12:51:38</p>

Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 A. Correct. 12:51:38</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Going back to what my question 12:51:39</p> <p>3 had been, for Exhibit 19, you do not have a scale 12:51:43</p> <p>4 bar for this photograph, correct? 12:51:49</p> <p>5 A. Because I am taking the same 12:51:51</p> <p>6 objective, does that scale bar applicable to this. 12:51:57</p> <p>7 When I -- if I process image, I will type the nature 12:52:04</p> <p>8 of the sample and also I will put the scale bar on 12:52:13</p> <p>9 the image. But this is the raw data. 12:52:17</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. 12:52:23</p> <p>11 A. It's not been prosed. I did not have 12:52:23</p> <p>12 time to put the scale bar on that image. 12:52:28</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So if we look at Exhibit 21, 12:52:33</p> <p>14 the particle on Exhibit 20 -- first of all, the 12:52:37</p> <p>15 field of view for Exhibit 19 and Exhibit 21 is the 12:52:41</p> <p>16 same field of view, correct? 12:52:44</p> <p>17 A. This are two different sample. 12:52:47</p> <p>18 Q. Not my question. I understand they 12:52:50</p> <p>19 are two different samples. 12:52:52</p> <p>20 A. The same field of view, same 12:52:53</p> <p>21 objective. 12:52:56</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So if we were to superimpose 12:52:56</p> <p>23 the micrometer from Exhibit 21 on to Exhibit 19, 12:52:59</p> <p>24 that would be a fair thing to do? 12:53:06</p>	<p>1 distribution of the talc versus the chrysotile 12:54:33</p> <p>2 spiked in the talc sample. It's two population. 12:54:38</p> <p>3 However, the peak of the talc, the particle size is 12:54:44</p> <p>4 smaller than the chrysotile average size. However, 12:54:53</p> <p>5 the two curve is overlap, which means there are 12:55:00</p> <p>6 chrysotile fiber similar or even smaller than this. 12:55:08</p> <p>7 However, if you measure all the chrysotile in a 12:55:14</p> <p>8 sample, you plot it, it's the particle size is 12:55:20</p> <p>9 larger than the talc. 12:55:27</p> <p>10 Also, I took some SEM image of the 12:55:31</p> <p>11 spiked sample. On the SEM, it's easier to find the 12:55:37</p> <p>12 chrysotile compared on the optical microscope. 12:55:48</p> <p>13 Q. Is that the wet-sieved -- 12:55:55</p> <p>14 A. That label the wet sieve, about 400 12:56:04</p> <p>15 mesh sieve, yeah. 12:56:08</p> <p>16 Q. Now, all of what we are talking 12:56:11</p> <p>17 about, this work here with Exhibits 19, 20, and 21, 12:56:13</p> <p>18 Exhibit 18 as well, as well as the files that you're 12:56:17</p> <p>19 talking about with the wet-sieved chrysotile, all of 12:56:21</p> <p>20 this was done the month after you issued your expert 12:56:25</p> <p>21 report in the MDL case and the chemical arts case? 12:56:31</p> <p>22 A. Correct. The MDL report was issued 12:56:41</p> <p>23 on May the 21st. The work I did is between 15th to 12:56:44</p> <p>24 17th of June, the next month. 12:56:53</p>
Page 107	Page 109
<p>1 A. Correct. 12:53:09</p> <p>2 Q. Exhibit 19 is an image of a 12:53:10</p> <p>3 chrysotile fiber, correct? 12:53:14</p> <p>4 A. Correct. 12:53:16</p> <p>5 Q. Exhibit 19, this one. Okay? 12:53:17</p> <p>6 Exhibit 21 is an image of fibrous talc? 12:53:21</p> <p>7 A. Correct. 12:53:25</p> <p>8 Q. How close in size are these two 12:53:26</p> <p>9 fibers? 12:53:34</p> <p>10 A. I think they are close. 12:53:36</p> <p>11 Q. They appear to be close, don't they? 12:53:38</p> <p>12 A. They are. 12:53:40</p> <p>13 Q. One of your criticisms of Dr. Longo's 12:53:44</p> <p>14 work that chrysotile fibers in fact don't occur at 12:53:47</p> <p>15 the same size. 12:53:51</p> <p>16 A. No. If you look the one, the 19 -- 12:53:55</p> <p>17 can you put 19? 12:53:59</p> <p>18 Q. Yes, sir. 12:54:00</p> <p>19 A. You see, this structure is larger 12:54:04</p> <p>20 than the talc particle. 12:54:09</p> <p>21 Q. Sure. They are not exact matches. 12:54:13</p> <p>22 But they are close in size, are they not? 12:54:16</p> <p>23 A. You see, if you -- I think the best 12:54:19</p> <p>24 example is the USP study. They have two particle 12:54:25</p>	<p>1 Q. I want to continue kind of 12:57:53</p> <p>2 identifying various things that you took pictures 12:57:55</p> <p>3 of. 12:57:58</p> <p>4 Exhibit 22 is an image what is 12:57:58</p> <p>5 purported to be this SG-210 chrysotile in 1.560 12:58:03</p> <p>6 refractive index liquid, correct? 12:58:09</p> <p>7 A. Correct. 12:58:13</p> <p>8 (Exhibit 22 3183377 with SG210 chrysotile in 12:58:13</p> <p>9 1.560 alpha marked for identification.) 12:58:14</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And again it is your position 12:58:14</p> <p>11 or your understanding that what you you've taken a 12:58:21</p> <p>12 photo of is the Valadez talc sample spiked with 12:58:25</p> <p>13 SG-210? 12:58:29</p> <p>14 A. Correct. 12:58:31</p> <p>15 Q. What percentage by weight, if you 12:58:31</p> <p>16 know, SG-210 was spiked into the sample? 12:58:35</p> <p>17 A. I believe it's 1 percent or 12:58:39</p> <p>18 .1 percent, either it's 1 percent or .1 percent. 12:58:43</p> <p>19 Q. There is a big difference between 12:58:48</p> <p>20 those two. 12:58:49</p> <p>21 A. That's right. 12:58:50</p> <p>22 Q. You don't know? 12:58:51</p> <p>23 A. I don't remember. I believe it's 12:58:55</p> <p>24 1 percent. 12:58:59</p>

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1 (Exhibit 23 3183377 With SG210 Chrysotile in 12:58:59 2 1.560 Gamma marked for identification.) 12:59:01 3 Q. Exhibit 23 is that same sample but 12:59:01 4 this time 1.560 refractive index fluid, correct? 12:59:09 5 A. Correct. 12:59:15 6 Q. So here is where I am going to ask 12:59:16 7 questions about the PLM process that maybe I don't 12:59:19 8 understand fully. Exhibit 19 is the SG-210 and 12:59:22 9 1.550 RI fluid? 12:59:36 10 A. Yes. 12:59:39 11 Q. Exhibit 23 is -- 12:59:40 12 A. 560. 12:59:42 13 Q. I hate to be parental about this, but 12:59:50 14 you have to let me finish the question. 12:59:53 15 A. Sorry. 12:59:55 16 Q. Exhibit 19 and Exhibit 23, they are 13:00:00 17 not the same fiber, right? 13:00:04 18 A. No. 13:00:07 19 Q. Right. I thought so. I just wanted 13:00:08 20 to make sure. Okay. 13:00:11 21 Now, for Exhibit 23 and the 1.560, 13:00:13 22 again, it appears to be predominantly blue, but 13:00:21 23 there is little blue in the middle and then this 13:00:26 24 blade of yellowish on the outside and this little 13:00:29</p>	<p>1 MR. BRALY: It wouldn't show up on 13:02:09 2 the record. 13:02:10 3 BY MR. BRALY: 13:02:42 4 Q. So as you go from south to north in 13:02:44 5 this middle, section, it goes from dark blue to 13:02:46 6 light blue, to the top you get this greenish reddish 13:02:53 7 menagerie? 13:02:57 8 A. Yes. 13:02:59 9 Q. Are you saying that you switched the 13:02:59 10 oculus to remove the central stop to evaluate the 13:03:04 11 Becke line? 13:03:08 12 A. No. The objective -- 13:03:09 13 Q. The objective. I'm sorry. 13:03:09 14 (Reporter asks for clarification.) 13:03:09 15 THE WITNESS: The dispersion 13:03:16 16 staining, dispersion staining objective. 13:03:18 17 Q. And by doing that, you could evaluate 13:03:35 18 the Becke line? 13:03:39 19 A. Correct. 13:03:42 20 Q. And in evaluating the Becke line, am 13:03:42 21 I correct that you bring the image slightly out of 13:03:48 22 focus to evaluate the border between the fiber and 13:03:52 23 the fluid? 13:03:56 24 A. No. The Becke line you need to focus 13:03:57</p>
Page 111	Page 113
<p>1 starburst pattern here on the central left side. 13:00:32 2 And then on the edges on the left side, we have a 13:00:37 3 line of red and then some lighter blue and some 13:00:40 4 darker blue even get a little bit of greenish up 13:00:43 5 here in the west, northwest of the structure. 13:00:48 6 What color do you identify this fiber 13:00:53 7 with for purposes of reference to the CSDS chart? 13:00:57 8 A. Okay. This photograph actually the 13:01:02 9 fiber is the horizontal section. Okay. They are 13:01:08 10 not continuous to this part. This fiber I am 13:01:16 11 looking at, it's not as I said. It is not 13:01:22 12 continuous to this end. 13:01:28 13 Q. So because nobody is ever going to 13:01:31 14 know what you're pointing at on the written record, 13:01:33 15 you're saying the section to the right of the eye 13:01:39 16 starburst is the fiber you're evaluating? 13:01:42 17 A. That's correct. And the color is 13:01:45 18 this deep blue I confirm that by Becke line. 13:01:49 19 Q. That deep blue color on the southern 13:01:56 20 edge of the fiber is the color that you would 13:02:00 21 identify with that fiber? 13:02:02 22 A. Correct. 13:02:04 23 MR. PLACITELLA: Are you able to put 13:02:05 24 the cursor over that? 13:02:06</p>	<p>1 on that. You did not change the focus. So when I 13:04:00 2 switch between the central stop dispersion staining 13:04:05 3 mode to the Becke line mode you don't change the 13:04:11 4 focus. 13:04:18 5 Q. Isn't it a critique of using Becke 13:04:19 6 lines to evaluate refractive index that it is not as 13:04:22 7 suitable for smaller particles as it is for larger 13:04:26 8 particles? 13:04:30 9 A. It depends. When it's not suitable 13:04:31 10 is you cannot determine the movement of the Becke 13:04:38 11 line or to distinguish the Becke line -- see, the 13:04:44 12 Becke line, when the particle and the liquid, when 13:04:54 13 they are very close, then the Becke line dispersed. 13:05:00 14 So there is a Becke line inside the structure and 13:05:07 15 also there is a Becke line dispersed Becke line 13:05:14 16 outside the structure in the liquid. That is how 13:05:17 17 you used to determine the match which Dr. Bloss book 13:05:26 18 has a famous chart, Becke line chart which people 13:05:36 19 used to determine a match or dis-match, mismatch. 13:05:41 20 Q. Does this image capture the entire 13:06:03 21 field of view that was being observed through the 13:06:06 22 microscope? 13:06:09 23 A. Correct. Every image in our database 13:06:09 24 in the raw data we sent to you, they are the full 13:06:15</p>

Page 114	Page 116
<p>1 image. That's the only way to catch it. 13:06:19</p> <p>2 Q. You do understand that you can take a 13:06:24</p> <p>3 digital image capture of what's being seen through a 13:06:27</p> <p>4 microscope with less than the full field of view, 13:06:31</p> <p>5 correct? You understand that's a possibility? 13:06:36</p> <p>6 A. There might be possibility. However, 13:06:42</p> <p>7 the software come with this like a microscope. I 13:06:46</p> <p>8 forgot the name of the software name. Star Wars 13:06:53</p> <p>9 era. Anyway, it come with the system, the monitor, 13:06:59</p> <p>10 the software image software and the microscope. 13:07:02</p> <p>11 There is one complete system. So when you click the 13:07:08</p> <p>12 capture image, it capture. 13:07:14</p> <p>13 I don't know if they have a function, 13:07:17</p> <p>14 for example, the cropped image or not. However, 13:07:23</p> <p>15 when we do this analysis for each field of view we 13:07:29</p> <p>16 examine, we just click the capture. So it capture 13:07:36</p> <p>17 the whole image on the screen. 13:07:41</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So one of the criticisms that 13:07:43</p> <p>19 you raised -- and we are going to look at it 13:07:49</p> <p>20 later -- had to do with the size of the field of 13:07:51</p> <p>21 view for some of Dr. Longo's work? 13:07:53</p> <p>22 A. Yeah, correct. 13:07:56</p> <p>23 Q. Point in fact is, you don't know if 13:07:57</p> <p>24 that image was capturing the entire field of view or 13:08:00</p>	<p>1 Q. It's not. I will drag it over. It's 13:10:25</p> <p>2 a folder. These are the folders that you provided. 13:10:31</p> <p>3 A. Okay. 13:10:39</p> <p>4 Q. Okay? It's a folder called 38 -- 13:10:39</p> <p>5 3183377 with M12001.1.550 and then another folder 13:10:51</p> <p>6 1.560. 13:11:00</p> <p>7 A. Yes. 13:11:03</p> <p>8 Q. In the 1.550 you have ten different 13:11:03</p> <p>9 particles in alpha and in gamma? 13:11:07</p> <p>10 A. Correct. 13:11:10</p> <p>11 Q. And the 1.560 folder you have five 13:11:10</p> <p>12 different particles in alpha and in gamma? 13:11:14</p> <p>13 A. Correct. 13:11:21</p> <p>14 Q. What is the M12001? What is that? 13:11:21</p> <p>15 A. It is the Coalinga chrysotile from 13:11:25</p> <p>16 the RTI. It's a California Calidria chrysotile. 13:11:33</p> <p>17 M12001 indicate it is proficient testing code. So 13:11:45</p> <p>18 the M represent a PLM. One indicate that the first 13:11:55</p> <p>19 one in that year NVLAP issued two proficient testing 13:12:05</p> <p>20 every year. One is in the first half of the year. 13:12:14</p> <p>21 Two being the second half of the year. Then 2001, 13:12:20</p> <p>22 which means that's the year of the test. So M12001 13:12:26</p> <p>23 meant it is the first proficient testing conducted 13:12:35</p> <p>24 by NVLAP in the year 2001. It is a first time or 13:12:42</p>
Page 115	Page 117
<p>1 if it was a cropped image from what was being 13:08:04</p> <p>2 displayed on the monitor, correct? 13:08:07</p> <p>3 A. I don't know. However, in software 13:08:10</p> <p>4 they used to capture the digital image, usually 13:08:17</p> <p>5 there is no cropping. There is no cropping. 13:08:26</p> <p>6 Q. I appreciate what you're saying -- 13:08:34</p> <p>7 A. Another important -- let me finish. 13:08:36</p> <p>8 Q. Sure. 13:08:38</p> <p>9 A. Another important too is the particle 13:08:39</p> <p>10 size in the image, which is provided another 13:08:43</p> <p>11 criteria to say is this a full field of view image 13:08:50</p> <p>12 or as a cropped image or part of the image. Because 13:08:58</p> <p>13 the particle size on the two images, they are not 13:09:05</p> <p>14 the same. 13:09:11</p> <p>15 Q. So I appreciate what you're saying 13:09:13</p> <p>16 about whatever default function for capturing images 13:09:19</p> <p>17 are. You actually are unaware if you're able to 13:09:24</p> <p>18 crop an image in the software provided with these 13:09:29</p> <p>19 microscopes or not as you sit here today, right? 13:09:32</p> <p>20 A. No, I don't. I'm not. 13:09:36</p> <p>21 Q. You took photos of ten different 13:10:02</p> <p>22 particles in a folder with the same number, 3183377, 13:10:05</p> <p>23 but this one was called with M12001. 13:10:17</p> <p>24 A. Is that under screen? 13:10:24</p>	<p>1 the last time NVLAP use a Calidria chrysotile for 13:12:51</p> <p>2 the test. 13:12:59</p> <p>3 Q. So the folder with the M12001 is 13:12:59</p> <p>4 another -- is it your testimony that that is another 13:13:06</p> <p>5 batch of California chrysotile or Calidria? 13:13:09</p> <p>6 A. Correct. 13:13:14</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And again, this was something 13:13:20</p> <p>8 that you didn't analyze until after you had already 13:13:31</p> <p>9 issued your expert report in this case? 13:13:34</p> <p>10 A. Correct. 13:13:37</p> <p>11 MR. BRALY: I am going to mark as 13:13:53</p> <p>12 Exhibit 24, 25 and 26. 24 is going to be titled 13:13:55</p> <p>13 Particle 1, M2000 -- M -- yeah, M2001, 1.250 gamma. 13:14:00</p> <p>14 Exhibit 25 is going to be Particle 2. And 13:14:19</p> <p>15 Exhibit 26 is going to be Particle 3. There are 10 13:14:20</p> <p>16 particles, but we are going to look at these as 13:14:24</p> <p>17 representative. 13:14:26</p> <p>18 (Exhibit 24 Particle 1 M2001 1.250 Gamma 13:14:12</p> <p>19 marked for identification.) 13:14:27</p> <p>20 (Exhibit 25 Particle 2 M2001 1.250 Gamma 13:14:19</p> <p>21 marked for identification.) 13:14:28</p> <p>22 (Exhibit 26 Particle 3 M2001 1.250 Gamma 13:14:21</p> <p>23 marked for identification.) 13:14:34</p> <p>24 Q. Sir, in Exhibit 24, what are you 13:14:34</p>

Page 118	Page 120
<p>1 identifying here with that arrow? 13:14:48</p> <p>2 A. Yeah. This is the Coalinga 13:14:51</p> <p>3 chrysotile from California, Union Carbide's. 13:14:56</p> <p>4 Q. Is this entire sample Calidria? 13:15:01</p> <p>5 A. Yes. 13:15:10</p> <p>6 Q. So there's no talc to your knowledge 13:15:12</p> <p>7 in this sample? 13:15:16</p> <p>8 A. Now I remember. This is the Coalinga 13:15:22</p> <p>9 chrysotile-spiked talc, Chinese talc. It's not pure 13:15:29</p> <p>10 Coalinga chrysotile. It's a spiked sample. 13:15:39</p> <p>11 Q. I'm confused about this because you 13:15:58</p> <p>12 have a whole other folder structure that you 13:16:01</p> <p>13 produced called Chinese Talc Milled With 1866 13:16:04</p> <p>14 Chrysotile and then you have another folder entirely 13:16:09</p> <p>15 called Chinese Talc Milled with SG-210 Chrysotile. 13:16:12</p> <p>16 That's not what this folder is. 13:16:17</p> <p>17 A. But this folder is not SG-210. It is 13:16:21</p> <p>18 the RTI, the Coalinga chrysotile. It's two Calidria 13:16:25</p> <p>19 chrysotile. 13:16:34</p> <p>20 Q. Yes, but what I am saying the folders 13:16:35</p> <p>21 that you gave me were not identified as this being a 13:16:37</p> <p>22 spiked sample. 13:16:40</p> <p>23 A. That probably they did not indicate 13:16:42</p> <p>24 in the file name. However, that a two spiked 13:16:47</p>	<p>1 this file name in any sense of what this is. 13:19:03</p> <p>2 A. It is a Valadez sample. 13:19:06</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Well, I know that now. I 13:19:09</p> <p>4 appreciate it. 13:19:10</p> <p>5 A. Okay. 13:19:13</p> <p>6 Q. What is Exhibit 24? What are we 13:19:13</p> <p>7 looking at here in the middle of the screen with 13:19:16</p> <p>8 that arrow on it? 13:19:18</p> <p>9 A. That is a chrysotile, gamma 13:19:22</p> <p>10 direction. 13:19:26</p> <p>11 Q. Is that a fiber? 13:19:36</p> <p>12 A. It is rather dark. It is the fiber. 13:19:46</p> <p>13 I think there is a same picture of this fiber in the 13:19:53</p> <p>14 alpha direction. I believe the alpha direction 13:20:01</p> <p>15 should be clearer. If you find a particle 1 alpha, 13:20:04</p> <p>16 can you show that image? 13:20:13</p> <p>17 Q. I can. I will need to mark it as a 13:20:15</p> <p>18 new exhibit. Give me a second. 13:20:18</p> <p>19 A. For each particle, we took a gamma 13:20:20</p> <p>20 and alpha. 13:20:24</p> <p>21 Q. So this will be Exhibit 27, which is 13:20:28</p> <p>22 particle 1 in the alpha direction. 13:20:31</p> <p>23 (Exhibit 27 Particle 1 M2001 1.550 CSDS 13:20:34</p> <p>24 Alpha marked for identification.) 13:20:38</p>
Page 119	Page 121
<p>1 sample. 13:16:52</p> <p>2 MR. HYNES: I will note for the 13:16:52</p> <p>3 record that the folder from which this originated is 13:16:54</p> <p>4 3183377 with M12001 1.550. I think Dr. Su's 13:16:56</p> <p>5 testimony previously is that 3183377 is the 13:17:09</p> <p>6 designation for that Valadez Chinese source. 13:17:27</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that file name 13:17:31</p> <p>8 reflect it is NVLAP chrysotile-spiked Valadez talc 13:17:33</p> <p>9 powder. Let me make it clear. 13:17:47</p> <p>10 BY MR. BRALY: 13:17:53</p> <p>11 Q. Until you told me that, how was I to 13:17:53</p> <p>12 know that 3183377 was a reference to the Valadez 13:17:57</p> <p>13 talc sample that had been spiked? 13:18:03</p> <p>14 A. See, the number I believe -- you 13:18:07</p> <p>15 should be able to find that in one of Dr. Longo's 13:18:12</p> <p>16 report. Yes, the numerical code. 13:18:18</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. 13:18:24</p> <p>18 A. So when he sent that chrysotile, 13:18:25</p> <p>19 Calidria chrysotile to Dr. Gunter, I believe it is 13:18:33</p> <p>20 with that number. That's why they continue -- yeah, 13:18:42</p> <p>21 I saw something in a document they received a sample 13:18:47</p> <p>22 with that number on. 13:18:54</p> <p>23 Q. In what you produced to me I don't 13:18:55</p> <p>24 know what 3183377 is. There is no indication in 13:18:58</p>	<p>1 A. Yeah. That's very clear. Can you 13:20:38</p> <p>2 see the fiber? 13:20:41</p> <p>3 Q. No. 13:20:42</p> <p>4 A. Do you want me to find it out? 13:20:45</p> <p>5 Q. Sure. 13:20:47</p> <p>6 A. That I remember. In the alpha 13:20:50</p> <p>7 direction, it's more clearer. You see? From here, 13:20:52</p> <p>8 up here. 13:21:00</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. It's like a blue streak that's 13:21:00</p> <p>10 running just to the left of that bright light blue 13:21:05</p> <p>11 blob? 13:21:10</p> <p>12 A. Correct. 13:21:11</p> <p>13 Q. All right. So oriented in the gamma 13:21:12</p> <p>14 direction in Exhibit 24, you realize that the arrow 13:21:33</p> <p>15 isn't pointing to the -- what you had previously 13:21:36</p> <p>16 been indicating? 13:21:40</p> <p>17 A. If you look very carefully, it's 13:21:42</p> <p>18 pointed to the end of the fiber. 13:21:46</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. 13:21:49</p> <p>20 A. Yeah. 13:21:50</p> <p>21 Q. What color are you associating with 13:21:51</p> <p>22 that fiber for purposes of this CSDS chart? 13:21:54</p> <p>23 A. Magenta. 13:22:02</p> <p>24 Q. That's Exhibit 24. Okay. 13:22:09</p>

Page 122	Page 124
<p>1 Exhibit 25 is particle 2 still in 13:22:33</p> <p>2 1.550 refractive index oil? 13:22:39</p> <p>3 A. Correct. 13:22:44</p> <p>4 Q. From that same series of the 2001 13:22:44</p> <p>5 NVLAP Coalinga chrysotile? 13:22:48</p> <p>6 A. Correct. 13:22:52</p> <p>7 Q. What color are you identifying with 13:22:52</p> <p>8 what you identified here? 13:22:55</p> <p>9 A. Red purple. 13:22:57</p> <p>10 Q. Red purple, okay. Exhibit 26 is 13:23:06</p> <p>11 particle 3 from that same grouping which is not on 13:23:16</p> <p>12 your screen. There it is. Is the fiber that is 13:23:20</p> <p>13 curved structure that kind of wraps around the 13:23:34</p> <p>14 clamshell of the larger structure in the center of 13:23:39</p> <p>15 that screen? 13:23:42</p> <p>16 A. It looks to. However, can you show 13:23:43</p> <p>17 the alpha image of the same particle. Particle 3 13:23:47</p> <p>18 alpha. 13:23:52</p> <p>19 Q. Give me a second to rename it. 13:23:54</p> <p>20 (Exhibit 28 Particle 3 M2001 1.550 CSDS 13:24:08</p> <p>21 Alpha marked for identification.) 13:24:08</p> <p>22 Q. Exhibit 28 will be particle 3 alpha. 13:24:09</p> <p>23 A. That's right. Usually the alpha 13:24:15</p> <p>24 direction is the clearer. You can see a fibrous 13:24:18</p>	<p>1 A. It is LED light source. 13:26:25</p> <p>2 Q. What color temperature was the white 13:26:30</p> <p>3 light in that bulb? 13:26:33</p> <p>4 A. I did not measure that, but I switch 13:26:36</p> <p>5 in the daylight filter, the building in the 13:26:39</p> <p>6 microscope. That daylight filter is specifically 13:26:46</p> <p>7 designed for that light source to make it the 13:26:51</p> <p>8 daylight color temperature. 13:26:56</p> <p>9 Q. That's built in to the microscope you 13:26:58</p> <p>10 were using? 13:27:00</p> <p>11 A. Yes. 13:27:02</p> <p>12 Q. Remind me. What were as the 13:27:02</p> <p>13 microscope you were using? 13:27:04</p> <p>14 A. It's Leica DM2700 P, the model 13:27:06</p> <p>15 number. 13:27:12</p> <p>16 Q. You said that's the same microscope 13:27:12</p> <p>17 that Bill Longo's lab uses. 13:27:15</p> <p>18 A. Correct. 13:27:18</p> <p>19 Q. You have no concerns about using an 13:27:19</p> <p>20 LED bulb even though you don't know the color 13:27:21</p> <p>21 temperature of the light coming out of it? 13:27:25</p> <p>22 A. Because the daylight filter will 13:27:27</p> <p>23 correct that. 13:27:31</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And that's a standard feature 13:27:32</p>
Page 123	Page 125
<p>1 structure in the middle. 13:24:25</p> <p>2 Q. That's kind of -- for Exhibit 28 it 13:24:27</p> <p>3 is running the left edge of that center mass 13:24:32</p> <p>4 structure? 13:24:35</p> <p>5 A. Mm-hmm. 13:24:36</p> <p>6 Q. Is that right? 13:24:36</p> <p>7 A. Correct. 13:24:37</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit 26, is 13:24:37</p> <p>9 it again are we talking about this line that runs in 13:24:42</p> <p>10 the outside of this larger mass structure? 13:24:49</p> <p>11 A. Yeah, that's the same structure. 13:24:51</p> <p>12 However, we are looking at the horizontal one. 13:24:54</p> <p>13 Q. Very true, yes. 13:24:57</p> <p>14 A. Horizontal part. 13:24:59</p> <p>15 Q. What color do you identify with this 13:25:00</p> <p>16 structure for purposes of the CSDS chart? 13:25:04</p> <p>17 A. Red purple. 13:25:08</p> <p>18 Q. Red purple. Let me kind of jump 13:25:11</p> <p>19 around with you just a little bit and then we will 13:26:06</p> <p>20 probably get to a point where we can take a break, 13:26:09</p> <p>21 okay? 13:26:11</p> <p>22 A. Okay. 13:26:11</p> <p>23 Q. What type of illumination bulb were 13:26:12</p> <p>24 you using when you took these images in June? 13:26:23</p>	<p>1 on that microscope? 13:27:34</p> <p>2 A. That's right. That is the 13:27:34</p> <p>3 top-of-line microscope. 13:27:37</p> <p>4 Q. Nice microscope. You do recognize 13:27:38</p> <p>5 that there are different color temperatures of white 13:27:43</p> <p>6 light, correct? 13:27:46</p> <p>7 A. Oh, yes. I do. 13:27:46</p> <p>8 Q. You can have a higher color 13:27:49</p> <p>9 temperature which is going to be hued a little bit 13:27:51</p> <p>10 more yellowish; you can have a colder-color 13:27:55</p> <p>11 temperature which is going to be more bluish, 13:27:59</p> <p>12 correct? 13:28:02</p> <p>13 A. Correct. 13:28:02</p> <p>14 Q. And it all falls in the range of 13:28:02</p> <p>15 white light, correct? 13:28:06</p> <p>16 A. No. The white light is daylight. 13:28:07</p> <p>17 Q. Forgive me for that. Yes. 13:28:13</p> <p>18 Incandescent bulbs, tungsten bulbs, LED illumination 13:28:19</p> <p>19 sources, they can all have different temperature of 13:28:25</p> <p>20 white, right? 13:28:26</p> <p>21 A. Different color temperature. 13:28:27</p> <p>22 Q. Microscopes have software and filters 13:28:31</p> <p>23 built into them to correct for this, correct? 13:28:35</p> <p>24 A. As far as I know, Leica is the only 13:28:38</p>

Page 126	Page 128
<p>1 model I saw; for example, the Olympus BH-2 model, 13:28:43</p> <p>2 which many asbestos lab used in the past. Now, 13:28:53</p> <p>3 later on, Olympus put out a more advanced model 13:28:59</p> <p>4 which cost a lot more expensive than the BH-51, BH-4 13:29:04</p> <p>5 series, BH-5 series, even have a BH-6 series. Then 13:29:13</p> <p>6 those Olympus are very well built. It will have a 13:29:20</p> <p>7 complete system, a custom design daylight filter 13:29:25</p> <p>8 with the light source. Same as the Leica. 13:29:34</p> <p>9 Q. If there is no daylight filter, say, 13:29:44</p> <p>10 in an older Olympus microscope like you're talking 13:29:47</p> <p>11 about, are there software adjustments to account for 13:29:51</p> <p>12 white balancing images? 13:29:58</p> <p>13 A. Not I'm aware of, because BH-2 13:30:01</p> <p>14 microscope does not come with a digital camera and 13:30:07</p> <p>15 the image software. But the Leica did -- does. 13:30:14</p> <p>16 Q. So I don't have instance recall of 13:30:19</p> <p>17 every microscope that MAS has ever used. Is the 13:30:24</p> <p>18 Olympus BH-2 the one you were aware of them ever 13:30:28</p> <p>19 using? 13:30:33</p> <p>20 A. I don't recall when I did the 13:30:34</p> <p>21 on-site, but most likely in a year that was 2006 13:30:37</p> <p>22 they are more likely to have the Olympus BH-2. As I 13:30:45</p> <p>23 said, Olympus BH-2 and the Nikon H4, these two 13:30:50</p> <p>24 models are the working horse for asbestos lab. They 13:31:01</p>	<p>1 ask you a couple questions about that. 13:32:39</p> <p>2 This process of central stop 13:32:42</p> <p>3 dispersion staining is a process, right? 13:32:49</p> <p>4 A. It is a technique for measuring refer 13:32:53</p> <p>5 refract index. 13:32:58</p> <p>6 Q. Right. It's a method. It's a way of 13:33:00</p> <p>7 doing something? 13:33:02</p> <p>8 A. Correct. 13:33:03</p> <p>9 Q. The method can be followed up to a 13:33:03</p> <p>10 point to where it becomes the discretion of an 13:33:08</p> <p>11 analyst in either how the image is prepared or how 13:33:13</p> <p>12 they're interpreting it, correct? 13:33:18</p> <p>13 MR. HYNES: Form, vague, overbroad. 13:33:20</p> <p>14 You can answer. 13:33:23</p> <p>15 A. I will say the key factor using 13:33:24</p> <p>16 correctly use the dispersion staining technique to 13:33:34</p> <p>17 measure refract index starting with the calibration 13:33:38</p> <p>18 of the dispersion staining color, which I discussed 13:33:45</p> <p>19 in detail in a paper couple years ago. I have the 13:33:50</p> <p>20 whole step-wise procedure, like SOP, plus all the 13:33:58</p> <p>21 tools which means all the conversion tables to use. 13:34:04</p> <p>22 Q. Correct. So if you follow those 13:34:10</p> <p>23 steps and you get to a point where you're dealing 13:34:12</p> <p>24 with the discretion of the analyst, right, you will 13:34:16</p>
Page 127	Page 129
<p>1 are using either Olympus or Nikon. 13:31:05</p> <p>2 A few lab use a very cheap 13:31:09</p> <p>3 microscope, Meiji, M-e-i-j-i. That is a model, but 13:31:15</p> <p>4 that microscope really is too poor builded [sic]. 13:31:23</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. To answer my question, you 13:31:28</p> <p>6 don't know if MAS ever used the Olympus BH-2, but 13:31:30</p> <p>7 you think if they did it would have been back in 13:31:34</p> <p>8 2006? 13:31:37</p> <p>9 A. Correct. 13:31:39</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Since MAS began doing 13:31:39</p> <p>11 polarized light microscopy with cosmetic talc, do 13:31:43</p> <p>12 you know if they've used microscopes other than the 13:31:50</p> <p>13 Leica? 13:31:52</p> <p>14 A. No, I don't. 13:31:53</p> <p>15 Q. You don't know? 13:31:55</p> <p>16 A. Okay. But I know this Leica 13:31:56</p> <p>17 microscope, I think they start using that two years 13:31:58</p> <p>18 ago. Because if you looked at report, prior to 13:32:05</p> <p>19 that, the image looks so yellowish-brownish and the 13:32:11</p> <p>20 color temperature is skewed to the warm, to the 13:32:18</p> <p>21 yellow-red. Now, suddenly the image become well 13:32:22</p> <p>22 white balanced, then which means is the Leica 13:32:30</p> <p>23 microscope. 13:32:37</p> <p>24 Q. Before we take our break, I want to 13:32:37</p>	<p>1 get to a point where the analyst has to make an 13:34:20</p> <p>2 interpretation of what they are seeing, correct? 13:34:22</p> <p>3 MR. HYNES: Overbroad. 13:34:25</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 13:34:29</p> <p>5 Q. Just like you made an interpretation 13:34:29</p> <p>6 of the exhibits that we just looked at about the 13:34:31</p> <p>7 colors associated with them, correct? 13:34:33</p> <p>8 A. Correct. 13:34:35</p> <p>9 Q. If you follow the steps up to the 13:34:37</p> <p>10 point where you're making a subjective 13:34:40</p> <p>11 interpretation of the colors that you're evaluating, 13:34:44</p> <p>12 then it is reasonable that scientists may disagree 13:34:49</p> <p>13 about the interpretation, correct? 13:34:53</p> <p>14 MR. HYNES: Incomplete hypothetical, 13:34:56</p> <p>15 overbroad. 13:34:58</p> <p>16 A. No. 13:34:59</p> <p>17 Q. Reasonable scientists can't disagree 13:35:00</p> <p>18 on those things? 13:35:03</p> <p>19 A. You have to check Becke line. You 13:35:04</p> <p>20 see, when you make that decision, you need to check 13:35:10</p> <p>21 image with Becke line. If you did that and your 13:35:17</p> <p>22 system is well calibrated, then you will get the 13:35:23</p> <p>23 correct results. If you only look at the dispersion 13:35:29</p> <p>24 staining image without checking with the Becke line, 13:35:37</p>

Page 130	Page 132
<p>1 you may not. 13:35:43</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. In this grouping of -- in your 13:35:44</p> <p>3 authorship, in your peer-reviewed publications, is 13:35:55</p> <p>4 there a paper you can think of that you've authored 13:35:59</p> <p>5 that outlines the requirement to confirm your CSDS 13:36:03</p> <p>6 image against the Becke line to ensure that you're 13:36:13</p> <p>7 not reading a reflection or some other distortion? 13:36:18</p> <p>8 A. Beside my paper? 13:36:24</p> <p>9 Q. No. I am asking which papers say 13:36:25</p> <p>10 that. 13:36:28</p> <p>11 A. My papers say that. 13:36:29</p> <p>12 Q. You have a lot of papers. 13:36:30</p> <p>13 A. Yes. 13:36:32</p> <p>14 Q. I am wondering if you can be more 13:36:32</p> <p>15 specific. 13:36:34</p> <p>16 A. I think my 2022, 2023 paper. There 13:36:36</p> <p>17 are two papers about it. 13:36:43</p> <p>18 Q. So it is your opinion that if you 13:36:45</p> <p>19 don't cross-reference your CSDS analysis with a 13:36:48</p> <p>20 review of the Becke line, that you're not following 13:36:53</p> <p>21 the procedure that's been referred to as the Su 13:36:57</p> <p>22 Method? 13:37:08</p> <p>23 A. I think if you are trained 13:37:08</p> <p>24 microscopist in polarized light microscopy and you 13:37:16</p>	<p>1 Q. To the extent that McCrone reported 13:50:09</p> <p>2 finding chrysotile in Johnson & Johnson's Baby 13:50:12</p> <p>3 Powder, by reputation alone, you would tend to 13:50:20</p> <p>4 believe that they were accurate? 13:50:25</p> <p>5 MR. HYNES: Overbroad. Calls for 13:50:27</p> <p>6 speculation. 13:50:29</p> <p>7 A. I'm not aware -- McCrone has passed 13:50:29</p> <p>8 away quite a number of years ago, so since then, I 13:50:34</p> <p>9 think I retired on 2006. I have almost no 13:50:42</p> <p>10 connection with McCrone. 13:50:52</p> <p>11 But before my retirement, I 13:50:55</p> <p>12 periodically go to Inter/Micro, the meeting in 13:51:02</p> <p>13 Chicago. But after I retired, I think I only go to 13:51:05</p> <p>14 some Johnson & Johnson conference, GSA conference 13:51:09</p> <p>15 and SDM conference. I believe I stop going to 13:51:16</p> <p>16 Chicago for the Inter/Micro, which is good meeting, 13:51:23</p> <p>17 but I don't feel I have to go. 13:51:27</p> <p>18 Q. I guess what I am asking is, if 13:51:31</p> <p>19 McCrone in the 1970s was finding detectable levels 13:51:33</p> <p>20 of chrysotile in Johnson's Baby Powder, you would 13:51:38</p> <p>21 have no reason to dispute McCrone's findings without 13:51:42</p> <p>22 actually analyzing what it is that they looked at? 13:51:46</p> <p>23 MR. HYNES: Same objections. 13:51:49</p> <p>24 Go ahead. 13:51:50</p>
Page 131	Page 133
<p>1 understand the principle behind refract index 13:37:21</p> <p>2 determination using Becke line or the dispersion 13:37:28</p> <p>3 staining, you should automatically know you need to 13:37:33</p> <p>4 check with another method if you're in doubt. It 13:37:40</p> <p>5 should become automatically. However, if an analyst 13:37:51</p> <p>6 is not trained in this sense, he might not, that is 13:37:58</p> <p>7 the purpose of my paper. I thought you should. 13:38:04</p> <p>8 However, if you don't, now here is my paper to help 13:38:11</p> <p>9 you. 13:38:17</p> <p>10 MR. BRALY: Do you want to take a 13:38:20</p> <p>11 break? 13:38:21</p> <p>12 MR. HYNES: Sure. 13:38:22</p> <p>13 (A break was taken.) 13:47:03</p> <p>14 BY MR. BRALY: 13:49:29</p> <p>15 Q. I wanted to start just by asking you 13:49:29</p> <p>16 about something that I was asking you right before 13:49:37</p> <p>17 lunch, and that had to do with findings by other 13:49:40</p> <p>18 laboratories, finding chrysotile in Johnson & 13:49:43</p> <p>19 Johnson's products. I specifically wanted to ask 13:49:47</p> <p>20 you about McCrone. 13:49:50</p> <p>21 Do you believe that the analysts at 13:49:54</p> <p>22 McCrone generally follow a sound methodology for 13:49:59</p> <p>23 identifying asbestos in things like talc? 13:50:02</p> <p>24 A. I do. 13:50:05</p>	<p>1 A. In my view, of course I can neither 13:51:52</p> <p>2 confirm or deny their results. However, as a matter 13:51:58</p> <p>3 of importance, I will look the sample myself. 13:52:06</p> <p>4 Q. I want to go through some of your 13:52:11</p> <p>5 report criticisms. And I think I want to go to -- I 13:52:32</p> <p>6 want to start with just this section. What I'm 13:52:41</p> <p>7 looking at here is page 24 of the pdf of Exhibit 3. 13:52:45</p> <p>8 It's page four of your PowerPoint presentation. 13:52:51</p> <p>9 A. Okay. 13:52:55</p> <p>10 Q. I will wait for you. 13:52:56</p> <p>11 A. Yes. 13:53:02</p> <p>12 Q. The image on the left, you use the 13:53:03</p> <p>13 term "suppressed." And on the right you use the 13:53:08</p> <p>14 term "unsuppressed." Do you see that? 13:53:12</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 13:53:14</p> <p>16 Q. Let's go through the basics. The 13:53:15</p> <p>17 basics are, you were not present when this image was 13:53:19</p> <p>18 captured on the microscope, correct? 13:53:22</p> <p>19 A. Correct. 13:53:24</p> <p>20 Q. The analyst who was there said that 13:53:25</p> <p>21 the light intensity was all the way up, correct? 13:53:29</p> <p>22 A. Correct. 13:53:31</p> <p>23 Q. The image on the right was brightened 13:53:32</p> <p>24 through software, correct? 13:53:38</p>

Page 134	Page 136
<p>1 A. Photoshop. 13:53:40</p> <p>2 Q. Through Photoshop, okay. And it is a 13:53:40</p> <p>3 presumption of yours that because it could be 13:53:45</p> <p>4 brightened through software, that the original image 13:53:48</p> <p>5 lacked the full illumination intensity, correct? 13:53:55</p> <p>6 A. That was my conclusion. 13:53:59</p> <p>7 Q. But whether or not, in fact, the 13:54:01</p> <p>8 fully illumination intensity available for that 13:54:04</p> <p>9 microscope was being utilized is something that you 13:54:08</p> <p>10 don't know? 13:54:11</p> <p>11 A. No, I don't. That's the reason I 13:54:11</p> <p>12 went to RJ Lee in Pittsburgh. I want to confirm my 13:54:15</p> <p>13 opinion. And the work I did confirm this 13:54:22</p> <p>14 comparison. 13:54:29</p> <p>15 Q. And that's something you did after 13:54:30</p> <p>16 you issued the report in the MDL -- 13:54:32</p> <p>17 A. Exactly, I want confirm through my 13:54:35</p> <p>18 work. 13:54:38</p> <p>19 Q. I have to finish the question, 13:54:39</p> <p>20 because it's the way all this works. 13:54:42</p> <p>21 A. Sorry. 13:54:45</p> <p>22 Q. You confirmed that after you authored 13:54:45</p> <p>23 this report, correct? 13:54:48</p> <p>24 A. Correct. 13:54:50</p>	<p>1 whether illumination is correct or not. Which is to 13:56:18</p> <p>2 say, when I look the original image in MAS report, 13:56:27</p> <p>3 like the first time the Gold Bond report Mickey sent 13:56:37</p> <p>4 to me in 2022 review, so my first reaction when I 13:56:45</p> <p>5 saw the image, I said, something wrong, because, you 13:56:53</p> <p>6 see, many particle in the background they did not 13:56:59</p> <p>7 show up. 13:57:04</p> <p>8 If you are in fully illumination, the 13:57:07</p> <p>9 light intensity is proper. I call it normal 13:57:11</p> <p>10 illumination. You should be able to see all the 13:57:17</p> <p>11 particles, the majority of particles in the field of 13:57:23</p> <p>12 view. 13:57:27</p> <p>13 Now, when you see an image on the 13:57:29</p> <p>14 left, you're immediate reaction is the intensity of 13:57:31</p> <p>15 the light used, this in is insufficient or I call it 13:57:39</p> <p>16 suppressed. 13:57:48</p> <p>17 Q. Presume with me for a moment that the 13:57:53</p> <p>18 intensity was as high as that particular model 13:57:59</p> <p>19 microscope would allow it to go, assume that for me 13:58:02</p> <p>20 for just a moment, okay? 13:58:07</p> <p>21 A. (No verbal response.) 13:58:08</p> <p>22 Q. If true, what else were they to do in 13:58:09</p> <p>23 capturing this image? 13:58:13</p> <p>24 A. You see, this Leica microscope are 13:58:15</p>
Page 135	Page 137
<p>1 Q. By the way, the report that you 13:54:51</p> <p>2 issued in the MDL and the report you issued in Kayme 13:54:53</p> <p>3 Clark's case, right? There is only one report from 13:54:59</p> <p>4 May of this year? 13:55:02</p> <p>5 A. Yeah, that's only report I issued. 13:55:03</p> <p>6 Q. Just making sure. Wouldn't be the 13:55:06</p> <p>7 first time I got halfway through a deposition and 13:55:08</p> <p>8 realize I was talking about the wrong report. 13:55:10</p> <p>9 There is another example of this. 13:55:13</p> <p>10 This is the next page, page 25 of the pdf. It's 13:55:19</p> <p>11 paginated five of your PowerPoint. 13:55:24</p> <p>12 A. Correct. 13:55:28</p> <p>13 Q. This is a sample from what's referred 13:55:28</p> <p>14 to as the Klayman sample, K-l-a-y-m-a-n? 13:55:30</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 13:55:35</p> <p>16 Q. Same questions, you have no idea 13:55:35</p> <p>17 about whether or not the images on the left what's 13:55:38</p> <p>18 labeled as suppressed were or were not at their full 13:55:43</p> <p>19 intensity on the microscope when those images were 13:55:48</p> <p>20 captured, correct? 13:55:51</p> <p>21 A. I think there's two issues in this 13:55:52</p> <p>22 statement. I don't need to know the setting, 13:55:56</p> <p>23 intensity setting, but for experienced analyst 13:56:04</p> <p>24 simply by looking at the image you would know 13:56:13</p>	<p>1 like the Olympus BH-2. Olympus BH-2 has a slider on 13:58:20</p> <p>2 the right side of the base of the microscope, as a 13:58:29</p> <p>3 minimum and as maximum. Simply by pulling that, you 13:58:35</p> <p>4 know you are low intensity, high intensity or 13:58:41</p> <p>5 medium. 13:58:48</p> <p>6 But the Leica microscope is not 13:58:48</p> <p>7 designed like this way. It has a wheel, not a 13:58:52</p> <p>8 slider. The wheel has no stop. It turn 360 13:58:58</p> <p>9 degrees. It did not have a mark on the side of 13:59:07</p> <p>10 intensity dial. So you simply by looking at the 13:59:12</p> <p>11 wheel, you don't know which setting you are. 13:59:21</p> <p>12 What I'm saying, you don't know which 13:59:26</p> <p>13 intensity, whether it is a full or half or minimum, 13:59:29</p> <p>14 you don't know. The only way you know is looking at 13:59:35</p> <p>15 the -- through the tube, observing the image. In 13:59:40</p> <p>16 the meantime, you use your left hand to turn the 13:59:50</p> <p>17 wheel. Now you know whenever you think the 13:59:52</p> <p>18 illumination is proper, you're stopped. 14:00:00</p> <p>19 So if you look at my Pittsburgh 14:00:07</p> <p>20 folder, I think the first one for the Valadez baby 14:00:10</p> <p>21 powder samples I took three images. One is 14:00:17</p> <p>22 suppressed. Another is I consider as normal 14:00:23</p> <p>23 illumination. And the third is I adjust that until 14:00:29</p> <p>24 I cannot increase the intensity anymore. So I 14:00:35</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 138</p> <p>1 labeled that image as a maximum intensity. So I 14:00:41</p> <p>2 have three images; suppressed, normal, and maximum. 14:00:47</p> <p>3 The way with that microscope you 14:00:54</p> <p>4 cannot tell from the intensity adjustment, unlike 14:00:58</p> <p>5 BH-2 which you can. You can only determine whether 14:01:04</p> <p>6 the intensity is proper or under or over by looking 14:01:09</p> <p>7 at image. 14:01:17</p> <p>8 Q. So I don't think you actually 14:01:19</p> <p>9 answered my question, but there is a lot of good 14:01:28</p> <p>10 information here. 14:01:31</p> <p>11 A. Okay. 14:01:32</p> <p>12 Q. I want to start with the point you 14:01:32</p> <p>13 brought up about the illumination folder in the 14:01:36</p> <p>14 materials that you provided. There are -- and I 14:01:40</p> <p>15 haven't marked them. I haven't asked you about 14:01:44</p> <p>16 them, but I have them here, photos of suppressed 14:01:45</p> <p>17 normal and max. I have seen those. 14:01:49</p> <p>18 Are those photos that were 14:01:53</p> <p>19 manipulated digitally or are those images that you 14:01:55</p> <p>20 took from the Leica microscope? 14:01:58</p> <p>21 A. Direct image from the microscope. 14:02:01</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. That's an example that you did 14:02:04</p> <p>23 with the Leica microscope? 14:02:06</p> <p>24 A. Yes. 14:02:07</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 140</p> <p>1 you simply don't know. 14:03:21</p> <p>2 MR. HYNES: Object to form. 14:03:24</p> <p>3 A. As matter of fact, you see, I would 14:03:25</p> <p>4 love to go to his lab, show him on the microscope, 14:03:28</p> <p>5 okay, what is the fully illumination, what is 14:03:34</p> <p>6 suppressed, what is the normal. The only way to 14:03:40</p> <p>7 communicate with him is by using his microscope with 14:03:44</p> <p>8 a sample on the stage. Because that is the best way 14:03:51</p> <p>9 to explain that. If I could, I would love to go. 14:03:56</p> <p>10 Actually, I wouldn't mind even give him some 14:04:02</p> <p>11 training to do the work better. Okay. 14:04:08</p> <p>12 Q. Maybe at a different time. I think 14:04:11</p> <p>13 that ship has sailed now. 14:04:17</p> <p>14 I wanted to ask. I am looking now at 14:04:29</p> <p>15 page 32 of the pdf, which is page 12 of your 14:04:41</p> <p>16 PowerPoint. 14:04:47</p> <p>17 A. Okay. 14:04:48</p> <p>18 Q. This is where we are talking about 14:04:50</p> <p>19 the concept of total reflection. 14:04:51</p> <p>20 A. Yes. 14:04:55</p> <p>21 Q. Your testimony here is that analyzing 14:04:56</p> <p>22 the edge of a particle for the color is not 14:05:05</p> <p>23 appropriate unless you confirm it through the Becke 14:05:11</p> <p>24 line? 14:05:16</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 139</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And there is a video. I 14:02:08</p> <p>2 presume the video is of you doing that. 14:02:11</p> <p>3 A. Yes. 14:02:14</p> <p>4 Q. That's something that you did with 14:02:14</p> <p>5 Bryan Bandli and Matt Sanchez after your report in 14:02:17</p> <p>6 this case? 14:02:20</p> <p>7 A. Yeah, with Matt. Bryan, he was in 14:02:21</p> <p>8 Europe. He was attending IMARC meeting. Okay. But 14:02:24</p> <p>9 he is online on Zoom. 14:02:29</p> <p>10 Q. The IMARC meeting, that's in Lyon, 14:02:32</p> <p>11 France? 14:02:37</p> <p>12 A. Correct. 14:02:37</p> <p>13 Q. He got to go to France while you're 14:02:37</p> <p>14 hanging out in Pittsburgh? 14:02:40</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 14:02:43</p> <p>16 Q. My question to you was, you're aware 14:02:44</p> <p>17 that the analyst who did this imaging, Paul Hess, 14:02:53</p> <p>18 said that the intensity was all the way up, all 14:02:56</p> <p>19 right? I want you to presume that it was. What 14:03:00</p> <p>20 else would he to do? You follow what I'm saying? 14:03:04</p> <p>21 A. Yeah. 14:03:08</p> <p>22 Q. You know, you keep saying that this 14:03:09</p> <p>23 was suppressed, but having not been there or 14:03:11</p> <p>24 experienced that, it strikes me as something that 14:03:17</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 141</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 14:05:16</p> <p>2 Q. I just want to make sure. The Becke 14:05:17</p> <p>3 line adjustment is to deal with this concept of 14:05:21</p> <p>4 total reflection; is that right? 14:05:26</p> <p>5 A. It's the best way to recognize the 14:05:30</p> <p>6 total reflection caused distortion to the central 14:05:36</p> <p>7 stop dispersion staining color. Whether the color 14:05:43</p> <p>8 has been distorted due to the edge or boundary 14:05:48</p> <p>9 effect can be determined by Becke line. 14:05:55</p> <p>10 Q. I want to ask you some follow-up 14:06:00</p> <p>11 questions on this: 14:06:02</p> <p>12 The edge or boundary effect, it can 14:06:03</p> <p>13 be -- it's a real thing, right? It's something that 14:06:10</p> <p>14 happens without distortion, correct? 14:06:13</p> <p>15 MR. HYNES: Vague, overbroad. 14:06:17</p> <p>16 Q. Let me ask a different question. I'm 14:06:20</p> <p>17 sorry. Forget I asked that one. 14:06:22</p> <p>18 When we looked at images that you 14:06:23</p> <p>19 took just a moment ago, that series of images 14:06:25</p> <p>20 between 18, Exhibit 18 and I think 24 and 25, there 14:06:28</p> <p>21 were examples of fibers that had different colors 14:06:34</p> <p>22 around the edges, correct. 14:06:40</p> <p>23 A. Correct. 14:06:42</p> <p>24 Q. Right. So and you had confirmed by 14:06:43</p>

Page 142	Page 144
<p>1 looking without the central stop at the Becke line 14:06:47</p> <p>2 to confirm that this was not a result of this total 14:06:53</p> <p>3 reflection phenomenon, correct? 14:06:56</p> <p>4 MR. HYNES: Objection, misstates 14:06:59</p> <p>5 testimony. 14:07:01</p> <p>6 A. Could you say again? 14:07:01</p> <p>7 Q. Yes, I can. 14:07:02</p> <p>8 A. Okay. 14:07:03</p> <p>9 Q. When you identified an edge color or 14:07:03</p> <p>10 a boundary distinction color, you confirmed that it 14:07:07</p> <p>11 was not distortion by confirming through the Becke 14:07:13</p> <p>12 lines that this was not part of this total 14:07:18</p> <p>13 reflection distortion, correct? 14:07:21</p> <p>14 MR. HYNES: Form. 14:07:22</p> <p>15 A. Correct. 14:07:23</p> <p>16 Q. So it is completely valid that you 14:07:24</p> <p>17 might have a fiber that has different colors in the 14:07:27</p> <p>18 middle versus the edge, because of a legitimate edge 14:07:31</p> <p>19 effect, correct? 14:07:36</p> <p>20 MR. HYNES: Vague, overbroad. 14:07:37</p> <p>21 A. I don't know whether the word 14:07:41</p> <p>22 "legitimate" is appropriate because, for example, in 14:07:44</p> <p>23 my graph, I show you if the boundary or the 14:07:52</p> <p>24 interface between the liquid and the fiber, if that 14:07:59</p>	<p>1 A. Then there is no effect. You see, if 14:10:09</p> <p>2 it does not reach the critical angle, the 14:10:12</p> <p>3 corresponding central stop dispersion staining color 14:10:20</p> <p>4 will not be altered so there is no effect on the 14:10:26</p> <p>5 color. 14:10:31</p> <p>6 Q. So I'm sorry. I'm sorry if I'm the 14:10:32</p> <p>7 one who is being dumb here. 14:10:37</p> <p>8 MR. PLACITELLA: Don't apologize. It 14:10:47</p> <p>9 happens a lot. 14:10:49</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I wish I can present 14:10:50</p> <p>11 some graphics I create after this report -- 14:10:52</p> <p>12 BY MR. BRALY: 14:10:57</p> <p>13 Q. I'm sorry for interrupting. Let me 14:10:57</p> <p>14 go back to Exhibit 19. This was one of the images 14:10:59</p> <p>15 that you took, right? 14:11:03</p> <p>16 A. Correct. 14:11:05</p> <p>17 Q. And there are different colors in 14:11:06</p> <p>18 this fiber? 14:11:08</p> <p>19 A. Correct. 14:11:09</p> <p>20 Q. There is goldish in the middle. 14:11:10</p> <p>21 There is purple on the edges. You can have 14:11:12</p> <p>22 different colors around the edge that is not the 14:11:15</p> <p>23 result of some kind of improper distortion? 14:11:18</p> <p>24 A. Correct. 14:11:22</p>
Page 143	Page 145
<p>1 angle exceed or equal the critical angle which the 14:08:08</p> <p>2 starting angle occur the total reflection if it 14:08:19</p> <p>3 happen that angle meet that criteria, I think 14:08:26</p> <p>4 another slide I calculate, for example, 83, 14:08:31</p> <p>5 86 degrees, something like that. Once that angle 14:08:34</p> <p>6 reach the critical angle, then this wavelength will 14:08:40</p> <p>7 be totally reflected. It's not going to enter the 14:08:48</p> <p>8 objective. Therefore, the corresponding central 14:08:51</p> <p>9 stop dispersion staining color is distorted. 14:08:58</p> <p>10 Q. I think all I'm trying to figure out 14:09:04</p> <p>11 is can you have an edge effect, a boundary effect 14:09:06</p> <p>12 like what we see on page 32 of Exhibit 3, that is 14:09:11</p> <p>13 the result of distortion and can you also have a 14:09:17</p> <p>14 boundary edge effect that is not the result of 14:09:21</p> <p>15 distortion? 14:09:25</p> <p>16 A. Any so-called boundary effect will 14:09:28</p> <p>17 always cause a distortion if the angle equal or 14:09:39</p> <p>18 exceed the critical angle -- 14:09:46</p> <p>19 Q. I'm sorry for interrupting you. But 14:09:50</p> <p>20 if it doesn't exceed that critical angle -- 14:09:52</p> <p>21 A. It will not cause that. 14:09:54</p> <p>22 Q. But you will still have -- can you 14:09:56</p> <p>23 still have a boundary edge effect that is not the 14:09:58</p> <p>24 product of distortion? 14:10:01</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. But you believe -- going back 14:11:23</p> <p>2 to Exhibit 3, page 32 -- that this image in the top 14:11:33</p> <p>3 left, it is your opinion that this is the result of 14:11:39</p> <p>4 some kind of reflective distortion? 14:11:43</p> <p>5 A. Yes. 14:11:46</p> <p>6 Q. And to correct for this, Mr. Hess or 14:11:48</p> <p>7 whoever the analyst is should remove the central 14:11:54</p> <p>8 stop and check the Becke line? 14:11:58</p> <p>9 A. Correct. 14:11:59</p> <p>10 Q. And what color Becke line should they 14:12:00</p> <p>11 see? 14:12:03</p> <p>12 A. The Becke line, when you examine the 14:12:05</p> <p>13 Becke line, first you look at the relief of the 14:12:09</p> <p>14 particle. If the liquid refract index is very close 14:12:16</p> <p>15 to the particle, the relief is very, very low or 14:12:23</p> <p>16 unnoticeable. However, if the liquid is 14:12:32</p> <p>17 significantly higher or lower than the object, than 14:12:36</p> <p>18 the structure, the relief will be very clear. 14:12:42</p> <p>19 So if, for example, this fiber, which 14:12:46</p> <p>20 I think may be Paul Hess did, if he examined the 14:12:54</p> <p>21 same particle in Becke line mode by switching off 14:13:00</p> <p>22 the central stop, he should be able to see the 14:13:08</p> <p>23 relief of the edge is very obvious. However, the 14:13:15</p> <p>24 center, it's merged with the liquid. There is no 14:13:23</p>

Page 146	Page 148
<p>1 little or no relief. 14:13:29</p> <p>2 Q. When I've seen Becke line images, I 14:13:31</p> <p>3 have seen them as halos of reddish or greenish. Do 14:13:34</p> <p>4 you know what I am talking about here? 14:13:40</p> <p>5 A. I know. 14:13:41</p> <p>6 Q. I would hope so. Because I don't and 14:13:42</p> <p>7 you're Dr. Su. 14:13:49</p> <p>8 Is there a color that is associated 14:13:51</p> <p>9 like a halo when you view this kind of thing in a 14:13:55</p> <p>10 Becke line mode and, if so, what should it be? 14:13:58</p> <p>11 MR. HYNES: Vague. 14:14:03</p> <p>12 A. I think the best way to answer this 14:14:06</p> <p>13 is if I could present a color bar for Becke line, 14:14:10</p> <p>14 which nobody did until a couple months ago at the 14:14:19</p> <p>15 DRIMMC institute. Dr. Bow Lee, he create the first 14:14:26</p> <p>16 set of the Becke line color chart. McCrone did the 14:14:34</p> <p>17 essentially stop dispersion standing chart. Eric 14:14:43</p> <p>18 Chatfield did the ISO chart. None of them created a 14:14:48</p> <p>19 Becke line chart. 14:14:55</p> <p>20 The first one I believe was done 14:14:59</p> <p>21 couple months ago by Dr. Bow Lee. He asked me to 14:15:02</p> <p>22 review that. I think that's a great job. Will help 14:15:08</p> <p>23 people to use the Becke line. 14:15:11</p> <p>24 Q. Sure. 14:15:13</p>	<p>1 purplish, yellowish, orange-ish, something like 14:16:51</p> <p>2 that. However, what Dr. Bow Lee did, he convert 14:16:55</p> <p>3 that chart into the color bar. 14:17:02</p> <p>4 Q. Like a visualization? 14:17:05</p> <p>5 A. That's right. Before Dr. Bow Lee, 14:17:06</p> <p>6 you will have to use Dr. Bloss chart. But it's not 14:17:10</p> <p>7 the color chart what I am saying. 14:17:14</p> <p>8 Q. It's a descriptive chart? 14:17:17</p> <p>9 A. That's right. Actually that chart, 14:17:19</p> <p>10 every time when I got to a NVLAP lab to do the 14:17:33</p> <p>11 online assessment, I always give that chart to them. 14:17:38</p> <p>12 Okay. And told them if you use Becke line to 14:17:45</p> <p>13 determine the refract index, this the chart to use. 14:17:49</p> <p>14 Q. Next slide I want to talk to you 14:18:03</p> <p>15 about is this -- your slide 13 of the PowerPoint. 14:18:05</p> <p>16 It's page 33 of Exhibit 3. 14:18:10</p> <p>17 You had talked about this before. 14:18:13</p> <p>18 Dr. Longo had taken a PLM image and reported a 14:18:16</p> <p>19 variety of different retractive indices within a 14:18:21</p> <p>20 particular bundle. Your conclusion here is that 14:18:25</p> <p>21 this is not possible. 14:18:29</p> <p>22 A. No. 14:18:31</p> <p>23 Q. My question is, why not? If you have 14:18:32</p> <p>24 a bundle of individual fibers, why would it not be 14:18:38</p>
Page 147	Page 149
<p>1 A. You see, if you have that chart, you 14:15:14</p> <p>2 look at the color in the liquid and you look at the 14:15:16</p> <p>3 color in the particle, you go to that chart, then 14:15:22</p> <p>4 you get the matching wavelengths, like the ISO or 14:15:27</p> <p>5 McCrone chart for central stop dispersion staining 14:15:31</p> <p>6 color. 14:15:36</p> <p>7 Q. So I think we are talking about two 14:15:41</p> <p>8 different things here for a second. When you're 14:15:43</p> <p>9 talking about using Becke lines in something like 14:15:46</p> <p>10 this, it is simply to observe the relief between the 14:15:49</p> <p>11 edge and the underlying immersion oil; is that 14:15:52</p> <p>12 right? 14:15:52</p> <p>13 A. Right. 14:15:59</p> <p>14 Q. You're saying there is a second layer 14:15:59</p> <p>15 of analysis that is essentially brand-new and not 14:16:01</p> <p>16 yet finalized to evaluate the halo color associated 14:16:04</p> <p>17 with the Becke line; is that right? 14:16:07</p> <p>18 MR. HYNES: Objection to form. 14:16:09</p> <p>19 A. No. Let me clarify. What I said, 14:16:10</p> <p>20 there are -- there is a method which is the laws 14:16:16</p> <p>21 developed. However, it is chart with X, Y, X's and 14:16:23</p> <p>22 also the line says in this area the particle is 14:16:29</p> <p>23 higher by .5, .03, something like that. However, it 14:16:34</p> <p>24 does not have color. It only describe term, purple, 14:16:44</p>	<p>1 possible for different chrysotiles in that bundle to 14:18:44</p> <p>2 have different refractive indexes? 14:18:48</p> <p>3 A. Let me explain. I know the history 14:18:51</p> <p>4 of this 1866 SRM developed by NIST. If you look at 14:18:55</p> <p>5 the certificate, there are two names there. 14:19:03</p> <p>6 Jennifer Verkouteren, she is the supervisor of that 14:19:08</p> <p>7 lab. And a second name is John Phelps. John Phelps 14:19:15</p> <p>8 was the one who measured the refract index of this 14:19:23</p> <p>9 1866 chrysotile from Canada. The first thing they 14:19:31</p> <p>10 do in order to establish an SRM, which stands for 14:19:39</p> <p>11 Standard Reference Material, which is job done by 14:19:49</p> <p>12 NIST. Their name is National Institute of Standards 14:19:56</p> <p>13 and Technology. They have issued various standard 14:20:01</p> <p>14 reference material. 14:20:07</p> <p>15 The chrysotile is one of the 14:20:09</p> <p>16 asbestos. They have two sets, asbestos standards. 14:20:13</p> <p>17 1866, which is common asbestos, including 14:20:17</p> <p>18 chrysotile, amosite and crocidolite, plus 14:20:25</p> <p>19 fiberglass. 14:20:31</p> <p>20 The second set is uncommon asbestos, 14:20:32</p> <p>21 which are the tremolite, actinolite, anthophyllite. 14:20:38</p> <p>22 Now, when they are developing this standard 14:20:46</p> <p>23 reference material, John Phelps have close contact 14:20:52</p> <p>24 with me. The reason is, they were using the most 14:21:02</p>

Page 150	Page 152
<p>1 accurate procedure to measure the refract index is 14:21:09</p> <p>2 called a spindle stage, which is developed by Dr. 14:21:14</p> <p>3 Bloss. Doc Bloss have just a book called The 14:21:21</p> <p>4 Principle of Spindle Stage. 14:21:26</p> <p>5 Q. I think I have that book. 14:21:28</p> <p>6 A. You have that? 14:21:30</p> <p>7 Q. I think I do. 14:21:31</p> <p>8 A. That's right. That's the most neat 14:21:32</p> <p>9 technique to measure refract index in a sense, you 14:21:38</p> <p>10 mount the target mineral onto a tip of glass fiber, 14:21:45</p> <p>11 you use fingernail polish. You glue the object 14:21:56</p> <p>12 mineral onto that fiber. Now you mount that onto a, 14:22:01</p> <p>13 they call Goniometer, which is used in X-ray 14:22:08</p> <p>14 detracton to sample mounting. The reason is, that 14:22:18</p> <p>15 device can rotate in XY access, which means you can 14:22:24</p> <p>16 orient fiber to any direction you want, because when 14:22:33</p> <p>17 you use the Becke line method, as I said in the 14:22:41</p> <p>18 past, you have to change the oil. Then it would be 14:22:46</p> <p>19 hard to keep on the same particle. You have to find 14:22:53</p> <p>20 another particle to prepare in another oil to 14:23:00</p> <p>21 measure that. But a spindle stage eliminate that 14:23:03</p> <p>22 need. You look at a single fiber, the same sample. 14:23:08</p> <p>23 You change the refract index of the oil by heating 14:23:15</p> <p>24 or cooling that until you saw a match. 14:23:19</p>	<p>1 Whenever he run into any problem, he will call me. 14:25:38</p> <p>2 Therefore, what I'm saying, this 1866 14:25:43</p> <p>3 chrysotile has a constant, very stable refract 14:25:50</p> <p>4 index. Gamma is 1.56. Gamma is 1.556. Alpha is 14:25:58</p> <p>5 1.549. This has been confirmed by many measurements 14:26:07</p> <p>6 of John Phelps. So in this bundle it's all 1866. 14:26:13</p> <p>7 It's not a bundle put together with Canadian 14:26:24</p> <p>8 chrysotile of Vermont or Italy. It is entirely from 14:26:32</p> <p>9 Canada. Therefore, this fiber has only one refract 14:26:42</p> <p>10 index. Otherwise, it would be not qualified to be a 14:26:51</p> <p>11 standard reference material. 14:26:54</p> <p>12 Q. I understand the logic. 14:26:56</p> <p>13 A. Yeah. 14:26:58</p> <p>14 Q. Okay? 14:26:58</p> <p>15 A. The color, the reason it has a range 14:26:59</p> <p>16 of color like this micrograph indicates is, they are 14:27:04</p> <p>17 distorted dispersion staining color. 14:27:13</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. As an analyst when you're 14:27:17</p> <p>19 looking at something like this that looks like a 14:27:26</p> <p>20 fire work -- 14:27:29</p> <p>21 A. Yes. 14:27:31</p> <p>22 Q. -- how do you know which color to 14:27:32</p> <p>23 sample to reference against the CSDS chart? 14:27:36</p> <p>24 A. Becke line. 14:27:41</p>
Page 151	Page 153
<p>1 Because, for example, the chrysotile 14:23:27</p> <p>2 which had behaved like in the actual crystal so it 14:23:32</p> <p>3 has two principal refract index, gamma and alpha. 14:23:37</p> <p>4 All the rest, the other five, they are by actual. 14:23:45</p> <p>5 There is three principal refract index. Chrysotile 14:23:50</p> <p>6 has only two. 14:23:55</p> <p>7 However, you need to orient the 14:23:57</p> <p>8 direction, for example, gamma to be parallel to the 14:24:00</p> <p>9 polarizer. Then you're measuring the true gamma. 14:24:06</p> <p>10 You have to orient the fiber perpendicular to the 14:24:11</p> <p>11 polarized light -- polarizer to measure the alpha. 14:24:15</p> <p>12 The spindle stage make this job easier. However, it 14:24:22</p> <p>13 is still very tedious, because in order to establish 14:24:30</p> <p>14 this as standard reference material, you can only -- 14:24:36</p> <p>15 you do not only measure wine fiber to represent the 14:24:41</p> <p>16 whole batch of the material. You have to measure 14:24:45</p> <p>17 many of them, make sure it is stable, refract index, 14:24:49</p> <p>18 then it will be qualified to be an SRM. 14:24:57</p> <p>19 So what John Phelps did when he was 14:25:02</p> <p>20 at NIST, it's very tedious job, because actually he 14:25:06</p> <p>21 went to Virginia Tech. At that time I'm still 14:25:12</p> <p>22 finishing my PhD to learn the spindle stage 14:25:17</p> <p>23 technique. And after he returned to the NIST, what 14:25:26</p> <p>24 he did the measurement we were in close contact. 14:25:31</p>	<p>1 Q. How does the Becke line show you 14:27:46</p> <p>2 that? That's what I'm still -- this is where I 14:27:49</p> <p>3 don't know because I'm not a microscopy. 14:27:52</p> <p>4 A. This image is the chrysotile 1866 14:27:56</p> <p>5 chrysotile in 1.55 oil. Now we are looking at 14:28:01</p> <p>6 elongated direction, which is the gamma direction, 14:28:13</p> <p>7 whose refract index is slowly above the 1.55 oil. 14:28:21</p> <p>8 However if it's not at 25 degrees C, most likely 14:28:30</p> <p>9 it's under that. The oils refract index probably is 14:28:39</p> <p>10 higher, slightly higher than 1.55O. Maybe is.551, 14:28:46</p> <p>11 something like that. Those correction has been 14:28:53</p> <p>12 built in the conversion table I created. 14:28:58</p> <p>13 Under the Becke line, it were in the 14:29:04</p> <p>14 area of Dr. Bloss's chart in the area slightly above 14:29:09</p> <p>15 a perfect match, which means the gamma directions 14:29:17</p> <p>16 Becke line have a strong, slightly stronger orange, 14:29:26</p> <p>17 red orange color than the light blue color in the 14:29:35</p> <p>18 liquid. 14:29:40</p> <p>19 You will find the Becke line image. 14:29:42</p> <p>20 You look for that color pattern. Then you know here 14:29:47</p> <p>21 is the true imagine between the liquid and the 14:29:55</p> <p>22 fiber. 14:30:02</p> <p>23 Q. So I'm going to do this: You did 14:30:03</p> <p>24 submit this week to me some photos of Becke line 14:30:09</p>

Page 154			Page 156		
1	imaging.	14:30:14	1	index of the object.	14:33:45
2	A. Yeah. The Cargille glass.	14:30:15	2	Q. When you say the closest match, in	14:33:46
3	Q. Yes. You say glass --	14:30:21	3	this particular image, there are two particles in	14:33:48
4	A. Glass.	14:30:22	4	this particular image. And if we look at the	14:33:51
5	Q. You're looking at glass?	14:30:23	5	particle on the right in the top left section of the	14:33:54
6	A. Yeah.	14:30:24	6	particle on the right, it appears to be a blending	14:33:59
7	Q. Okay. So I marked one of these as	14:30:26	7	match there between the oil and the particle. Am I	14:34:04
8	Exhibit 29.	14:30:30	8	doing this right?	14:34:06
9	Cargille is a company. They supplied	14:30:47	9	A. Yes.	14:34:07
10	standards that you can use?	14:30:50	10	Q. Okay. So then, do you take the line	14:34:08
11	A. Yeah.	14:30:53	11	most closely associated with that matching; is that	14:34:11
12	Q. So that's clear on the record. So	14:30:53	12	right?	14:34:18
13	what this photo that you submitted to me is, is	14:30:56	13	A. Yes.	14:34:18
14	glass in 1.550 refractive index oil under the --	14:31:02	14	Q. You would use the color kind of	14:34:18
15	with the Becke line setting -- I keep calling it	14:31:10	15	brownish here and then compare that to the Bloss	14:34:21
16	oculus but it's not. What's it called?	14:31:15	16	chart and it will give you a refractive index value?	14:34:24
17	A. The objective.	14:31:20	17	A. It will tell you how close the	14:34:28
18	Q. The objective, yeah. So how does the	14:31:21	18	particle to the oil. The oil is like a measure. It	14:34:33
19	line, the line around the perimeter of this, one of	14:31:30	19	has the known value of 1.55. This glass from	14:34:39
20	them is kind of brownish. One of them is kind of	14:31:37	20	Cargille, actually was Corning glass, they use that	14:34:46
21	reddish brown. The other one is kind of greenish.	14:31:41	21	as the standards. This glass is M7 set because	14:34:52
22	Other one is kind of bluish. How do these lines	14:31:44	22	Cargille has issue three sets of the glass. This is	14:34:57
23	tell you how close this object is to the surrounding	14:31:48	23	the M7 set from the lot B, which has a refract index	14:35:01
24	refractive index oil?	14:31:52	24	of 1.55077 at 589 nanometer wavelengths, which is	14:35:12
Page 155			Page 157		
1	A. The Bloss chart, you use the Bloss	14:31:54	1	the standard wavelengths to describe the refract	14:35:23
2	chart to determine --	14:31:59	2	index.	14:35:27
3	Q. Okay.	14:32:01	3	So this area, you just point out, you	14:35:29
4	A. -- whether it is a match, how close	14:32:03	4	see here the particle looks like it merged into.	14:35:37
5	the match or how far no match.	14:32:05	5	You cannot see the relief. Then it indicates it's a	14:35:45
6	Q. All right.	14:32:09	6	very, very close match between the glass and the	14:35:52
7	A. If you refer to that chart, you will	14:32:10	7	liquid. Therefore, you should use this area to	14:35:59
8	immediately know the different, the Becke line.	14:32:15	8	measure as in the value of the glass refract index.	14:36:07
9	Q. Probably a Becke line, B-e-c-k-e?	14:32:24	9	Q. So when you figure that out, the area	14:36:14
10	A. Yeah, B-e-c-k-e.	14:32:29	10	that you should be using, is that when you're	14:36:17
11	Q. So you would have to, what, take a --	14:32:48	11	supposed to switch back to the central stop and then	14:36:21
12	the analyst would have to determine what color this	14:32:53	12	evaluate that same relative location?	14:36:25
13	is and then match it to the descriptive color in the	14:32:57	13	A. Yes. You see, I have a corresponding	14:36:27
14	Bloss chart?	14:33:02	14	image of this two particle in central stop mode.	14:36:31
15	A. Correct.	14:33:02	15	Can we switched to that micrograph?	14:36:36
16	Q. To figure this out. So there is a	14:33:03	16	Q. You do. Look at that. I will mark	14:36:44
17	subjectivity to the analyst saying that this is	14:33:05	17	that as Exhibit 30.	14:36:52
18	brown versus dark reddish or orange-ish and then	14:33:10	18	(Exhibit 30 Image 1.55 Glass CSDS 1.550	14:36:54
19	comparing that to a descriptive phrase on the Bloss	14:33:17	19	marked for identification.)	14:37:04
20	chart?	14:33:22	20	Q. Again, for the right particle, the	14:37:04
21	MR. HYNES: Object to form.	14:33:22	21	two particles in the center of the screen, you're	14:37:08
22	A. No. Let me explain. Actually, the	14:33:23	22	saying that the correct place to evaluate the color	14:37:11
23	analyst should look the area shows the closest	14:33:27	23	is in the top -- kind of the I call it the north,	14:37:15
24	match, then were the directive, the true reflect	14:33:35	24	northwest side of the right hand particle?	14:37:19

Page 158	Page 160
<p>1 A. Correct. Which you have confirmed 14:37:22</p> <p>2 with the Becke line image. 14:37:25</p> <p>3 Q. All right. 14:37:27</p> <p>4 MR. HYNES: I want to note for the 14:37:29</p> <p>5 record if you could go back to Exhibit 30, please. 14:37:30</p> <p>6 This looks a little bit different from the image 14:37:33</p> <p>7 that was produced in advance of today's deposition. 14:37:35</p> <p>8 May be the monitor. I'm not certain, but it 14:37:39</p> <p>9 looks -- 14:37:43</p> <p>10 MR. BRALY: Kevin -- 14:37:44</p> <p>11 MR. HYNES: I can look on your 14:37:48</p> <p>12 screen. I think it has to be the monitor. 14:37:49</p> <p>13 MR. BRALY: Okay. 14:38:33</p> <p>14 BY MR. BRALY: 14:38:34</p> <p>15 Q. All right. So you have to use Becke 14:38:34</p> <p>16 lines on something like this? 14:38:35</p> <p>17 A. That's right. 14:38:37</p> <p>18 Q. All right. Can I ask you about this 14:38:38</p> <p>19 middle paragraph? On the right-hand column. The 14:38:42</p> <p>20 last sentence that you provide here [Reading] If 14:38:46</p> <p>21 such a theory is proved, it would shake the very 14:38:50</p> <p>22 foundation of physics. 14:38:53</p> <p>23 A. Yes. The reason I said that is, the 14:38:56</p> <p>24 refract index is intrinsic physical property of 14:39:04</p>	<p>1 color. As I said, if I'm going to publish a paper 14:48:25</p> <p>2 about distorted dispersion staining color, I would 14:48:31</p> <p>3 say the distorted dispersion color is everywhere. 14:48:37</p> <p>4 It shows in every structure I examined. 14:48:42</p> <p>5 So for every structure I examined, I 14:48:48</p> <p>6 automatically switch between the central stop and 14:48:51</p> <p>7 Becke line. Occasionally I even use the annular 14:48:55</p> <p>8 stop, because that is three setting of the McCrone 14:49:02</p> <p>9 dispersion staining objective. It make it very 14:49:06</p> <p>10 convenient to switch between them without changing 14:49:12</p> <p>11 the objective. Okay. 14:49:15</p> <p>12 Q. So I want to ask you about this, this 14:49:23</p> <p>13 section about misinterpreting your table. Let me 14:49:30</p> <p>14 get back on track. 14:49:54</p> <p>15 You have a section of your report 14:49:56</p> <p>16 that claims that Dr. Longo is misinterpreting your 14:49:58</p> <p>17 table. Part of this I think is part and parcel of 14:50:02</p> <p>18 the decision Dr. Longo made to switch from using 14:50:07</p> <p>19 1.550 -- 14:50:10</p> <p>20 A. To 560. 14:50:11</p> <p>21 MR. HYNES: Let him finish the 14:50:14</p> <p>22 question. 14:50:16</p> <p>23 Q. And the justification that Dr. Longo 14:50:17</p> <p>24 gave for switching from 1.550 to 1560 was that 14:50:19</p>
Page 159	Page 161
<p>1 material. It was -- it is determined by the 14:39:10</p> <p>2 elemental composition and the crystal structure. So 14:39:14</p> <p>3 within this fiber bundle in the micron scale neither 14:39:20</p> <p>4 the elemental composition or the crystal structure 14:39:28</p> <p>5 change. Therefore, the resulting refract index 14:39:33</p> <p>6 should not be changed, because it also verified by 14:39:38</p> <p>7 NIST, by measuring a series chrysotile for their 14:39:46</p> <p>8 standard reference material sample. 14:39:55</p> <p>9 Q. I need to... 14:39:59</p> <p>10 MR. HYNES: Do you want to take a 14:40:22</p> <p>11 break? 14:40:24</p> <p>12 MR. BRALY: Sure. 14:40:24</p> <p>13 (A break was taken.) 14:47:31</p> <p>14 BY MR. BRALY: 14:47:34</p> <p>15 Q. I understand what you're saying about 14:47:43</p> <p>16 the variability what we were talking about the 14:47:45</p> <p>17 variability of RIs within a bundle. I'm curious if 14:47:47</p> <p>18 you have ever -- you personally have ever tried to 14:47:53</p> <p>19 distinguish refractive indices within a bundle if 14:47:56</p> <p>20 you've ever tried to do that or if you are just -- 14:48:01</p> <p>21 this is just something that you wouldn't do? 14:48:04</p> <p>22 A. You have to, because every image, if 14:48:08</p> <p>23 you look in the -- my Pittsburgh work, every 14:48:14</p> <p>24 structure shows a range of dispersion staining 14:48:20</p>	<p>1 statement I read earlier from your peer-reviewed 14:50:26</p> <p>2 publication from 2020? 14:50:28</p> <p>3 A. '22. 14:50:31</p> <p>4 Q. Thank you. I appreciate it. That 14:50:34</p> <p>5 statement once again was found in Exhibit 13 where 14:50:55</p> <p>6 it says [Reading] There are chrysotile minerals 14:50:59</p> <p>7 whose refractive indexes are significantly higher 14:51:01</p> <p>8 than those of a standard chrysotile from the NIST 14:51:05</p> <p>9 SRM 1866 set. In that case, 1.555 or 1.560 instead 14:51:08</p> <p>10 of 1.550 should be used to determine gamma. 14:51:16</p> <p>11 Do you see that? 14:51:20</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I do. That's my writing. 14:51:21</p> <p>13 Q. Right. That's what you published? 14:51:24</p> <p>14 A. Mm-hmm. 14:51:26</p> <p>15 Q. Right. So the relationship between 14:51:27</p> <p>16 NIST SRM 1866 and 1.550 RI fluid is .006. That's 14:51:32</p> <p>17 the difference? 14:51:44</p> <p>18 A. That's right, 005 to 006. 14:51:44</p> <p>19 Q. Right. 1866 SRM in your -- what 14:51:50</p> <p>20 you've offered here is that refractive index of NIST 14:51:56</p> <p>21 1866 is 1.556, right? 14:52:00</p> <p>22 A. Right. 14:52:04</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So what you're saying is that 14:52:05</p> <p>24 there are chrysotiles that will have higher 14:52:08</p>

Page 162	Page 164
<p>1 refractive indexes than that; is that right? 14:52:12</p> <p>2 A. Yes. 14:52:15</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And using that same gap of 14:52:15</p> <p>4 .006, it seems like in this writing you're 14:52:27</p> <p>5 anticipating the potential existence of chrysotile 14:52:30</p> <p>6 with refractive index values as high as 1.566. 14:52:33</p> <p>7 A. No. That is not true. Let me give 14:52:43</p> <p>8 you the background I put that in my paper. That is 14:52:45</p> <p>9 because M12001, in year 2001 NAVLAP the first time 14:52:53</p> <p>10 use the Calidria chrysotile as a test sample. 14:53:04</p> <p>11 Because it is about, as I said -- as you said, it's 14:53:11</p> <p>12 about five unit in the third decimal place higher 14:53:15</p> <p>13 than 1.866. So I believe quite a few lab failed the 14:53:21</p> <p>14 test because they have never seen chrysotile like in 14:53:32</p> <p>15 that kind of range of refract index. 14:53:39</p> <p>16 Q. You're saying "that kind of range." 14:53:42</p> <p>17 What you're indicating is that in 2001 Calidria was 14:53:44</p> <p>18 being identified with an RI around 1.561? 14:53:50</p> <p>19 A. 60. 14:53:54</p> <p>20 Q. 60. You said it was five units in 14:53:56</p> <p>21 the third decimal place higher than -- 14:54:02</p> <p>22 A. The 1866. 14:54:02</p> <p>23 Q. The 1866 is 1.56. 14:54:04</p> <p>24 A. As you said it's between my table 14:54:08</p>	<p>1 chrysotile at all, but other than Dr. Longo, have 14:56:05</p> <p>2 you ever reviewed anybody determining the refractive 14:56:08</p> <p>3 index of chrysotile found naturally in a cosmetic 14:56:13</p> <p>4 talc product? 14:56:16</p> <p>5 A. No, I never seen any literature or 14:56:17</p> <p>6 report. 14:56:20</p> <p>7 Q. So I'm taking it that you're not the 14:56:28</p> <p>8 expert who would dispute or establish that 14:56:33</p> <p>9 chrysotile was or was not ever present in any 14:56:35</p> <p>10 cosmetic talc products anywhere, right? That's not 14:56:39</p> <p>11 what you do? 14:56:44</p> <p>12 A. No. 14:56:44</p> <p>13 Q. Right. But to the extent that 14:56:45</p> <p>14 chrysotile has been identified in some cosmetic talc 14:56:52</p> <p>15 products, you're unaware of what the refractive 14:56:58</p> <p>16 index would be for something like that, an inclusion 14:57:02</p> <p>17 like that? 14:57:09</p> <p>18 MR. HYNES: Form, vague, incomplete 14:57:09</p> <p>19 hypothetical. 14:57:11</p> <p>20 A. Because I never seen the report or so 14:57:14</p> <p>21 I never seen the data, if they find chrysotile in a 14:57:17</p> <p>22 talc powder, what is the refract index they report? 14:57:23</p> <p>23 I have no idea. Okay. 14:57:29</p> <p>24 Q. Have you ever evaluated the 14:57:34</p>
Page 163	Page 165
<p>1 here is for alpha is 006 higher. For gamma is 004. 14:54:11</p> <p>2 Yeah. That is the range. 14:54:21</p> <p>3 Q. That would be 1.560 for Calidria. 14:54:23</p> <p>4 That was reflected in 2001? 14:54:27</p> <p>5 A. Correct. 14:54:31</p> <p>6 Q. 21 years later, you published a paper 14:54:31</p> <p>7 saying that you should use a higher RI oil for some 14:54:35</p> <p>8 chrysotiles? 14:54:40</p> <p>9 A. Correct. Or so in that paper I said 14:54:41</p> <p>10 for routine sample for the commercial lab, it's 14:54:48</p> <p>11 okay, just keep using 1.55. However, when you are 14:54:53</p> <p>12 treating the tested sample, because if you fail that 14:54:59</p> <p>13 test twice in a row, your accreditation status were 14:55:04</p> <p>14 being terminated. Therefore, when I go to the 14:55:14</p> <p>15 asbestos lab, I always tell them when you are doing 14:55:19</p> <p>16 the test sample, you better be more careful and to 14:55:24</p> <p>17 use a 1.56 if it's chrysotile. Then your chance to 14:55:31</p> <p>18 fail the test will be much less. 14:55:41</p> <p>19 Q. Have you ever measured the refractive 14:55:43</p> <p>20 index of chrysotile found naturally in a cosmetic 14:55:47</p> <p>21 talc product? 14:55:54</p> <p>22 A. No, because I never encounter that. 14:55:55</p> <p>23 Q. Other than Dr. Longo -- and I 14:56:01</p> <p>24 understand what you're saying that it's not 14:56:03</p>	<p>1 refractive index of chrysotile from any deposit or 14:57:36</p> <p>2 location from China? 14:57:43</p> <p>3 A. No. 14:57:44</p> <p>4 Q. You have evaluated chrysotile in 14:58:20</p> <p>5 1.550 oil and 1.560 oil? 14:58:23</p> <p>6 A. Correct. 14:58:29</p> <p>7 Q. You did that as part of your 14:58:29</p> <p>8 Pittsburgh project? 14:58:33</p> <p>9 A. Yes. 14:58:35</p> <p>10 Q. I understand you disagree with Dr. 14:58:36</p> <p>11 Longo's interpretation maybe even the procedures 14:58:37</p> <p>12 that he followed, but the decision to utilize a 14:58:40</p> <p>13 different refractive index oil is not an error by 14:58:43</p> <p>14 itself, is it? 14:58:47</p> <p>15 A. No. 14:58:49</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. It's simply changes the 14:58:50</p> <p>17 calibration of what you're looking at? 14:58:55</p> <p>18 A. It changed the color. 14:58:57</p> <p>19 Q. Right. Then you would have to use a 14:58:59</p> <p>20 different chart to reflect for that different color? 14:59:01</p> <p>21 A. Exactly. 14:59:03</p> <p>22 Q. All right. I don't understand the 14:59:04</p> <p>23 kindergarten slide. I understand the words on it -- 14:59:30</p> <p>24 by the way, I'm looking at page 39 of Exhibit 3. 14:59:33</p>


Page 166	Page 168
<p>1 It's paginated as page 19 of the PowerPoint. 14:59:37</p> <p>2 A. Should I explain? 14:59:42</p> <p>3 Q. I would love it if you would. 14:59:43</p> <p>4 A. Okay. The reason I used this 14:59:45</p> <p>5 knowledge is, if you go to previous slide, Dr. Longo 14:59:50</p> <p>6 said gamma value which is the parallel direction, 14:59:56</p> <p>7 the range of the gamma is 1.540 to 1.580 which never 15:00:03</p> <p>8 say that. The reason he interpret is because my 15:00:12</p> <p>9 table is going from 300 to a nanometer matching 15:00:20</p> <p>10 wavelength to 1,000 at the full range of the 15:00:30</p> <p>11 dispersion staining color. Now, if you look at the 15:00:34</p> <p>12 ISO chart, Dr. Eric put a dash line to say if it is 15:00:41</p> <p>13 chrysotile, the gamma value is usually within this 15:00:52</p> <p>14 narrow range. 15:00:57</p> <p>15 Q. The ISO chart is the chart on the 15:00:58</p> <p>16 right-hand side here, right? 15:01:00</p> <p>17 A. What I'm saying here, that range cite 15:01:02</p> <p>18 by Dr. Longo, 1.50 [ph] to 1.580 is the range the 15:01:06</p> <p>19 color bar which is much wider than the possible 15:01:17</p> <p>20 range of chrysotile. So you cannot interpret it, 15:01:22</p> <p>21 the chrysotiles central stop dispersion staining 15:01:29</p> <p>22 color could range from 300 to 1,000. Only in that 15:01:35</p> <p>23 case then his statement, his interpretation is 15:01:41</p> <p>24 correct. 15:01:48</p>	<p>1 not -- I'm not saying, if you look my paper, never, 15:03:52</p> <p>2 ever in my paper I said the chrysotile gamma is 15:03:58</p> <p>3 within that -- is the highest is 1.58. The lowest 15:04:03</p> <p>4 1.54. It's not. The chrysotile is only a portion 15:04:10</p> <p>5 of that range. 15:04:16</p> <p>6 Q. Here is my question. I shouldn't 15:04:18</p> <p>7 start it this way. These are all my questions. 15:04:22</p> <p>8 This is my next question. 15:04:24</p> <p>9 MR. PLACITELLA: Your killing me 15:04:28</p> <p>10 here. 15:04:30</p> <p>11 MR. BRALY: Thank you, Chris. 15:04:30</p> <p>12 BY MR. BRALY: 15:04:32</p> <p>13 Q. Earlier you told me that the highest 15:04:32</p> <p>14 refractive index value that you had ever seen for 15:04:35</p> <p>15 chrysotile was somewhere in the ballpark of 1.56 in 15:04:39</p> <p>16 the low end 1.56? 15:04:43</p> <p>17 A. The highest I saw is 1.560 to 1.561. 15:04:49</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. You did publish, I mean, this 15:04:55</p> <p>19 as a range that included a value up to 1.58. My 15:05:01</p> <p>20 question is, why did you publish that instead of 15:05:08</p> <p>21 something like 1.565 or something that would still 15:05:10</p> <p>22 encompass the upper end of what you think is 15:05:14</p> <p>23 possible? 15:05:17</p> <p>24 MR. HYNES: Asked and answered. 15:05:17</p>
Page 167	Page 169
<p>1 However, when I put my table, 15:01:49</p> <p>2 conversion table, I have to cover the all -- not 15:01:54</p> <p>3 only the possibility should be much wider than that. 15:02:00</p> <p>4 Slide in kindergarten if you measure the children's 15:02:06</p> <p>5 height, you use a -- they call the stadiometer. The 15:02:10</p> <p>6 stadiometer must accommodate a much taller height, 15:02:18</p> <p>7 which doesn't mean the children could be 6 feet tall 15:02:24</p> <p>8 but the tool you use that would cover that beyond 15:02:28</p> <p>9 that, as such. 15:02:36</p> <p>10 The ISO table, ISO color chart and my 15:02:39</p> <p>11 conversion table is cover all the matching 15:02:45</p> <p>12 wavelengths, not necessarily the matching 15:02:49</p> <p>13 wavelengths for the chrysotile, is only portion of 15:02:53</p> <p>14 that. 15:02:58</p> <p>15 Q. So I am trying to figure out if we 15:03:01</p> <p>16 are really arguing about something worth arguing 15:03:06</p> <p>17 about here. What Dr. Longo reported was comparison 15:03:09</p> <p>18 of chrysotile, what he labeled this column as is the 15:03:14</p> <p>19 refractive index range in parallel. What you're 15:03:19</p> <p>20 saying is that your range does include those values 15:03:26</p> <p>21 even if overinclusive? 15:03:30</p> <p>22 MR. HYNES: Misstates testimony. 15:03:33</p> <p>23 A. What I meant, my table, the lowest 15:03:34</p> <p>24 refract index is 1.540. The highest is 1.580. It's 15:03:40</p>	<p>1 A. That paper 2003, in American 15:05:20</p> <p>2 Mineralogist is not a paper discuss the actual range 15:05:29</p> <p>3 of the chrysotile refract index. That table can be 15:05:36</p> <p>4 used for measuring other type material. You see? 15:05:41</p> <p>5 It can be used not only for chrysotile, for asbestos 15:05:51</p> <p>6 mineral. It can be used, the material with similar 15:05:56</p> <p>7 dispersion coefficient. Therefore, that table has a 15:06:04</p> <p>8 general purpose of use. So it is not a paper saying 15:06:13</p> <p>9 just for the asbestos analysis. Okay. 15:06:23</p> <p>10 Q. What Dr. Longo also records here is 15:06:37</p> <p>11 that Walter McCrone published a range for chrysotile 15:06:44</p> <p>12 in parallel or gamma of 1.570 to 1.548. Have you 15:06:48</p> <p>13 analyzed that underlying data and do you have any 15:06:56</p> <p>14 particular criticisms of that entry? 15:07:00</p> <p>15 A. No, because I know this is from a 15:07:03</p> <p>16 paper of Doc McCrone. He analyzed a series 15:07:07</p> <p>17 chrysotile from different locations in the world. 15:07:16</p> <p>18 One of the sample showed the gamma as 1.570. He 15:07:25</p> <p>19 reported that in his paper, but it's only at that 15:07:34</p> <p>20 specific location. It's not a general gamma value 15:07:42</p> <p>21 for the rest of the chrysotile. 15:07:47</p> <p>22 Q. What was the general location from 15:07:53</p> <p>23 which that finding came? 15:07:55</p> <p>24 A. You mean this high value? 15:07:59</p>

Page 170	Page 172
<p>1 Q. Mm-hmm. 15:08:01</p> <p>2 A. I don't remember. And he has a table 15:08:03</p> <p>3 in that indicate which sample is from where. Okay. 15:08:04</p> <p>4 But I don't remember exact location of that sample. 15:08:10</p> <p>5 You see, when NIST, they try to issue a standard 15:08:23</p> <p>6 reference material in concert with the AHERA law, 15:08:29</p> <p>7 that's the time they fail the needs, we need to 15:08:39</p> <p>8 issue a standard reference material for asbestos. 15:08:45</p> <p>9 They screen many chrysotile from different 15:08:51</p> <p>10 locations. Finally, they decide the Canadian 15:08:59</p> <p>11 chrysotile is most representative. That's why they 15:09:04</p> <p>12 use that as an SRN. 15:09:10</p> <p>13 Q. One of the issues that Dr. Longo has 15:09:42</p> <p>14 testified about and you've taken criticism with has 15:09:48</p> <p>15 to do with the identification of Calidria at the 15:09:51</p> <p>16 refractive index that he found versus what you found 15:09:57</p> <p>17 in your Pittsburgh project last month. 15:10:02</p> <p>18 A. Correct. 15:10:07</p> <p>19 Q. Fair? Okay. And your -- the 15:10:07</p> <p>20 position that you've taken here is that what he's 15:10:15</p> <p>21 identifying as asbestos is talc; is that right? 15:10:20</p> <p>22 A. That is my opinion. 15:10:25</p> <p>23 Q. And that's here for every one of the 15:10:28</p> <p>24 reports that you've looked at that is referenced in 15:10:31</p>	<p>1 a co-contaminant? 15:11:54</p> <p>2 A. Not I'm aware of, because I never 15:11:58</p> <p>3 investigated that. Okay. 15:12:01</p> <p>4 Q. I asked Mickey Gunter the same 15:12:03</p> <p>5 question about a year and a half ago. He also said 15:12:07</p> <p>6 no. That's neither here nor there. 15:12:09</p> <p>7 Are you aware of any co-contaminants 15:12:13</p> <p>8 in California chrysotile deposits? 15:12:19</p> <p>9 A. I don't read any literature about 15:12:24</p> <p>10 that, so I don't remember what kind of contaminate 15:12:28</p> <p>11 it has. If it's in the literature, maybe I have not 15:12:39</p> <p>12 read that literature. 15:12:44</p> <p>13 Q. The SG-210 that you evaluated with 15:12:48</p> <p>14 Matt Sanchez and Bryan Bandli was included in a 15:12:52</p> <p>15 mixture of talc powder, correct? 15:12:58</p> <p>16 A. I think they are pure chrysotile. 15:13:02</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Did you also have a sample -- 15:13:06</p> <p>18 samples that were just straight chrysotile? You may 15:13:10</p> <p>19 have. Did you? 15:13:15</p> <p>20 A. You mean Pittsburgh work? 15:13:24</p> <p>21 Q. Yeah. 15:13:29</p> <p>22 MR. HYNES: Take a look at them 15:13:31</p> <p>23 titled Micrometer with SG-210 1.550 and 1.560. 15:13:38</p> <p>24 MR. BRALY: Yeah, I see it. Okay. 15:13:46</p>
Page 171	Page 173
<p>1 your report? 15:10:34</p> <p>2 A. Correct. 15:10:35</p> <p>3 Q. That every time he identifies 15:10:36</p> <p>4 chrysotile that's what he is identifying is talc? 15:10:38</p> <p>5 A. Yeah, that is my conclusion. 15:10:43</p> <p>6 Q. So, I want to ask you about how you 15:10:50</p> <p>7 deal with a particular aspect of this. I am going 15:10:54</p> <p>8 to mark as Exhibit 31, a report dated October 9, 15:10:59</p> <p>9 2023. 15:11:04</p> <p>10 (Exhibit 31 William Longo's Report dated 15:11:04</p> <p>11 October 9, 2023 marked for identification.) 15:11:05</p> <p>12 Q. This report is 196 pages long. So 15:11:05</p> <p>13 what I am doing, is this report in total will be 15:11:08</p> <p>14 Exhibit 31, but the section I am going to ask you 15:11:12</p> <p>15 about is Section 5 of that report. That will be 15:11:15</p> <p>16 Exhibit 32. 15:11:18</p> <p>17 (Exhibit 32 Section 5 of Report dated 15:11:19</p> <p>18 October 9, 2023 marked for identification.) 15:11:23</p> <p>19 Q. What Exhibit 32 is, is a mixture of 15:11:23</p> <p>20 Calidria asbestos mounted in a sample of bentonite 15:11:31</p> <p>21 clay, okay? That is what Dr. Longo prepared. 15:11:38</p> <p>22 So before I start asking about this, 15:11:43</p> <p>23 I want to ask you a couple of questions. Have you 15:11:46</p> <p>24 ever known California chrysotile to include talc as 15:11:49</p>	<p>1 Q. I take it you have never reviewed 15:14:01</p> <p>2 this report before. This report. 15:14:03</p> <p>3 A. Might have. It looks to me the title 15:14:16</p> <p>4 I seem to remember. I look at that, but not 15:14:20</p> <p>5 thoroughly. 15:14:28</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. In the section that I'm 15:14:28</p> <p>7 looking at here, which I think is section 5, yeah, 15:14:35</p> <p>8 section 5, in a sample that has no talc in it but 15:14:42</p> <p>9 does have Calidria -- 15:14:49</p> <p>10 A. Which sample this? 15:14:54</p> <p>11 Q. This is the sample of Calidria 15:14:56</p> <p>12 mounted in bentonite clay. 15:15:00</p> <p>13 MR. HYNES: What is the M number? 15:15:03</p> <p>14 MR. BRALY: There isn't one. 15:15:04</p> <p>15 A. That is spiked. The bentonite is 15:15:05</p> <p>16 spiked with Calidria chrysotile. Of course you want 15:15:09</p> <p>17 to see that. 15:15:13</p> <p>18 Q. Right. What I'm saying is that this 15:15:14</p> <p>19 is, without a doubt, chrysotile? 15:15:17</p> <p>20 MR. HYNES: Objection; assumes facts. 15:15:20</p> <p>21 Q. There is nothing else in there. 15:15:22</p> <p>22 A. If it's a spiked with chrysotile, 15:15:25</p> <p>23 under the presence of chrysotile should be a fact 15:15:32</p> <p>24 because the sample is like spiked or contaminated 15:15:38</p>

Page 174	Page 176
<p>1 with chrysotile. 15:15:42</p> <p>2 Q. Right. So what we are looking at 15:15:44</p> <p>3 here on page six of Exhibit 32, that's chrysotile? 15:15:47</p> <p>4 A. Yes. 15:15:55</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. What color would you assign to 15:15:56</p> <p>6 what's seen here on page six of Exhibit 32? 15:16:08</p> <p>7 A. Without looking at the Becke line, I 15:16:11</p> <p>8 cannot simply look at a central stop dispersion 15:16:17</p> <p>9 staining color image to make the determination. 15:16:25</p> <p>10 MR. HYNES: I will note that the 15:16:28</p> <p>11 reproduction of this M71547-001CSM-002 chrysotile 15:16:29</p> <p>12 looks like a faded-out copy version of an image 15:16:38</p> <p>13 taken at Longo owes laboratory rather than digital 15:16:47</p> <p>14 reproduction of same. 15:16:51</p> <p>15 MR. BRALY: Thank you for your 15:16:56</p> <p>16 opinion, Kevin. 15:16:58</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: One thing I could tell 15:17:03</p> <p>18 from that image -- 15:17:05</p> <p>19 BY MR. BRALY: 15:17:06</p> <p>20 Q. This one? 15:17:06</p> <p>21 A. The first one you show me, the 15:17:07</p> <p>22 yellow. 15:17:10</p> <p>23 Q. Yeah. This one? 15:17:10</p> <p>24 A. Yes. I'm very sure, you see the 15:17:14</p>	<p>1 gamma. 15:19:01</p> <p>2 Q. In order to evaluate a Becke line, 15:19:02</p> <p>3 does it have to be oriented in the parallel or 15:19:06</p> <p>4 perpendicular direction? 15:19:07</p> <p>5 A. Depending if you are assessing the 15:19:08</p> <p>6 gamma, it's parallel. If you're assessing alpha, it 15:19:12</p> <p>7 should be perpendicular. 15:19:18</p> <p>8 Q. Even though this is on a 45-degree 15:19:19</p> <p>9 angle which is appropriate for a photo, you can tell 15:19:24</p> <p>10 the orientation of what this is by the relationship 15:19:29</p> <p>11 of the other particles around it, right? 15:19:32</p> <p>12 A. Mm-hmm. 15:19:34</p> <p>13 Q. That's correct, right? You have to 15:19:35</p> <p>14 say "yes." You just have to articulate yes or no. 15:19:38</p> <p>15 You're saying "mm-hmm." 15:19:43</p> <p>16 A. Your question again... 15:19:45</p> <p>17 Q. With a particle in the 45-degree 15:19:47</p> <p>18 angle, you can determine the orientation of it by 15:19:49</p> <p>19 the reference to other particles in the image, 15:19:53</p> <p>20 correct? 15:19:56</p> <p>21 A. To determine what? 15:19:57</p> <p>22 Q. For example, if we go back to this in 15:20:00</p> <p>23 parallel, we can identify the structures that are 15:20:06</p> <p>24 surrounding that fiber and then look at it in the 45 15:20:10</p>
Page 175	Page 177
<p>1 refract index down here it says RI 1.567 to 1.570. 15:17:20</p> <p>2 That number doesn't match the color at all. 15:17:33</p> <p>3 Q. How do you know that if you haven't 15:17:37</p> <p>4 looked at the Becke line? 15:17:39</p> <p>5 A. No. What I'm saying, the refract 15:17:41</p> <p>6 index, he showed here, if you go back to my table -- 15:17:43</p> <p>7 can you pull out my 1.550 table for chrysotile. 15:17:52</p> <p>8 Q. I can, but what I'm trying to get at 15:17:57</p> <p>9 is, if you don't know what color you're comparing it 15:18:00</p> <p>10 to, how do you know that? 15:18:03</p> <p>11 A. It doesn't match any color in this 15:18:05</p> <p>12 image. 15:18:08</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. 15:18:08</p> <p>14 A. It doesn't match. If you look at my 15:18:09</p> <p>15 table. 15:18:12</p> <p>16 Q. All right. This is page 10, this 15:18:12</p> <p>17 is -- can you not get a sense of the Becke line with 15:18:26</p> <p>18 the polarizer out by looking at the border between 15:18:30</p> <p>19 the fluid and the edge of the particle? 15:18:33</p> <p>20 A. This structure is in the 45 degree. 15:18:38</p> <p>21 It's neither parallel or perpendicular. 15:18:44</p> <p>22 Q. Right. 15:18:52</p> <p>23 A. Therefore, you cannot use this image. 15:18:53</p> <p>24 Even use Becke line to determine if it's alpha or 15:18:57</p>	<p>1 and determine the orientation of it in parallel, 15:20:15</p> <p>2 meaning the right edge, the area that's in the 15:20:22</p> <p>3 northeast corner of this is the same as the east 15:20:28</p> <p>4 side of the fiber in parallel? 15:20:31</p> <p>5 A. No, because if you look, the 15:20:34</p> <p>6 polarizer is east/west. 15:20:40</p> <p>7 Q. Right. 15:20:42</p> <p>8 A. Therefore, this section at a 45 15:20:43</p> <p>9 degree, it is called gamma prime. It's between 15:20:49</p> <p>10 alpha and gamma. 15:20:53</p> <p>11 Q. I think you're misunderstanding what 15:20:54</p> <p>12 I'm asking you, and I don't know how to make it 15:20:58</p> <p>13 clear. 15:20:59</p> <p>14 The tip of this in the northeastern 15:21:00</p> <p>15 corner of what is page nine of Exhibit 32, the tip 15:21:04</p> <p>16 on the northeastern side of that fiber is the same 15:21:11</p> <p>17 location as the eastern tip of the fiber on page six 15:21:15</p> <p>18 of the same exhibit? 15:21:20</p> <p>19 A. Yes. They are the same fiber. 15:21:21</p> <p>20 Q. All right. So if we go to, say, page 15:21:23</p> <p>21 10 we are looking at that fiber, can we look at 15:21:27</p> <p>22 where the border between the oil and the fiber are 15:21:33</p> <p>23 blended together closest to determine the same Becke 15:21:36</p> <p>24 line effect that you had discussed previously? 15:21:40</p>

Page 178	Page 180
<p>1 A. Yes or no. When I say "yes," if the 15:21:44</p> <p>2 Becke line image is focused, then you examine, you 15:21:51</p> <p>3 compare the dispersed Becke line color against Dr. 15:21:59</p> <p>4 Bloss's chart, you will know this structure has a 15:22:08</p> <p>5 higher refract index than the liquid. 15:22:18</p> <p>6 Q. This was another image. This is 15:22:27</p> <p>7 M71547-001CSM3. Do you this? 15:22:31</p> <p>8 A. I saw that. 15:22:37</p> <p>9 Q. Again, this is the Calidria sample in 15:22:38</p> <p>10 bentonite clay. Do you have any reason to dispute 15:22:42</p> <p>11 that the particles shown in this image is Calidria? 15:22:46</p> <p>12 A. It is Calidria, yes. 15:22:50</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. The same question then for the 15:22:54</p> <p>14 next photo, which is at page 18 of Exhibit 32, which 15:23:02</p> <p>15 is M71547-001CSM-004. Same question, given the 15:23:08</p> <p>16 preparation of the sample, is the particle shown 15:23:16</p> <p>17 here Calidria? 15:23:19</p> <p>18 MR. HYNES: Same objection. 15:23:21</p> <p>19 A. It is Calidria chrysotile and it's 15:23:28</p> <p>20 refract index looks, if it in general look like if 15:23:36</p> <p>21 you put a Calidria in 1.550, it should look similar 15:23:42</p> <p>22 to that. 15:23:48</p> <p>23 Q. Page 23 of Exhibit 32 is image 15:23:49</p> <p>24 M71547-001CSM-005. Given the preparation of this 15:23:57</p>	<p>1 A. It is Calidria, which used to spike 15:25:27</p> <p>2 the bentonite. 15:25:33</p> <p>3 Q. Yes. This is page 33 of Exhibit 32. 15:25:34</p> <p>4 Identified as M71547-001CSM-007. It's exactly the 15:25:45</p> <p>5 same question as I've been asking you. Is this 15:25:52</p> <p>6 particle in the middle of this screen Calidria? 15:25:55</p> <p>7 MR. HYNES: Same objections. 15:25:58</p> <p>8 A. It is. 15:25:59</p> <p>9 Q. Another section of questions I wanted 15:26:11</p> <p>10 to cover with you before we finish for the day. Do 15:26:14</p> <p>11 you know what inner growth are? 15:26:21</p> <p>12 A. Yes. 15:26:23</p> <p>13 Q. What are they? 15:26:24</p> <p>14 A. Intergrowth is a structure with two 15:26:25</p> <p>15 different type of related minerals. There is 15:26:35</p> <p>16 commonality between their composition and crystal 15:26:46</p> <p>17 structure. Okay. So the intergrowth can only 15:26:54</p> <p>18 happen between, like, an ISO morph series mineral 15:27:04</p> <p>19 from one end member maybe to the middle or something 15:27:12</p> <p>20 like that but not two different species. What I 15:27:17</p> <p>21 mean two different species I meant the composition 15:27:23</p> <p>22 and the structure. If they are drastically 15:27:28</p> <p>23 different crystal structure, they will never 15:27:36</p> <p>24 intergrowth together. 15:27:41</p>
Page 179	Page 181
<p>1 sample, this being Calidria with bentonite clay, is 15:24:04</p> <p>2 there any doubt that the fiber in the middle of the 15:24:09</p> <p>3 screen is Calidria? 15:24:13</p> <p>4 MR. HYNES: Assumes facts. I will 15:24:15</p> <p>5 have a recurring objection on this document. Each 15:24:17</p> <p>6 of the images shown have been these photographic -- 15:24:19</p> <p>7 or photocopied reproductions as opposed to digital 15:24:23</p> <p>8 reproductions of these images, sort of washed out 15:24:26</p> <p>9 and faded. 15:24:29</p> <p>10 You can answer. 15:24:30</p> <p>11 MR. BRALY: That is a profound 15:24:34</p> <p>12 speaking objection, but that's all right. 15:24:35</p> <p>13 BY MR. BRALY: 15:24:38</p> <p>14 Q. Do you remember my question? 15:24:38</p> <p>15 A. Yes. 15:24:40</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. 15:24:44</p> <p>17 A. This is a chrysotile structure. 15:24:45</p> <p>18 Q. The next image is at page 28 of 15:24:55</p> <p>19 Exhibit 32. This is image identified as 15:24:59</p> <p>20 M71547-001CSM006. Given that this sample was a 15:25:05</p> <p>21 mixture of Calidria and bentonite clay, do you have 15:25:12</p> <p>22 any reason or do you believe that this image 15:25:17</p> <p>23 indicated in the middle of this screen is Calidria? 15:25:21</p> <p>24 MR. HYNES: Again, same objections. 15:25:24</p>	<p>1 Q. Thank you. Are you aware of the 15:27:44</p> <p>2 existence of talc and anthophyllite inter-growing 15:27:48</p> <p>3 together? 15:27:57</p> <p>4 A. The talc and anthophyllite, the 15:27:58</p> <p>5 composition is similar. Both are magnesium silicate 15:28:05</p> <p>6 with hydroxyl molecule in the structure. However, 15:28:14</p> <p>7 their crystallographic structure is quite different. 15:28:25</p> <p>8 Q. Yes. So first just for the sake of 15:28:27</p> <p>9 the court reporter, you said magnesium silicate with 15:28:31</p> <p>10 hydroxyl group, right? 15:28:33</p> <p>11 A. Yeah. 15:28:36</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Makes it clear as day, right? 15:28:36</p> <p>13 But if you look at them under SAED, you will get 15:28:41</p> <p>14 different crystal patterns for them? 15:28:45</p> <p>15 A. Yeah, right. 15:28:48</p> <p>16 Q. They also will have different 15:28:49</p> <p>17 refractive indices, correct? 15:28:51</p> <p>18 A. They are different. 15:28:54</p> <p>19 Q. They are different? 15:28:55</p> <p>20 Q. Have you reviewed Dr. Longo's 15:29:00</p> <p>21 analysis of intergrown species? 15:29:04</p> <p>22 A. Which one. 15:29:08</p> <p>23 Q. I will show you. 15:29:10</p> <p>24 A. The one I believe I read when the 15:29:12</p>

Page 182	Page 184
<p>1 report said one end is talc, one end is chrysotile. 15:29:18</p> <p>2 Q. That's what I want to ask you about. 15:29:22</p> <p>3 A. I said it is a misinterpretation of 15:29:24</p> <p>4 the color. 15:29:28</p> <p>5 Q. All right. Let me ask -- go ahead. 15:29:29</p> <p>6 It sounds like you're prepared to answer questions 15:29:33</p> <p>7 about it. Go ahead. 15:29:37</p> <p>8 A. Because there is no clear boundary 15:29:37</p> <p>9 interface between the so-called two structures. The 15:29:48</p> <p>10 only thing you can see from that image is the color 15:29:54</p> <p>11 change, but not in between there is no interface. 15:30:00</p> <p>12 Q. Can we take a look at some of these? 15:30:09</p> <p>13 This is -- I want you to explain this, okay? 15:30:12</p> <p>14 A. Okay. 15:30:15</p> <p>15 Q. This is Exhibit 33. 15:30:16</p> <p>16 A. This is a report issued June 13th of 15:30:18</p> <p>17 2022 entitled "PLM Analysis of Talc/Chrysotile 15:30:20</p> <p>18 Bundle Intergrowths." 15:30:25</p> <p>19 (Exhibit 33 PLM Analysis of Talc/Chrysotile 15:30:22</p> <p>20 Bundle Intergrowths marked for identification.) 15:30:25</p> <p>21 Q. I am going to go straight to the 15:30:29</p> <p>22 gamma, okay? 15:30:34</p> <p>23 What we see here in the first image, 15:30:36</p> <p>24 which is at page seven of Exhibit 33 and it's image 15:30:39</p>	<p>1 this fiber in materiality. I'm curious what you 15:32:50</p> <p>2 think this is. 15:32:55</p> <p>3 A. I can't see any transition. This 15:32:57</p> <p>4 is -- I think this structure is a single structure. 15:33:01</p> <p>5 It's not two structures with a boundary between 15:33:06</p> <p>6 them. 15:33:11</p> <p>7 Q. Because there is no boundary, you 15:33:11</p> <p>8 don't believe the talc and chrysotile can 15:33:13</p> <p>9 intergrowth? 15:33:15</p> <p>10 A. That's right, because their crystal 15:33:16</p> <p>11 structure is so much different. It cannot gradually 15:33:19</p> <p>12 change from talc to chrysotile or from chrysotile to 15:33:25</p> <p>13 talc. 15:33:31</p> <p>14 Q. In the next photo which is page five. 15:33:32</p> <p>15 This is the crossed polars photo. What in your 15:33:36</p> <p>16 opinion is accounting for the change in coloration 15:33:40</p> <p>17 from one end to the other on this one? 15:33:43</p> <p>18 A. Thickness. 15:33:46</p> <p>19 Q. Thickness. 15:33:47</p> <p>20 A. You see, here is a crossed polarized 15:33:49</p> <p>21 image. Then the color is the interference color 15:33:55</p> <p>22 which is determined by two factors. One is the 15:34:07</p> <p>23 difference between the gamma and the alpha or 15:34:12</p> <p>24 between the largest versus the smallest refract 15:34:16</p>
Page 183	Page 185
<p>1 M71171-001 ISO 004. You see a fiber structure that 15:30:44</p> <p>2 has two distinctly different levels of brightness 15:30:55</p> <p>3 associated with each end of it. What is this in 15:31:05</p> <p>4 your opinion? 15:31:09</p> <p>5 A. It is distorted dispersion staining 15:31:10</p> <p>6 color, not two type of mineral. 15:31:14</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. 15:31:20</p> <p>8 A. Because if you look at the crystal 15:31:21</p> <p>9 structure between talc and chrysotile, they are 15:31:26</p> <p>10 quite different. In that case, if this is an 15:31:31</p> <p>11 intergrowth, they should have a very distinctive 15:31:40</p> <p>12 boundary between the two species. They can never 15:31:44</p> <p>13 gradually transition between these two different 15:31:52</p> <p>14 crystal structures. So the difference show by this 15:31:57</p> <p>15 particle is only the central stop dispersion 15:32:05</p> <p>16 staining color which is no different from the other 15:32:11</p> <p>17 image it shows edge, middle, a range of dispersion 15:32:16</p> <p>18 staining color. So this is not an intergrowth at 15:32:23</p> <p>19 all. 15:32:28</p> <p>20 Q. Let me scroll back here through some 15:32:28</p> <p>21 of these earlier images of this same thing. In 15:32:30</p> <p>22 exhibit -- page four of this exhibit, which is 15:32:34</p> <p>23 Exhibit 33, we are looking at the polarizer out 15:32:37</p> <p>24 photo. So it appears that there is a transition in 15:32:41</p>	<p>1 index. The second factor is the thickness. Okay. 15:34:22</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Jumping ahead -- I'm sorry. 15:34:29</p> <p>3 For the next image here, which is page six of 15:34:33</p> <p>4 Exhibit 33, on the elongation slide, is thickness 15:34:38</p> <p>5 also the determinant for why the coloration is 15:34:45</p> <p>6 different along the length of this fiber? 15:34:49</p> <p>7 A. Yes. 15:34:52</p> <p>8 Q. All right. 15:34:53</p> <p>9 A. This is a cross polarized image 15:34:55</p> <p>10 superimposed to buy a four-wave compensator, they 15:34:59</p> <p>11 call it a four-wave plate, whatever you call, it is 15:35:06</p> <p>12 an accessory in the polarized light microscope. 15:35:11</p> <p>13 Q. Complicated pieces of equipment. 15:35:19</p> <p>14 The next page is the page we looked 15:35:24</p> <p>15 at previously. Does thickness of this structure in 15:35:26</p> <p>16 your opinion account for the different coloration 15:35:30</p> <p>17 here? 15:35:33</p> <p>18 A. No. 15:35:33</p> <p>19 Q. No. 15:35:34</p> <p>20 A. What account for the variation of 15:35:36</p> <p>21 dispersion staining color here is the total 15:35:41</p> <p>22 refraction caused by interface between liquid and 15:35:49</p> <p>23 the particle and also between particle fiber and 15:35:55</p> <p>24 fiber. 15:36:02</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 186</p> <p>1 Q. So here is where I'm struggling. The 15:36:02</p> <p>2 prior three photos had the same representative 15:36:05</p> <p>3 change in color in the prior three photos it was all 15:36:13</p> <p>4 due to thickness. But when we get to this one, a 15:36:20</p> <p>5 similar change of the same particle is now due to 15:36:23</p> <p>6 distortion. Do you follow why that's confusing to 15:36:26</p> <p>7 me? 15:36:30</p> <p>8 MR. HYNES: Objection to form. 15:36:31</p> <p>9 A. Yes. The reason that image is 15:36:31</p> <p>10 crossed polarized image, this is a plain polarized 15:36:36</p> <p>11 image and the optical chrysography they are showing 15:36:44</p> <p>12 a different aspect of the refract index 15:36:50</p> <p>13 relationship. Okay. 15:36:57</p> <p>14 Q. Same image in alpha on the next page, 15:36:59</p> <p>15 which is page eight of Exhibit 33. What accounts 15:37:03</p> <p>16 for the difference in color here? 15:37:07</p> <p>17 A. Distorted dispersion staining color 15:37:09</p> <p>18 due to the total refraction. 15:37:15</p> <p>19 Q. Don't you find it a little bit 15:37:19</p> <p>20 coincidental that the distortion happens to coincide 15:37:21</p> <p>21 with the same locations on that fiber that you 15:37:24</p> <p>22 previously said were due to the thickness of it? 15:37:26</p> <p>23 MR. HYNES: Same objection. 15:37:29</p> <p>24 A. I don't see any problem with that. 15:37:31</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 188</p> <p>1 MR. HYNES: Sure. Let's go off the 15:39:29</p> <p>2 record. 15:39:30</p> <p>3 (Witness excused.)</p> <p>4 (Deposition concluded at 3:39 p.m.)</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 187</p> <p>1 Q. The next image in gamma is page 12 of 15:37:40</p> <p>2 this exhibit. This is M71202-005CSM003. What's 15:37:45</p> <p>3 identified as one end talc and the other end 15:37:55</p> <p>4 chrysotile I'm presuming you're saying could not be 15:37:59</p> <p>5 without a boundary. 15:38:03</p> <p>6 A. That is my opinion. 15:38:04</p> <p>7 Q. All right. What accounts for the 15:38:07</p> <p>8 differences on the left side of this fiber versus 15:38:12</p> <p>9 the differences on the right side of this fiber? 15:38:14</p> <p>10 A. Again, it's normal central stop 15:38:17</p> <p>11 dispersion color or distorted central stop 15:38:24</p> <p>12 dispersion staining color. 15:38:30</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So if you took this same image 15:38:33</p> <p>14 and did a Becke line analysis of it, you're thinking 15:38:36</p> <p>15 you would get a singular refractive index for the 15:38:39</p> <p>16 entire length of that fiber? 15:38:42</p> <p>17 A. If you use Becke line to examine this 15:38:44</p> <p>18 structure, you will find it's like the Cargille 15:38:52</p> <p>19 glass. You will find where it shows a match or 15:39:02</p> <p>20 dis-match or there is no match at all. Okay. 15:39:08</p> <p>21 MR. BRALY: Kevin, I probably have a 15:39:19</p> <p>22 couple hours left of this, not of this specifically, 15:39:20</p> <p>23 but do you think we should probably just stop for 15:39:24</p> <p>24 the day because he has to get out at 4? 15:39:27</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 189</p> <p>1 CERTIFICATE</p> <p>2 I, Sandra Robertson, a Notary Public and</p> <p>3 Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey,</p> <p>4 do hereby certify that prior to the commencement of</p> <p>5 the examination, the witness was duly sworn by me</p> <p>6 via Zoom.</p> <p>7 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a</p> <p>8 true and accurate transcript of the testimony as</p> <p>9 taken stenographically by and before me via Zoom at</p> <p>10 the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set</p> <p>11 forth, to the best of my ability.</p> <p>12 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a</p> <p>13 relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of</p> <p>14 any of the parties to this action, and that I am</p> <p>15 neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or</p> <p>16 counsel, and that I am not financially interested in</p> <p>17 the action.</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20 </p> <p>21 Notary Number: 2108796</p> <p>22 CCR License Number: 30XI00209500</p> <p>23 License Expiration: 6/30/26</p> <p>24</p>

[& - 12]

Page 1

&	1		
& 1:6 2:13,17 2:19,23 3:4,9 3:14 32:9 42:13 43:12 48:4,15 48:23 52:5 56:5 56:14,20 88:3 88:12,24 90:10 90:11,14,20,23 91:2,17 131:18 132:2,14	1 4:11 6:3,6 23:4,7 109:17 109:18,18,18 109:24 117:13 117:18 120:15 120:22,23 1,000 166:10,22 1.1 60:5 1.250 6:3,4,5 117:13,18,20 117:22	154:14 157:18 160:19,24 161:10,16 165:5 172:23 175:7 178:21 1.550. 73:13 119:4 1.55077 156:24 1.555 69:5 161:9 1.556 98:23 99:1 161:21 1.556. 152:4 1.550. 153:10 1.56 163:17 168:15,16 1.56. 152:4 162:23 1.560 5:23,24 68:3 69:5 75:2 102:16 109:5,9 110:2,4,21 116:11 161:9 163:3 165:5 168:17 1.560. 94:15 101:20 116:6 172:23 1.561 162:18 1.561. 71:3 75:3 168:17 1.565 168:21 1.566. 162:6 1.567 175:1 1.567. 70:19	1.570 169:12 1.570. 169:18 175:1 1.58. 168:3,19 1.580 166:7,18 1.580. 167:24 1.584. 80:6 1.6 71:1 1.69 77:7,22 1.70. 77:7,22 1.750 71:3 1.760 71:3 1.85 85:20 1.866. 162:13 10 1:22 5:3 63:9 63:11 87:20 117:15 175:16 177:21 100 2:21 10036 2:16 103 5:19 104 5:21 109 5:23 10:51 37:18 11 1:23 5:5 37:18 66:22 67:11,24 110 5:24 117 6:3,4,5 1185 2:15 12 5:7,16 38:22 39:1,12 54:21 54:21,22 67:19 67:22,24 79:11 140:15 187:1
0			
0005 22:10 002 174:11 004 80:10 84:18 85:3 87:6 163:1 178:15 183:1 005 161:18 178:24 006 161:16,18 162:4 163:1 007 180:4 017 80:10 84:18 85:3 87:6 03 147:23 04 87:17 045 85:23 05 87:17 07102-4056 2:21 07701 2:10 08002 3:16	1.50 85:19 166:18 1.517 70:18 1.54. 168:4 1.540 166:7 1.540. 85:20 167:24 1.545 80:6 1.548. 169:12 1.549. 152:5 1.55 6:8 101:20 153:5,7 157:18 1.55. 156:19 163:11 1.550 5:17,18 5:19,21 6:6,7,8 68:3 69:6 73:20 94:14,21,24 95:7 97:11,16 103:20 104:8 104:13 110:9 116:8 120:23 122:2,20		

[120 - 21st]

Page 2

120 6:6	149:17 152:2,6	2	2023 6:9,10
122 6:7	153:4 161:9,16	2 4:12 6:4 18:18	47:4,15 130:16
127 2:9	161:19,21	22:10 23:9,13	171:9,11,18
12:47 39:12	162:22,23	55:24 117:14	2024 1:23 4:14
12th 40:8	19 5:18 97:10	117:20 122:1	23:16,22 32:14
13 5:9 68:7,11	97:11 99:7,18	126:1,13,18,22	34:22 37:18
148:15 161:5	102:23 103:21	126:23 127:6	39:6 42:11,24
13th 182:16	104:14 106:3	137:1,1 138:5	43:10 48:24
14 5:13 70:9,11	106:15,23	2.2 70:6	51:18,20 54:10
84:16 86:11,12	107:2,5,16,17	20 5:19 80:21	63:15
14th 33:3 40:1	108:17 110:8	85:16 103:18	21 4:14 5:21
15 5:14 72:1,3	110:16 144:14	103:19 104:20	23:22 104:5,8
73:12 75:17	166:1	104:21 105:2,3	104:12 105:2,8
80:21	1930s 18:2	105:7 106:14	105:16 106:13
15,000 56:10	1940 8:18	108:17	106:15,23
1500 56:9,10,11	1950s 18:3	2001 116:21,24	107:6 108:17
154 77:3	1957 9:16	122:4 162:9,17	163:6
1560 160:24	196 171:12	163:4	210 5:17,18
157 6:8	1964 17:17	2003 33:21	71:21,23 72:6
15th 59:13,14	1970s 132:19	169:1	73:13 74:5,9,11
108:23	1981 10:13,14	2004 33:5,14	74:11 94:14,21
16 5:15 76:16	10:21 11:2	2006 4:18 26:10	95:1,3,10,15,20
76:17	14:14	26:18,22	95:22 96:6,16
16-2738 1:4	1983 13:8	126:21 127:8	97:11 102:3
17 5:16 79:9,11	1985 11:9	132:9	109:5,13,16
171 6:9,10	1986 32:23	2012 32:5	110:8 118:15
17th 108:24	75:21	2015 25:11,19	118:17 172:13
18 5:17 94:20	1987 12:18	25:23	172:23
94:21,23	1989 75:19	2020 161:2	2108796 189:21
108:18 141:20	1992 63:3 70:10	2022 4:17 5:6,8	212 2:16
141:20 178:14	1993 5:13 70:11	24:1,4,7 25:1	214 2:5
182 6:11	1995 13:3	28:24 46:10,23	218 3:5
1866 69:5 96:14	1:46 39:2	67:12,23 68:11	219-3599 2:10
96:15,18,20,22	1st 63:14 66:9	85:15 130:16	21st 23:16
98:19,22,23		136:4 182:17	54:15,16,18
118:13 149:4,9			108:23

[22 - 65,000]

Page 3

22 5:23 51:20 109:4,8 161:3 22031 3:11 229 79:15 23 4:11,12,14 5:24 34:22 110:1,3,11,16 110:21 178:23 23rd 35:5 37:7 37:8 24 4:15 6:3 117:12,12,18 117:24 120:6 121:14,24 133:7 141:20 24th 54:6 55:4 25 6:4 117:12 117:14,20 122:1 135:10 141:20 153:8 258,240 55:4 26 4:18 6:5 72:1 86:11 93:15 117:12,15,22 122:10 123:8 269-2343 3:6 27 6:6 120:21 120:23 2700 41:11 27th 35:9 37:15 56:17 28 6:7 122:20 122:22 123:2 179:18 28th 47:8 61:3	29 154:8 29th 49:7 56:17 2nd 4:12 23:13 75:21 76:5 3 3 3:15 4:14 6:5 6:7 18:18 23:15 23:22 29:5,5 30:8,14 54:16 60:15 68:20 75:13,16,16 81:4 117:15,22 122:11,17,20 122:22 133:7 143:12 145:2 148:16 165:24 30 4:17 6:8 17:4 24:4,7 157:17 157:18 158:5 300 2:4 166:9 166:22 302 2:4 30th 36:1,6 49:8 54:10,21 30xi00209500 189:21 31 6:9 171:8,10 171:14 310 3:16 317-7180 3:17 318337 5:21 104:8,12 3183377 5:19 5:23,24 95:8 103:19 109:8	110:1 115:22 116:5 119:4,5 119:12,24 32 6:10 140:15 143:12 145:2 171:16,17,19 174:3,6 177:15 178:14,23 179:19 180:3 322.9 54:6 323 54:6 33 6:11 148:16 180:3 182:15 182:19,24 183:23 185:4 186:15 334 3:6 34 4:20 5:5,7 66:22 67:12,23 34th 2:15 360 137:8 36104 3:6 38 116:4 39 165:24 3:37 34:24 3:39 188:4 4 4 4:15 23:24 24:5 25:1 27:9 28:8,9 126:4 187:24 4.4 60:5 40 4:21 60:8,9 60:14	400 108:14 42 4:22 45 175:20 176:8 176:17,24 177:8 493 84:17 5 5 4:18 6:10 26:6 26:10,22 42:11 42:24 51:18 126:5 147:23 171:15,17 173:7,8 5/27 35:19 500 16:21 51 126:4 53 4:23 556-2100 2:16 560 93:19 110:12 160:20 589 21:1 156:24 5th 43:10 6 6 4:20 34:19,20 37:6 38:21 70:6 126:5 167:7 6/30/26 189:22 60 60:15 162:19 162:20 600 3:11 5:13 70:5,10,11 622-4444 2:22 63 5:4 65,000 55:8
---	---	---	--

[67 - ahera]

Page 4

67 5:6,7	9.1. 77:10	accounting	additional 27:1
68 5:9	9143 189:20	184:16	79:10
6th 54:4	93 5:13 70:5,10	accounts	adjust 137:23
7	70:11 86:12	186:15 187:7	adjustment
7 4:6,21 39:6	931-5500 3:12	accreditation	58:8 138:4
40:23,24	94 5:17	4:18 26:10,23	141:3
70 5:13 12:21	97 5:18	163:13	adjustments
703 3:12	973 2:22	accurate 51:24	126:11
71134 4:16 24:2	98 29:20	53:21 82:10	advance 158:7
24:6	9:13 1:24	100:20 132:4	advanced 126:3
71376 4:16 24:2	a	150:1 189:8	advocacy 62:20
24:6	a.m. 1:24 34:24	acquainted	affect 98:10
72 5:14	37:19	30:23	affiliation 62:4
722-5990 2:5	ability 189:11	acquired 36:11	62:10,13
75202 2:5	able 32:21	actinolite	afford 100:23
76 5:15	111:23 115:17	149:21	afternoon 93:1
79 5:16	119:15 136:10	action 189:14	agency 88:15
8	145:22	189:17	ago 30:19,20,21
8 4:22 42:5,5,8	above 1:19 71:1	actual 151:2,4	89:19,22
48:14 55:12	153:7,14	169:2	127:18 128:19
800 43:7 53:4	absent 96:3	actually 11:2,3	132:8 141:19
54:10	academy 10:18	11:10 17:17	146:14,21
8280 3:10	accent 45:5	18:10,14,14	172:5
83 29:18 143:4	accepting 43:4	19:14 20:15,15	agree 40:21
84 8:15,21	access 150:15	21:6 22:5 28:13	50:12,15 52:20
11:10	accessory	31:18 46:16	65:15 82:8,21
85 11:11	185:12	47:6 53:17	82:23 83:8 87:1
856 3:17	accommodate	71:18 73:8	87:3
86 143:5	167:6	76:13 79:6	agreed 90:2
888 2:10	accomplished	81:12 85:13	agreement 43:7
9	61:17 74:4	101:18 111:8	53:3 78:17
9 4:23 6:9,10	account 126:11	115:17 132:22	ahead 50:17
53:14,15 76:24	185:16,20	138:8 140:10	132:24 182:5,7
171:8,11,18	accounted 90:6	148:9 151:20	185:2
		155:22 156:20	ahera 170:6

[aii - application]

Page 5

<p>aii 44:17,21,22 46:4,7 47:20 alabama 3:6 albuquerque 11:5,6 14:14,15 14:17 15:9 allen 3:4 allow 136:19 allows 18:12 alpha 5:17,23 6:6,7 81:11,15 81:18,21 82:15 83:6,9,10,15,20 83:24 84:5 85:18,19,22 86:7,21,22 94:22 95:1,4 96:10,10 109:9 116:9,12 120:14,14,15 120:20,22,24 121:6 122:17 122:18,21,22 122:23 151:3 151:11 152:4 163:1 175:24 176:6 177:10 184:23 186:14 altered 144:4 ama 88:21,22 89:4,12,18 amenable 42:16,23 amended 4:11 4:12 23:7,13</p>	<p>america 31:7 american 12:14 12:16 44:16 169:1 americas 2:15 amherst 19:15 amosite 20:22 149:18 amphibole 61:19 analysis 4:16 5:12 6:11 24:3 24:6,22 27:12 36:6,15,18,20 36:23 37:1 38:4 41:16 47:17 57:1,2,2 58:6 58:17 59:5 64:6 66:24 68:10,15 73:22 74:15 75:18 95:14 100:8,9 101:9 102:4 114:15 130:19 147:15 169:9 181:21 182:17,19 187:14 analyst 74:3,4 128:11,24 129:1 131:5 133:20 135:23 139:17 145:7 152:18 155:12 155:17,23 analysts 131:21</p>	<p>analytical 4:19 21:14 26:11,24 57:4 analyze 41:13 71:14,21 73:9 88:18 117:8 analyzed 40:3 71:11,16 72:11 72:24 75:9 93:24 94:2 169:13,16 analyzing 132:22 140:21 angle 143:1,1,2 143:3,5,6,17,18 143:20 144:2 176:9,18 angles 47:11 ann 34:12 60:21 61:13,15 62:3,12,19 63:2 65:7,20 annual 31:7 annular 100:2 160:7 answer 19:13 44:19 54:1 58:14 62:24 127:5 128:14 146:12 179:10 182:6 answered 138:9 168:24 answers 58:4 anthophyllite 149:21 181:2,4</p>	<p>anticipated 51:19 anticipating 162:5 antigorite 79:20 anybody 32:14 47:16 48:3 49:12 51:10 52:5 53:6 72:15 164:2 anymore 66:5 137:24 anyway 17:8 43:24 114:9 apartment 15:8 15:11,11 aperture 18:11 100:6 apologize 87:12 144:8 apparently 39:1 appear 53:20 107:11 appeared 13:15 appears 27:2 110:22 156:6 183:24 appendix 29:10 89:4 applicable 106:6 application 5:10 68:8,13</p>
--	---	---	--

[appreciate - avenue]

Page 6

appreciate 7:18 10:10 65:19 115:6,15 120:4 161:4 approach 13:9 approached 43:12 appropriate 68:1 98:3 140:23 142:22 176:9 april 42:24 area 9:4 147:22 153:14,14 155:23 157:3,7 157:9 177:2 arguing 167:16 167:16 argumentative 62:23 arizona 83:19 arrange 55:18 arrived 14:14 37:15 73:6 arrow 118:1 120:8 121:14 art 90:22 article 13:7,11 13:13 articles 13:18 13:21 14:2,5 62:20 articulate 176:14 artificially 58:22	arts 108:21 asb 5:5,7 67:11 67:22 asbestiform 62:21,21 asbestos 5:12 19:8 21:22 24:23 46:4 52:6 56:1 62:20 64:18 66:24 68:10,15 70:6 75:18 79:24 88:19 91:12 96:2 97:2 100:21 126:2 126:24 131:23 149:16,16,17 149:20 163:15 169:5,9 170:8 170:21 171:20 ashcraft 3:9 ashcraft.com 3:12 aside 27:6 asked 27:11 46:10,17 49:22 50:20 56:23 138:15 141:17 146:21 168:24 172:4 asking 8:8 10:1 35:13 42:16,22 43:12 49:24 58:19 84:14 96:1 99:7 102:2 103:16 130:9	131:15,16 132:18 171:22 177:12 180:5 asks 12:2 13:24 17:11 45:15 112:14 aspect 171:7 186:12 aspects 82:13 assessing 176:5 176:6 assessment 25:10,12 27:4 27:19 148:11 assessor's 27:2 assign 174:5 associate 85:13 associated 58:6 61:22 77:4 80:10 85:2,9 87:14 129:7 146:8 147:16 156:11 183:3 associating 121:21 association 62:5,10 assume 136:19 assumes 37:2 173:20 179:4 attach 63:23 attached 39:5 41:3 66:18 attachment 39:3	attachments 63:16 attended 9:19 10:5 11:11 attending 58:3 139:8 attention 27:15 27:23 28:5 attorney 42:13 44:7,14 189:13 189:15 attorneys 2:6 2:11,17,23 3:13 3:18 48:15,22 56:14 65:5 auditor 25:6 august 45:22 47:3,9,15 author 13:15 20:7 authored 14:3 24:1 59:17 68:12 130:4 134:22 authorship 29:1 130:3 automatic 102:10 automatically 81:16 131:3,5 160:6 availability 43:13 available 134:8 avenue 1:23 2:9 2:15
---	---	---	--

[average - believe]

Page 7

average 85:19 85:20,22 108:4	27:7 35:8,20 37:13 42:3 43:4	barely 29:19	147:9,17
aware 26:15 28:2,13 31:14 31:17 32:15 33:23 35:22 36:17,19,22 37:4 46:5,6 52:1 58:3,7,10 58:21 59:8 61:19,20 66:14 66:15 88:10,14 88:19,23 90:9 90:12,13,16,17 90:22 91:1,17 91:21 126:13 126:18 132:7 139:16 172:2,7 181:1	48:23 50:15 52:15 53:23 56:16 75:13 78:7 79:14 80:17 84:16 93:4 106:2 123:8 127:7 144:14 145:1 157:11 158:5 160:14 175:6 176:22 183:20	base 137:2 based 22:3 41:15 basic 17:9 64:5 basically 89:15 basics 133:16 133:17 batch 117:5 151:16 batching 18:23 bbraly 2:6 bear 12:1,6 35:15 beasley 3:4 beasleyallen.... 3:7 becke 100:6,7,8 100:14,19,20 100:24,24 101:9,12,22,23 102:3,11 104:16 111:18 112:11,18,20 112:24 113:3,5 113:10,11,12 113:13,14,15 113:15,18 129:19,21,24 130:6,20 131:2 140:23 141:2,9 142:1,11 145:8 145:10,12,13 145:21 146:2 146:10,13,16 146:19,23	148:12 150:17 152:24 153:1 153:13,16,19 153:24 154:15 155:8,9 158:2 158:15 160:7 174:7 175:4,17 175:24 176:2 177:23 178:2,3 187:14,17 began 35:24 127:10 beginning 27:10 begins 79:15 behalf 43:12 behaved 151:2 belief 74:10 believe 23:16 24:16 31:4,21 36:12 39:19 44:23 46:18 47:20 50:11 54:13,24 59:9 59:16 64:19 70:5 71:11 73:24 83:24 85:19 99:4 102:21 109:17 109:23 119:14 119:19 120:14 131:21 132:4 132:15 145:1 146:20 162:13 179:22 181:24
awful 66:3	background 103:14 136:6 162:8 balanced 127:22 balancing 126:12 bald 45:5 ballpark 168:15 bandli 30:24 31:3,16,24 32:16 33:6,11 33:24 34:5 38:1 39:18,22 40:8 41:4 91:14 94:3 139:5 172:14 bank 2:10 bar 103:3,5 106:4,6,8,12 146:13 148:3 166:19		
b			
b 12:3 155:9,10 156:23 baby 45:14 64:19 90:11,15 90:20,23 91:2 91:13,20 95:9 95:10,16 96:3 96:19,23 97:3 105:11 132:2 132:20 137:20 bachelor 9:24 back 11:7 13:6 13:22 15:9 18:19 26:18			

[believe - brought]

Page 8

184:8 benefit 64:3 benjamin 2:3 bentonite 171:20 173:12 173:15 178:10 179:1,21 180:2 best 65:19 85:14 107:23 140:8 141:5 146:12 189:11 better 56:3 140:11 163:16 beyond 167:8 bh 55:24 126:1 126:4,4,5,5,13 126:18,22,23 127:6 137:1,1 138:5 big 57:16,17 109:19 bill 56:15 124:17 billed 54:6 55:8 billing 54:10 55:3 90:6 birefringence 80:15 81:5,10 81:12,13,15,20 82:5,9,14 83:2 83:11,23 84:17 84:21 85:2,5,9 85:12,24 86:2,7 86:18,24 87:5 87:10,14	birefringences 80:9 86:14 bishop 48:7,11 bit 7:16 40:16 73:5 84:15 99:8 102:19 111:4 123:19 125:9 158:6 186:19 blade 110:24 blank 105:12 blended 177:23 blending 156:6 blob 121:11 block 18:10,13 18:23 blocks 18:10 bloss 10:23 11:3 13:8 14:16 20:6 31:8 32:2 33:6 113:17 148:6 150:3,3 155:1,1,14,19 156:15 bloss's 153:14 178:4 blue 76:20 96:6 96:8,11 102:19 110:22,23 111:3,4,18,19 112:5,6 121:9 121:10 153:17 bluish 125:11 154:22 bond 4:17 24:3 24:7 45:14,16 46:11 136:3	book 19:20,20 19:21,23,24 20:1,2,6,9,10 20:11 21:13,20 75:19,20 76:15 76:21 79:3,10 79:14,17 84:22 84:23 113:17 150:3,5 books 75:17 76:2,4 border 112:22 175:18 177:22 born 8:18,23 bother 91:8 bottle 21:9 boundary 141:8,12 142:10,23 143:11,14,16 143:23 182:8 183:12 184:5,7 187:5 bow 146:15,21 148:2,5 braly 2:3 4:6 7:8 26:22 29:22 29:24 38:13,19 40:20 41:20 42:2 44:12,13 45:16,18 48:18 50:4,7,12,18 52:12 76:12 80:18,22 81:2 82:2,3 91:22 92:2 93:3	104:21 105:4,6 112:1,3 117:11 119:10 131:10 131:14 144:12 158:10,13,14 159:12,14 168:11,12 172:24 173:14 174:15,19 179:11,13 187:21 brand 147:15 branham 2:3 break 40:19 41:21 42:1 80:21 91:24 93:7,8 123:20 127:24 131:11 131:13 159:11 159:13 breaks 8:2 briefly 25:13 bright 121:10 brightened 58:22 133:23 134:4 brightness 59:5 59:7 183:2 bring 27:15,23 55:19 98:5 112:21 broke 9:17 brought 18:14 28:4 31:21,21 71:20 95:24 138:13
--	--	--	---

[brown - caused]

Page 9

brown 154:21 155:18 brownish 127:19 154:20 156:15 bruce 48:7,10 brunswick 1:23 61:4 bryan 30:23 31:15,18,21,23 31:24,24 32:16 33:5,11,24 34:4 37:24 38:1 39:17 91:14 94:3 139:5,7 172:14 build 33:6 builded 127:4 building 15:3 23:3 124:5 built 124:9 125:23 126:6 153:12 bulb 123:23 124:3,20 bulbs 125:18,18 bundle 6:11 84:12 87:9 98:7 148:20,24 149:1 152:6,7 159:3,17,19 182:18,20 buy 185:10	c c 2:1,20 3:2 17:13 29:10 30:1 60:15 153:8 155:9,10 calculate 20:13 81:17 86:7,17 143:4 calculated 81:20 82:5,8 calculating 83:2 86:5 calculation 20:18 83:1 calculations 81:5 calibrated 129:22 calibration 128:17 165:17 calidria 35:14 36:7,7,11,15,18 36:23 37:1 71:2 71:7,12,15 74:22 96:16 116:16 117:1,5 118:4,18 119:19 162:10 162:17 163:3 170:15 171:20 173:9,11,16 178:9,11,12,17 178:19,21 179:1,3,21,23 180:1,6	california 83:19 116:16 117:5 118:3 171:24 172:8 call 19:23 21:21 37:22 98:15 99:22 136:9,15 150:13 152:1 157:23 167:5 185:11,11 called 9:4 13:9 16:15 17:8 18:9 18:20 19:19 20:23 21:7 22:20 39:6 42:22 68:12 75:21 76:23 100:15 115:23 116:4 118:13 118:15 143:16 150:2,3 154:16 177:9 182:9 calling 154:15 calls 132:5 camera 47:11 126:14 campus 3:15 canada 69:17 83:18 149:9 152:9 canadian 96:20 152:7 170:10 cancer 22:24 capture 113:20 114:3,12,12,16 114:16 115:4	captured 133:18 135:20 capturing 114:24 115:16 136:23 carbide's 118:3 card 12:19 56:11 care 3:18 career 7:16 careful 163:16 carefully 121:17 cargille 5:7 63:21 67:13,20 67:22 101:19 154:2,9 156:20 156:22 187:18 case 23:6 29:6 35:24 38:6 42:17 49:12 51:12,20,23 52:2 54:14 66:13,13 69:5 86:18 100:3 108:21,21 117:9 135:3 139:6 161:9 166:23 183:10 cases 22:24 51:12 59:18 catch 114:1 cause 26:1 143:17,21 caused 141:6 185:22

[ccr - chrysotile]

Page 10

ccr 189:21	chair 11:4	156:16 165:20	chrysography
cdcs 5:17,18	challenging 8:7	166:12,15,15	186:11
94:21,24 97:11	chance 7:14	167:10 178:4	chrysolite 79:4
102:14	41:17 53:23	chatfield	79:8,20 96:20
center 2:20	163:17	146:18	chrysotile 4:15
97:23 99:10,11	chang 61:12,12	chatted 7:13	5:23,24 6:11
99:16 122:14	61:15	cheap 127:2	17:10,13 19:7,8
123:3 145:24	change 22:11	check 27:19	20:21 24:2,5
157:21	101:1 113:1,3	129:19,20	27:17,24 60:1,4
centigrade	150:18,23	131:4 145:8	60:18 64:5,18
22:10	159:5 182:11	checking	68:2 69:2,4,12
central 9:7	184:12,16	129:24	69:14,17,19
16:13 17:22	186:3,5	chemical	70:2,14,24
18:1,9,11,13,15	changed 9:6	108:21	74:24 75:6,9
18:20 19:10	159:6 165:18	cherry 3:16	77:4,6,12,13,21
36:24 96:6 98:2	changes 165:16	chicago 31:18	77:23 78:10,11
98:12,14 99:22	changing	31:23 32:16,24	78:19,22 79:4,5
100:1 101:21	160:10	132:13,16	79:19,19,24
102:11 104:2,7	chapter 21:20	children 167:7	82:16,22 83:17
111:1 112:10	76:24	children's	83:22 84:18
113:2 128:2	chapters 76:10	167:4	85:2,24 86:4
141:6 142:1	characterizati...	china 8:23 9:1	87:5,21 88:3,4
143:8 144:3	67:6	9:9,16,20 13:20	88:5,12 90:11
145:7,22 147:5	charge 56:12	14:5,9 16:7,9	90:14,20,23
157:11,14	chart 77:3,9,11	16:10,15 17:18	91:2,19 96:13
160:6 166:21	99:15 102:14	18:3 35:6,21	96:14,15 97:5
174:8 183:15	102:20 111:7	56:17 165:2	98:7 107:3,14
187:10,11	113:18,18	chinese 10:18	108:1,4,6,7,12
certain 158:8	121:22 123:16	35:3 118:9,13	108:19 109:5,8
certificate	146:16,17,18	118:15 119:6	110:1 116:15
149:5 189:1	146:19 147:1,3	chongqing 9:4	116:16 117:1,5
certified 1:20	147:5,21 148:3	choose 68:23	118:3,9,10,14
189:3	148:6,7,8,9,11	chris 168:11	118:15,18,19
certify 189:4,7	148:13 152:23	christopher 2:9	119:8,18,19
189:12	153:14 155:1,2	chronological	120:9 122:5
	155:7,14,20	34:17 37:7	131:18 132:2

[chrysotile - coloration]

Page 11

132:20 149:9	city 9:6,6 55:19	156:17 157:6	99:16,17,20,23
149:15,18	claims 160:16	closely 156:11	100:4 101:22
151:1,5 152:3,8	clamshell	closer 100:18	102:13,13,13
153:4,5 159:7	122:14	closest 155:23	102:15,17,19
161:6,8 162:5	clarification	156:2 177:23	102:20 104:2
162:10,14	12:2 13:24	coalinga 116:15	105:20 111:6
163:17,20	17:11 33:17	118:2,8,10,18	111:17,19,20
164:1,3,9,14,21	45:15 112:14	122:5	121:21 122:7
165:1,4 166:13	clarify 10:8	coauthor 13:7	123:15 124:2,8
166:20 167:13	13:23 147:19	76:1	124:20 125:5,8
167:18 168:2,4	clarifying 35:2	code 116:17	125:10,21
168:15 169:3,5	48:16	119:16	127:20 128:18
169:11,17,21	clark 2:6 4:11	coefficient 21:8	140:22 141:7,7
170:9,11 171:4	22:21 23:5,7	169:7	142:9,10 143:9
171:24 172:8	35:23 42:17	cohen 2:8	144:3,5 145:10
172:16,18	50:2	coincide 186:20	146:8,13,16
173:16,19,22	clark's 49:12	coincidental	147:2,3,6,16,24
173:23 174:1,3	51:23 52:2	186:20	148:3,7 152:15
174:11 175:7	66:13 135:3	colder 125:10	152:16,17,22
178:19 179:17	clay 171:21	collaboratively	153:17,17,20
182:1,17,19	173:12 178:10	34:6	155:12,13
183:9 184:8,12	179:1,21	collect 16:20,21	156:14 157:22
184:12 187:4	cleaning 75:12	73:2 85:17	160:1,2,3
chrysotiles	clear 119:9	collection 5:3	165:18,20
35:14 69:21	121:1 145:18	5:14 34:16 63:9	166:11,19,22
149:1 161:24	154:12 177:13	72:1,3	167:10 174:5,9
163:8 166:21	181:12 182:8	college 9:9,16	175:2,9,11
chun 1:8 4:5,13	clearer 120:15	16:8 61:13	178:3 182:4,10
7:4 23:14 64:7	121:7 122:24	color 19:20	183:6,16,18
65:19	click 114:11,16	20:14 21:16,24	184:21,21
circle 18:19	close 68:24	57:19 84:2,4	185:21 186:3
circumstances	100:5 107:8,10	96:7,17 97:19	186:16,17
52:24	107:11,22	97:20 98:1,4,10	187:11,12
cite 166:17	113:13 145:14	98:12,15,16,18	colorado 90:13
citizen 12:14,16	149:23 151:24	98:18,20,24	coloration
	154:23 155:4	99:3,12,12,15	184:16 185:5

[coloration - containers]

Page 12

185:16 colors 36:24 72:7 99:18 129:7,11 141:21 142:17 144:17,22 column 70:15 158:19 167:18 come 10:11 13:22 15:9 20:5 27:7 32:7 44:6 50:15 77:18 91:10,11 105:4 114:7,9 126:14 comes 69:13 coming 14:6,9 46:1 53:7 124:21 commencem... 11:11 189:4 commencing 1:24 comment 81:4 commerce 3:5 commercial 100:22 101:5 163:10 common 149:17 commonality 180:16 communicate 40:12,14 140:7 communication 40:4	communicati... 39:21 50:1,10 66:5 community 7:17,18 companies 67:14 company 12:21 12:22 154:9 compare 53:24 156:15 178:3 compared 108:12 comparing 102:20 155:19 175:9 comparison 102:14 134:14 167:17 compensator 185:10 complete 114:11 126:7 completed 11:8 38:4 54:15 completely 12:6 142:16 completion 73:1 complex 15:11 complicate 46:18 complicated 20:17 185:13 composition 159:2,4 180:16	180:21 181:5 computer 29:19,21 concept 83:14 83:15 140:19 141:3 concerning 62:13 concerns 124:19 concert 170:6 conclude 64:2 concluded 188:4 conclusion 134:6 148:20 171:5 condition 99:2 conduct 27:12 65:4 conducted 32:23 36:6 51:6 51:7 59:4 71:8 116:23 conducting 54:19 58:16 conference 40:9 132:14,14 132:15 confident 41:16 confirm 111:18 130:5 133:2 134:12,13,17 140:23 142:2 confirmed 47:6 134:22 141:24	142:10 152:5 158:1 confirming 142:11 conformance 28:22 confused 83:15 118:11 confusing 89:24 186:6 congress 63:3 connecticut 55:18 connection 132:10 consider 9:19 75:4 137:22 considered 22:6 consistent 98:8 constant 98:23 152:3 constants 13:9 consult 53:6 consulted 49:14 consulting 32:13 64:20 consuming 101:5 contact 43:20 48:3 52:5 149:23 151:24 container 73:16 containers 5:14 72:3,7,20 93:17 93:21
--	---	---	--

[contains - court]

Page 13

contains 17:10	convert 148:2	107:4,7 108:22	correspond
contaminant	cool 19:2	109:6,7,14	34:6 99:1
172:1	cooling 150:24	110:4,5 111:17	corresponded
contaminants	copy 53:17 76:7	111:22 112:19	48:10,14
172:7	174:12	112:21 113:23	correspondence
contaminate	corner 177:3	114:5,22 115:2	5:3 34:11 37:17
172:10	177:15	116:10,13	38:20 39:16
contaminated	corning 156:20	117:6,10	63:9
173:24	corporate 3:10	121:12 122:3,6	corresponding
content 50:1,10	correct 8:23	123:7 124:18	48:17 102:15
context 37:24	9:10 15:16	124:23 125:6	102:23 104:6
78:20	17:22 24:14	125:12,13,15	143:8 144:3
continue 46:13	25:6 27:12 28:6	125:23,23	157:13
109:1 119:20	28:10 36:8	127:9 128:8,12	corresponds
continuous	38:24 39:23	128:22 129:2,7	99:15 103:13
111:10,12	40:10 41:4,5,17	129:8,13,23	cosmetic 27:24
contractors	43:8,9 46:15	133:18,19,21	127:11 163:20
90:19	47:8,21 52:8	133:22,24	164:3,10,14
contrast 100:9	53:4,5 55:5,6,9	134:5,23,24	cost 56:8 126:4
100:11	58:8,9,12 59:19	135:12,20	council 3:18
contribution	63:13 68:4,5,15	136:1 139:12	counsel 3:7
31:8	69:9,15,16,19	141:1,14,22,23	50:1 189:13,16
convenient	69:20,23,24	142:3,13,15,19	couple 23:2
160:10	71:8,9,17 72:7	144:16,19,24	38:21 69:10
conversation	72:18 73:7,14	145:6,9 155:15	89:19,22 128:1
38:15	73:16 74:8 75:7	157:22 158:1	128:19 146:14
conversational	78:13 79:21	163:5,9 165:6	146:21 171:23
38:15	80:12,16 81:7,8	166:24 170:18	187:22
conversations	82:6,24 83:6	171:2 172:15	course 17:9
39:21 40:7	84:19 85:4 86:9	176:13,20	31:9,19 32:23
48:22	86:15 87:7 88:8	181:17	33:10,20 59:1,2
conversion 5:5	90:4 94:4,16	correction	65:1 66:3 133:1
5:7 63:21 67:11	95:2,5,6,17	153:11	173:16
67:22 128:21	97:14 100:9	correctly 11:16	court 1:1,21
153:12 167:2	103:1,3 106:1,4	77:19 81:12	38:6 181:9
167:11	106:16 107:1,3	85:21 128:16	189:3

[courthouse - depending]

Page 14

<p>courthouse 25:15 courtroom 54:11 cover 7:24 17:4 17:5 81:1 87:22 167:2,8,11 180:10 cplacitella 2:11 cpirlaw.com 2:11 crazy 35:10 create 144:11 146:15 created 29:12 30:13 53:13 146:18 153:12 credit 56:11 crew 47:12 criteria 115:11 143:3 critical 143:1,6 143:18,20 144:2 criticism 28:8 28:10,11,12,14 84:10 170:14 criticisms 58:1 107:13 114:18 133:5 169:14 critique 81:5 113:5 crocidolite 149:18 crop 115:18</p>	<p>cropped 114:14 115:1,12 cropping 115:5 115:5 cross 50:2 130:19 185:9 crossed 184:15 184:20 186:10 crow 3:4 crystal 151:2 159:2,4 180:16 180:23 181:14 183:8,14 184:10 crystallograp... 181:7 csds 5:21 6:6,7 6:8 102:14,20 104:8,12 111:7 120:23 121:22 122:20 123:16 130:5,19 152:23 157:18 ctl 93:20 cumbersome 20:14 101:4 curious 12:12 159:17 184:1 currently 51:22 cursor 111:24 curve 20:20,21 20:22 108:5 curved 122:13 custom 126:7 cut 17:3</p>	<p>d dad 29:18,20 dale 13:9 dallas 2:5 dark 112:5 120:12 155:18 darker 111:4 dash 166:12 data 21:12 41:15 84:1 106:9 113:24 164:21 169:13 database 113:23 date 35:20 38:21 51:19,23 54:5 189:10 dated 4:14,17 6:9,10 23:16,22 24:3,7 34:22 39:5 42:11,24 43:11 54:16 171:8,10,17 daughter 45:23 45:23 53:10 david 75:21 76:15 day 14:21 35:23 37:22 49:9 64:4 65:2 73:21 180:10 181:12 187:24 daylight 124:5 124:6,8,22 125:16 126:7,9</p>	<p>days 40:1 dc 45:24 47:5 deal 141:3 171:7 dealing 86:12 87:2,4 128:23 dean 2:3 december 26:18 decent 56:2 decide 170:10 decimal 22:9,11 162:12,21 decision 129:20 160:18 165:12 deep 111:18,19 deeper 96:11 default 115:16 defendant 2:17 2:23 3:18 defined 81:17 degree 9:24 22:10 175:20 176:8,17 177:9 degrees 137:9 143:5 153:8 delaware 11:15 11:24 12:1,6,8 35:15 44:4,4 67:1,4 delivered 35:19 deny 133:2 department 19:19 61:13 depending 69:13 176:5</p>
---	---	---	--

[depends - discussed]

Page 15

depends 113:9	determination	184:23 186:16	dimmed 58:22
deposit 165:1	131:2 174:9	differences	direct 9:6
deposition 1:7	determinator	187:8,9	138:21
4:11,12 7:21	185:5	different 15:8	direction 68:2
23:4,5,8,10,13	determine 69:7	47:11 69:14,18	70:3 75:6 77:5
49:19 50:3,11	99:19,21	72:7 73:5 84:9	82:21 84:4,5
50:21 51:5,11	113:10,17,19	85:16 93:17	95:4,4 96:7,8
51:14,16 56:21	138:5 148:13	98:18 100:8	96:16 97:14
58:2 59:3 66:2	155:2,12	106:17,19	98:4,24 99:10
66:12 94:7	161:10 175:24	115:21 116:8	120:10,14,14
135:7 158:7	176:18,21	116:12 125:5	120:22 121:7
188:4	177:1,23	125:19,21	121:14 122:24
deposits 172:8	determined	140:12 141:16	150:16 151:8
derivation	141:9 159:1	141:21 142:17	153:6,6 166:6
21:15	184:22	144:17,22	176:4
derive 99:20	determining	147:8 148:19	directions
deriving 21:23	164:2	149:1,2 155:8	153:15
describe 21:2	detraction	158:6 165:13	directive
147:24 157:1	150:14	165:20,20	155:24
descriptions	develop 21:6	169:17 170:9	directly 11:5
76:24	developed	180:15,20,21	18:22 23:17
descriptive	17:24 30:2	180:23 181:7	dis 113:19
148:8 155:13	147:21 149:4	181:14,16,18	187:20
155:19	150:2	181:19 183:2	disagree 83:12
design 126:7	developing	183:10,13,16	129:12,17
designated 52:2	149:22	184:11 185:6	165:10
designation	development	185:16 186:12	disbursing 96:7
119:6	30:6	differentiate	disc 18:17,19
designed 124:7	device 150:15	98:11	discovered 90:1
137:7	dial 137:10	difficult 67:6	discreet 84:15
detail 18:6	diameter 18:18	digital 58:11	discrete 87:9
128:19	diaphragm	114:3 115:4	discretion
detailed 26:14	100:6	126:14 174:13	128:10,24
details 58:19	difference	179:7	discuss 169:2
detectible	85:21 109:19	digitally 58:11	discussed 49:10
132:19	161:17 183:14	138:19	128:18 177:24

[discussing - drimmc]

Page 16

discussing 17:20 37:22 40:2	178:10 distinction 96:15 142:10	67:20 91:11 119:21 179:5	37:1,12,21 38:3 40:7,7,12 41:3
dispersed 113:13,15 178:3	distinctive 183:11	documents 23:12 26:9 66:18 70:5	41:4,12 42:3 45:22 46:1,3,9 46:24 47:4,17 49:8 51:14
dispersion 5:9 17:22 18:1 19:6 19:11 20:13,20 20:21 21:8,11 21:16,24 27:16 27:20 36:24 57:18 68:7,12 84:2,3 98:2,10 98:12,15,20 99:23,24 101:8 101:22 104:2,2 104:7 105:19 112:15,16 113:2 128:3,16 128:18 129:23 131:2 141:7 143:9 144:3 146:17 147:5 152:17 159:24 160:2,3,9 166:11,21 169:7 174:8 183:5,15,17 185:21 186:17 187:11,12	distinctly 183:2 distinguish 19:10 99:23 113:11 159:19 distorted 57:18 98:15 99:1,3 101:21 105:19 141:8 143:9 152:17 160:2,3 183:5 186:17 187:11 distortion 130:7 141:6,14 142:11,13 143:13,15,17 143:24 144:23 145:4 186:6,20 distribution 42:21 57:14 108:1 district 1:1,2 dm 41:11 dm2700 124:14 dobslegal.com 2:6 doc 20:6 150:3 169:16 document 23:17,20 26:14 27:3 28:1 41:6 43:11 51:15	doing 8:22 16:16 17:18 31:22 34:23 41:9 54:23 87:13 89:15 112:17 127:10 128:7 139:2 156:8 163:15 171:13 donald 10:22 11:3 doubt 100:4 131:4 173:19 179:2 doug 14:15 douglas 42:12 42:21 43:4 48:13 55:10,11 dr 5:4 7:9 15:13 15:24 18:4 19:21 20:19 25:2,8,11,23 27:1,11,14,15 27:22,22,23 28:4,7,16,20 30:5,17,23,23 31:2,3,8,9,11 31:15,18,20,21 31:24 32:3,17 33:5,6 35:13,23 36:13,14,17,22	54:11 61:2,5,8 63:10,12 64:22 66:6,10 74:9,11 81:6,19,24 82:4 82:21 85:14 88:2,11 95:22 98:21 105:9 107:13 113:17 114:21 119:4 119:15,19 146:7,15,21 148:2,5,6,18 150:2 153:14 160:16,18,23 163:23 164:1 165:10 166:5 166:12,18 167:17 169:10 170:13 171:21 178:3 181:20 drag 116:1 drastically 180:22 drawl 45:5 drew 16:4 drimmc 5:5 63:21 66:23 67:11,13 146:15

[drive - evaluating]

Page 17

drive 3:10	147:11 175:19	elongation	entry 33:4,18
driving 52:22	177:2 183:17	185:4	54:9 169:14
dubin 49:3,5,9	edges 99:13,16	else's 51:11	epa 5:13 70:4
due 99:1 141:8	111:2 141:22	email 39:11	70:10,11 84:16
186:4,5,18,22	144:21	41:3 42:20 43:3	85:24 86:12
duly 7:2,5	edition 75:21	55:12 63:11	88:15
189:5	76:5	65:10 66:9	equal 143:1,17
dumb 95:18	educating	emails 4:20,22	equation 21:7,7
144:7	77:20	34:20 35:3 42:6	equipment
dustin 42:17	effect 22:8	42:8 63:12	11:19 185:13
e	57:20 141:9,12	emphasis 5:11	era 114:9
e 2:1,1 3:1,1,2,2	142:19 143:11	68:9,15	eric 146:17
12:3 17:13,14	143:11,14,16	employed	166:12
44:11,11 127:3	143:23 144:1,4	47:20	error 165:13
155:9,9,10,10	177:24	employee	esquire 2:3,9
earlier 13:17	effort 29:17	189:13,15	2:14,14,20 3:4
161:1 168:13	eight 13:3	encompass	3:5,10,15
183:21	186:15	168:22	essentially
earliest 13:6	either 34:4	encounter	146:17 147:15
14:3	39:17 40:8	163:22	establish
early 34:23	51:12 76:1	english 2:19 7:5	149:10 151:13
35:1	109:18 127:1	8:4	164:8
earned 10:16	128:11	enlarge 99:8	europe 12:22
easier 13:2	electronically	ensure 64:5	12:22 139:8
21:17 108:11	26:7,9	130:6	evaluate 57:24
151:12	elemental	enter 143:7	59:2 112:10,17
east 177:3,6	159:2,4	entire 113:20	112:22 113:6
eastern 177:17	elevate 9:7	114:24 118:4	147:16 157:12
edge 97:23	eligible 13:1	187:16	157:22 176:2
111:20 123:3	eliminate	entirely 29:13	evaluated
140:22 141:8	150:21	29:14 118:14	164:24 165:4
141:12 142:9	elimination	152:8	172:13
142:18,18	100:15,16	entitled 1:19	evaluating 68:2
143:11,14,23	elongated	104:12 182:17	86:4 97:19
144:22 145:23	105:20 153:6	entries 54:9,21	99:14 111:16
			112:20 129:11

[eventually - fairfax]

Page 18

eventually 57:6	exhibit 23:4,7,9	121:14,24	expiration
ewald 2:14	23:13,15,22,24	122:1,10,20,22	189:22
exact 32:5	24:5 25:1 26:6	123:2,8 133:7	explain 98:6
107:21 170:4	26:10,22 27:9	141:20 143:12	99:3 140:9
exactly 79:19	28:8,9 29:5,5	144:14 145:2	149:3 155:22
81:19 134:17	30:1,8,14 34:19	148:16 154:8	166:2 182:13
165:21 180:4	34:20 37:6	157:17,18	explains 79:6
exam 56:3	38:21 40:23,24	158:5 161:5	export 40:22
examination	42:5,5,8 48:14	165:24 171:8	expressed
7:7 81:7 189:5	53:14,15 54:16	171:10,14,16	69:22
examinations	55:12,12 60:15	171:17,19	extent 49:24
51:6	60:15 63:9,11	174:3,6 177:15	132:1 164:13
examine 97:4	66:22 67:11,19	177:18 178:14	eye 111:15
100:6 114:16	67:22 68:7,11	178:23 179:19	f
145:12 178:2	70:9,11 72:1,3	180:3 182:15	face 40:8,8
187:17	73:12 75:13,16	182:19,24	facilities 12:21
examined	76:16,17 79:9	183:22,22,23	fact 28:18
145:20 160:4,5	79:11 81:4	185:4 186:15	58:11 107:14
example 58:5	84:16 86:11,12	187:2	114:23 134:7
69:2,18 73:10	94:20,21,23	exhibits 4:9 5:2	140:3 173:23
86:22 93:18	97:10,11 99:7	6:2 23:2,4 26:7	factor 128:15
107:24 114:14	99:18 102:23	67:24 94:18	185:1
126:1 135:9	103:18,19,21	108:17 129:6	factors 184:22
138:22 142:22	104:5,8,12,14	existence 162:5	facts 37:3
143:4 145:19	104:20,21	181:2	173:20 179:4
151:1,8 176:22	105:2,7,8,16	expensive 126:4	faded 174:12
examples	106:3,13,14,15	experience	179:9
141:21	106:15,23,23	64:22	fail 163:12,18
exceed 143:1,18	107:2,5,6	experienced	170:7
143:20	108:18 109:4,8	135:23 139:24	failed 162:13
exception 55:7	110:1,3,8,11,16	expert 26:2	fair 33:16 63:23
excess 55:8	110:16,21	32:8,13 42:22	82:19 85:1
excuse 51:17	117:12,14,15	43:13 46:4 52:2	106:24 170:19
excused 188:3	117:18,20,22	88:24 89:2 90:2	fairfax 3:11
executive 3:15	117:24 120:6	90:3 108:20	
	120:18,21,23	117:9 164:8	

[fairness - fluid]

Page 19

fairness 59:20	142:24 144:18	files 108:18	fire 152:20
fall 85:2	145:19 150:10	filter 124:5,6	first 8:4 10:12
falls 80:10	150:12,16,22	124:22 126:7,9	11:5 13:14
125:14	151:10,15	filters 125:22	14:16 16:7
familiar 13:11	152:9 153:22	finalized	21:21 23:4
24:9 28:10	159:3 176:24	147:16	24:17 25:11
68:18	177:4,16,17,19	finally 170:10	27:14 31:2,5,23
family 69:12	177:21,22	finance 53:10	32:7 33:11
78:11	179:2 183:1	financially	34:18,22 35:23
famous 19:17	184:1 185:6,23	189:16	42:11 43:11,20
61:10 113:18	185:24 186:21	find 20:23	44:3 45:20
far 26:17 46:15	187:8,9,16	32:22 67:6 97:5	46:24 49:6,8
80:19 93:12	fiberglass	101:2 103:24	52:4 59:11,15
125:24 155:5	149:19	108:11 119:15	61:2,10 63:14
faxon 45:1	fibers 86:13	120:15 121:4	66:1,21 71:10
fayalite 78:3	97:2 98:10 99:2	150:19 153:19	71:13 85:15
fda 88:16,17	107:9,14	164:21 186:19	95:22 99:21
89:4	141:21 148:24	187:18,19	106:14 116:18
feature 124:24	fibrous 98:7	finding 27:23	116:20,23,24
february 43:21	107:6 122:24	88:3 131:18	135:7 136:3,4
44:2 48:24 52:6	field 16:3,19	132:2,19	137:20 145:13
feel 8:1 84:8	57:8 58:16	169:23	146:15,20
132:17	59:22 61:16	findings 84:10	149:9 162:9
feet 167:7	105:13 106:15	89:19,21 105:9	174:21 181:8
feldspar 17:7	106:16,20	131:17 132:21	182:23
fiber 86:4,6	113:21 114:4	fine 38:23	five 41:23 87:19
87:9 98:7,8,17	114:15,20,24	44:20 45:9	116:11 135:11
99:9,21 102:3	115:11 136:11	fingernail	151:4 162:12
102:12,22	figure 77:9,19	150:11	162:20 184:14
107:3 108:6	143:10 155:16	finish 38:8 63:7	flip 102:9
110:17 111:6,9	157:9 167:15	110:14 115:7	floor 2:15
111:10,16,20	file 39:5 42:13	134:19 160:21	fluctuates
111:21 112:22	104:24 118:24	180:10	22:10
120:11,12,13	119:7 120:1	finished 46:12	fluid 110:4,9
121:2,18,22	filed 22:20	finishing	112:23 161:16
122:12 142:17		151:22	175:19

[focal - geology]

Page 20

focal 18:19	form 19:12	61:14,14	97:12,13 98:3
focus 5:19	62:22 82:11	friendship	98:16,24 104:1
57:20 103:19	100:8,10	14:21	104:1,9,13
112:22,24	128:13 140:2	front 23:17	110:2 116:9,12
113:1,4	142:14 147:18	29:7 30:7 42:10	117:13,18,20
focused 178:2	155:21 164:18	53:18 64:12	117:22 120:9
folder 72:14,16	186:8	81:4	120:19 121:13
93:15 101:13	formation 99:3	full 47:1 59:5,7	151:3,8,9 152:4
101:14,18,20	forming 17:8	113:24 114:4	152:4 153:6,15
101:24 105:5	17:17	115:11 134:5	161:10 163:1
115:22 116:2,4	formula 82:13	135:18 137:13	166:6,7,13
116:5,11 117:3	forsterite 77:4	166:10	168:2 169:12
118:12,14,16	78:3	fully 31:17	169:18,20
118:17 119:3	forth 189:11	110:8 134:8	176:1,6 177:9
137:20 138:13	forward 94:19	136:8 140:5	177:10 182:22
folders 116:2	found 20:12	function 114:13	184:23 187:1
118:20	21:14 88:12,19	115:16	gansu 16:9,14
follow 9:22	90:10,14,19,22	further 189:7	16:18
77:21 128:22	91:1,12,19	189:12	gap 162:3
129:9 131:22	161:5 163:20	g	garde 2:20
139:20 141:10	164:3 170:16	g 44:11	gateway 2:20
186:6	170:16	gamma 5:18,22	gathered 23:19
followed 94:24	foundation	5:24 6:3,4,5	general 169:8
128:9 165:12	158:22	68:2 69:7 70:2	169:20,22
following	four 2:20 9:22	70:14 71:1,2	178:20
130:20	33:18,18 87:18	74:20,24 75:6	generally 58:19
follows 7:6	100:11 133:8	77:5,22 80:5	79:23 85:10
foregoing 189:7	183:22 185:10	81:11,11,15,17	91:18 131:22
foremost	185:11	81:20 82:14,15	geological 16:9
100:13	fourth 22:9	82:16,21 83:6,9	16:11,13,17,17
forget 31:5	france 139:11	83:10,14,23	31:6
141:17	139:13	84:4 85:18,20	geologists 16:19
forgive 125:17	free 8:1	85:22 86:6,20	geology 10:18
forgot 26:19	friday 42:24	86:21 96:7,8,9	11:9 16:10,11
114:8	friends 14:22	96:10,11,16	19:19 61:13
	15:20,22 20:15		

[geophysics - gunter]

Page 21

geophysics 10:18	140:4,9 144:14 147:3 158:5	goldish 144:20	greek 70:15
georgia 25:3	163:14 166:5	goniometer 150:13	green 12:19 19:21,21 20:2
gerel 3:9	175:6 176:22	good 7:9,10 29:21 40:18	greenish 105:17 111:4
getting 28:4 79:7	177:20 182:5,7 182:21 188:1	45:3 55:24 58:18 80:22	112:6 146:3 154:21
gigabars 60:5	goes 112:5	87:4 91:22 132:16 138:9	greve 44:11,14 45:11,19 46:2,7
give 38:9 79:14 83:10 85:12	going 13:6 17:20 23:3,9,24	google 89:16 91:10	47:20,24 52:11 52:15,17
120:18 122:19 140:10 148:11	26:7 34:16 40:15 46:13	government 9:7,20	grid 16:20,21
156:16 162:7	48:23 50:14 52:15 57:5	grab 78:7	grieves 44:8,9 44:10
given 7:21 8:4 178:15,24 179:20	60:23 64:9 66:19,21 67:18	grade 71:21	grind 17:3,3
gives 93:19	75:13 76:7 79:14 80:24	gradually 183:13 184:11	ground 7:24 17:1 81:1
glad 73:4 98:5	94:12,19,20 97:10 100:7	graduate 14:18 15:2 16:8	grounds 49:23
gladstone 13:9	103:11 104:5 106:2 110:6	graduation 11:11	group 16:15 41:10 42:23
glass 6:8 17:5 101:19,19,19	111:13 114:19 117:11,12,14	gram 35:14	62:10 83:17,21 181:10
101:21 150:10 154:2,3,4,5,14	117:15,16 123:8 125:9,11	graph 78:22 142:23	grouping 122:11 130:2
156:19,20,21 156:22 157:6,8	132:15 143:7 145:1 153:23	graphic 20:19 99:2	growing 181:2
157:18 187:19	160:1 166:9 171:7,14	graphically 20:24	growth 180:11
glue 17:5 150:11	182:21	graphics 144:11	grunt 16:16
go 21:7 22:16 33:17 34:16	gold 4:16 24:3,7 45:16 46:11	gravel 62:5,7	gsa 132:14
50:17,24 53:23 72:9 75:13	136:3	great 8:19 18:16 23:19	guess 12:17 96:1 132:18
80:20 94:18 112:4 132:12	golden 45:14,17 99:12 102:18	35:18 63:18 72:22 93:13	gunter 13:8 14:13,20 27:11
132:13,17,24 133:4,5,16	105:16	146:22	27:14,22,22 28:4,7,16,20
136:19 139:13			30:5,17 31:9,11 31:15,20 32:3

[gunter - hynes]

Page 22

32:17 33:5 34:1 36:12,13,17,23 45:22 46:1,3,9 47:4 49:14 71:18 74:7,12 95:23,23 119:19 172:4 gunter's 36:14 74:9,15 85:15 guys 14:21,22 15:2,19,19 39:1 49:6	happens 141:14 144:9 186:20 hard 150:19 hate 65:24 110:13 hd 93:19 health 90:19 heard 15:1 26:1 74:8 hearing 35:23 36:5 49:8 56:15 56:17 61:4 heating 150:23 heavy 45:4 height 167:5,6 held 1:22 heldrich 1:22 help 131:8 146:22 helps 79:7 henrich 3:14 hercules 12:17 12:18,20 13:4 hereinbefore 189:10 hesitate 8:8 hess 57:3 59:17 139:17 145:6 145:20 hess's 56:21 57:24 59:3 hidden 37:10 high 86:19,20 86:20 100:17 136:18 137:4 162:6 169:24	higher 69:3 75:5 85:10,11 87:19,20 101:2 125:8 145:17 147:23 153:10 153:10 161:7 161:24 162:12 162:21 163:1,7 178:5 highest 74:21 74:23 167:24 168:3,13,17 hill 3:16 hired 90:10 history 149:3 hmm 13:10 27:13 29:8 37:20 54:17 57:9 60:3 63:17 65:21 72:8 123:5 161:14 170:1 176:12 176:15 hold 40:15 home 11:20 15:10 56:3 honeymoon 9:17 hope 93:6 146:6 horizontal 99:10 111:9 123:12,14 horse 56:1 126:24 host 31:19	hotel 1:22 hour 43:7 53:4 54:10 hours 54:7,21 54:22,22 187:22 hued 125:9 hydroxyl 181:6 181:10 hynes 2:14 8:13 17:14 19:12 33:17 35:2 37:2 38:8,17 40:18 41:22 43:15,16 43:20 44:1,11 48:1,5,14,16,21 49:12,19,23 50:6,9,17,23 52:10,11,16,18 53:22 58:13 62:22 63:6 64:15 76:10 78:14 80:20 81:22,24 82:11 83:3 89:7 91:24 100:10 104:20 105:2 119:2 128:13 129:3 129:14 131:12 132:5,23 140:2 141:15 142:4 142:14,20 146:11 147:18 155:21 158:4 158:11 159:10 160:21 164:18
h			
h 17:13 h4 126:23 half 116:20,21 137:13 172:5 halfway 135:7 halo 146:9 147:16 halos 146:3 hand 76:8,20 80:17 137:16 157:24 158:19 166:16 hands 55:1 hang 38:9 hanging 139:14 happen 143:3 180:18 happened 20:10 happening 37:22			

[hynes - incomplete]

Page 23

167:22 168:24 172:22 173:13 173:20 174:10 178:18 179:4 179:24 180:7 186:8,23 188:1 hynes's 52:14 hypothetical 129:14 164:19	180:4 187:3 identifies 171:3 identify 16:23 17:6,16 27:17 36:7 98:1,4 111:6,21 123:15 176:23 identifying 82:22 102:23 109:2 118:1 122:7 131:23 170:21 171:4 illumination 123:23 125:18 134:5,8 136:1,8 136:10 137:18 137:23 138:13 140:5 image 6:8 97:7 97:9,21 103:4,5 103:12,12,16 103:21,24 104:1,7,11,13 104:14,16 105:13 106:7,9 106:12 107:2,6 108:10 109:4 112:21 113:20 113:23 114:1,3 114:10,12,14 114:17,24 115:1,4,10,11 115:12,12,18 120:16 122:17 126:15 127:19 127:21 128:11	129:21,24 130:6 133:12 133:17,23 134:4 135:24 136:2,5,13,23 137:15 138:1,7 138:21 145:2 148:18 153:4 153:19 156:3,4 157:14,18 158:2,6 159:22 174:9,12,18 175:12,23 176:19 178:2,6 178:11,23 179:18,19,22 182:10,23,24 183:17 184:21 185:3,9 186:9 186:10,11,14 187:1,13 images 58:21 94:9,17,18 115:13,16 123:24 126:12 135:17,19 137:21 138:2 138:19 141:18 141:19 144:14 146:2 179:6,8 183:21 imagine 153:21 imaging 139:17 154:1 imarc 139:8,10	imerys 91:19 immediate 136:14 immediately 155:8 immersion 66:24 147:11 immigration 12:13 importance 133:3 important 65:6 115:7,9 impressed 29:19 improper 144:23 inaccurate 15:14 incandescent 125:18 include 41:8 57:7 68:2 167:20 171:24 included 37:9 102:6 168:19 172:14 includes 41:7 93:16 including 34:10 91:18 149:17 inclusion 164:16 incomplete 129:14 164:18
i			
idaho 10:2,2,5 idea 78:23 135:16 164:23 identification 16:15 19:7 21:22 23:8,14 23:23 24:8 26:12 34:21 41:1 42:9 53:16 63:10 67:12,23 68:10 70:12 72:4 76:18 79:12 94:22 97:12 103:20 104:9 109:9 110:2 117:19 117:21,23 120:24 122:21 157:19 170:15 171:11,18 182:20 identified 74:21 118:21 122:8 142:9 162:18 164:14 179:19			

[incorrect - intersects]

Page 24

incorrect 98:22	186:12 187:15	information	interested
increase 137:24	indexes 69:1,3	34:10 65:5	36:20 189:16
independently	70:2 149:2	138:10	interface 98:9
81:14	161:7 162:1	initially 43:11	99:2 142:24
index 4:1 20:13	indicate 116:17	46:9	182:9,11
21:1,3,16,23	116:18 118:23	inner 180:11	185:22
22:3,7 63:20	170:3	input 30:5	interference
68:3,21,24 69:6	indicated 76:3	inside 113:14	184:21
69:14,18 70:7	179:23	instance 126:16	intergrown
71:1 74:20,21	indicates 42:13	institute 10:17	181:21
74:23,24 75:6,9	73:19 95:9 96:6	31:19 67:1,5	intergrowth
77:6,24 80:14	152:16 157:5	146:15 149:12	180:14,17,24
84:6 86:5 95:7	indicating	institution	183:11,18
97:17 98:13,19	121:16 162:17	90:18	184:9
98:22 99:20	indication	instructors	intergrowths
100:12 102:16	119:24	31:20	6:12 182:18,20
109:6 110:4	indicative	instrument	international
113:6 122:2	98:16	16:24 41:18	44:16
128:5,17 131:1	indices 5:10	insufficient	internet 89:14
145:14 148:13	68:9,14 69:21	136:15	interpret 7:2
149:8 150:1,9	70:15 84:11	insulting 64:10	84:6 166:8,20
150:23 151:3,5	148:19 159:19	64:11	interpretation
151:17 152:4	181:17	intensely 79:7	129:2,5,11,13
152:10 153:7,9	individual	intensity 47:1	165:11 166:23
154:14,24	42:12 43:16	133:21 134:5,8	interpreted
156:1,16,23	45:1 83:21,22	135:19,23	98:21
157:2,8 158:24	86:1,2 87:8	136:9,14,18	interpreter 7:1
159:5 161:20	148:24	137:4,4,10,13	8:9
162:6,15	individually	137:24 138:1,4	interpreting
163:20 164:3	53:13	138:6 139:18	128:12
164:16,22	industry	inter 132:12,16	interrupting
165:1,13	100:21	181:2	143:19 144:13
167:19,24	inferentially	interaction	intersection
168:14 169:3	33:7	22:2	20:23
170:16 175:1,6	inferring 72:15	interactions	intersects 77:22
178:5,20 185:1		60:21	

[intrinsic - know]

Page 25

intrinsic 158:24	156:22 170:5,8	88:3,12,24,24	150:19 154:15
introduce 18:5	issued 23:16	90:10,10,11,14	163:11
31:11 32:17	54:15 108:20	90:20,23 91:2	kevin 2:14 3:15
52:18	108:22 116:19	91:17 131:18	43:15,15 80:18
introduced	117:9 134:16	132:2,14,14	91:22 158:10
18:3 32:3 44:7	135:2,2,5	johnson's 88:3	174:16 187:21
45:20 47:19	149:13 182:16	88:13 90:11,15	key 83:7 128:15
48:1	issues 55:1	90:20,23 91:2	khynes 2:17
introduction	135:21 170:13	91:18 96:3,19	killing 168:9
75:19	italy 152:8	131:19 132:2	kilometer
invented 18:2	item 99:9	132:20	16:20
inverse 80:13	j	joined 11:5	kind 7:15 28:3
investigated	j 127:3	joking 8:17	33:7 40:3 66:6
172:3	j&j 42:22	judge 38:6	78:16 80:23
invoice 53:23	january 4:17	july 1:23 51:20	89:4 99:13
54:3,5	24:4,7 46:10,23	jump 123:18	102:10 109:1
invoices 4:23	jennifer 61:18	jumping 185:2	122:13 123:2
53:12,15	62:1 149:6	junction 45:24	123:18 144:23
involve 22:2	jersey 1:2,22,23	june 37:18 39:6	145:4 146:9
54:22 94:13	2:10,21 3:16	39:12 40:1,8	154:20,20,21
involved 16:3	22:20 189:3	54:6 55:4 59:14	154:22 156:14
22:1 32:11,13	jgarde 2:22	63:14 66:9 71:8	157:23 162:15
64:3	job 12:20 16:7	94:2 108:24	162:16 172:10
involvement	16:8 146:22	123:24 182:16	kindergarten
25:2 30:6 89:2	149:11 151:12	justification	165:23 167:4
involving 24:18	151:20	160:23	king 2:13 42:13
is.551 153:10	john 2:14,20	k	56:5
ish 148:1	149:7,7,23	k 135:14 155:9	kkotch 3:17
155:18	151:19 152:6	155:10	klayman
iso 146:18	johnson 1:6,6	kayme 2:6	135:14
147:4 166:12	2:17,17,23,23	22:21 23:5	knew 52:23
166:15 167:10	32:8,9 43:12,12	42:17 66:13	knob 58:8
167:10 180:18	48:4,4,15,15,23	135:2	know 7:14,16
183:1	48:23 52:5,5	keep 79:2 93:7	10:4 12:5 25:8
issue 28:5 64:19	56:14,14,20,20	102:10 139:22	26:1,16 29:18
81:11 82:14,15			32:7,11,12

[know - likely]

Page 26

36:10 37:12 44:15,16,19,21 45:11,19 46:3,7 47:11 48:8 52:9 52:14,18 61:5,8 62:3,9,12,16,19 63:2 64:14,22 64:24 66:3,12 67:3 68:18 78:21,21,24 88:17 89:12 91:4 93:10 95:19 96:2 97:1 102:7 109:16 109:22 111:14 114:13,23 115:3 119:12 119:24 120:3 124:20 125:24 127:6,12,15,16 131:3 134:10 135:22,24 137:4,11,12,14 137:14,17 139:22 140:1 142:21 146:4,5 149:3 152:22 153:3,20 155:8 169:15 175:3,9 175:10 177:12 178:4 180:11 knowledge 118:6 166:5 known 62:4 69:22 156:19 171:24	knows 8:13 46:1 kotch 3:15 kslaw.com 2:17 kurt 44:8 l l 17:13,14 135:14 lab 11:15,22 16:13,14,23 25:21,24 26:1 27:4,18 56:1 73:9 74:1 88:18 88:19 90:10 124:17 126:2 126:24 127:2 140:4 148:10 149:7 162:13 163:10,15 label 108:14 labeled 72:14 93:16 135:18 138:1 167:18 labeling 73:19 labels 93:17 laboratories 131:18 laboratory 25:2 26:3 174:13 lacked 134:5 langer 90:22 language 8:5 large 16:18 larger 107:19 108:9 113:7	122:14 123:10 largest 184:24 law 170:6 law.com 3:17 laws 147:20 lawsuit 22:20 lawsuits 46:5 lawyer 44:22 45:4 lawyers 56:19 64:3 layer 147:14 lead 3:7 leading 54:18 learn 151:22 led 124:1,20 125:18 lee 39:24 41:10 73:24 91:1,12 91:15 134:12 146:15,21 148:2,5 left 25:13 59:22 111:1,2 121:10 123:3 133:12 135:17 136:14 137:16 145:3 156:5 187:8,22 legal 22:17 legals 22:17 legitimate 142:18,22 leica 41:11 124:14 125:24 126:8,15 127:13,16,22	136:24 137:6 138:20,23 leigh 3:4 leigh.odell 3:7 length 185:6 187:16 letter 51:18 70:16 levels 132:19 183:2 liability 1:9 license 189:21 189:22 light 11:20 13:18 14:1,9 16:2,5,23 17:6 17:16 18:11,12 18:22 27:16 41:11 55:20 64:6,23 67:16 95:5 100:12 112:6 121:10 124:1,3,7,21 125:6,15,16 126:8 127:11 130:24 133:21 136:9,15 151:11 153:17 185:12 lighter 96:8,11 111:3 lighting 46:24 57:8 58:6,8,10 likely 126:21,22 153:8
--	---	---	---

[line - look]

Page 27

line 77:21 100:6 100:8,14,20,20 100:24,24 101:9,12,22,23 102:3,11 104:16 111:3 111:18 112:11 112:18,20,24 113:3,11,11,12 113:13,14,15 113:15,18 123:9 125:3 129:19,21,24 130:6,20 131:2 140:24 141:3,9 142:1 145:8,10 145:12,13,21 146:2,10,13,16 146:19,23 147:17,22 148:12 150:17 152:24 153:1 153:13,16,19 153:24 154:15 154:19,19 155:8,9 156:10 158:2 160:7 166:12 174:7 175:4,17,24 176:2 177:24 178:2,3 187:14 187:17 lineation 105:17 lines 100:7 113:6 142:12	147:9 154:22 158:16 link 39:13 liquid 19:1 20:20 21:8,9 22:7 68:21,24 95:7 100:17,18 101:1,1,3 109:6 113:12,16 142:24 145:14 145:16,24 147:2 153:18 153:21 157:7 178:5 185:22 liquids 66:24 67:20 68:1 69:6 list 42:21 75:15 85:6 listed 13:18 21:9 32:22 68:1 70:6 75:18,24 85:17 lists 77:3 literally 64:10 literature 13:16 60:5 61:18 85:14 89:3,6,9 89:13 90:16 164:5 172:9,11 172:12 litigation 1:9 22:22,23 24:18 28:2 32:9 49:15 52:6 64:7,14,17 little 7:16 40:16 73:5 75:12	84:14 99:8 102:19 110:23 110:24 111:4 123:19 125:9 146:1 158:6 186:19 live 12:8 lived 15:2,8 lives 45:24 livingston 1:22 lizardite 79:20 llp 2:3,13,19 localities 85:16 located 62:17 location 83:18 98:3 157:12 165:2 169:20 169:22 170:4 177:17 locations 169:17 170:10 186:21 logic 152:12 long 14:22 26:16 66:23 171:12 longo 15:13,24 25:8,12,23 27:15,23 38:3 41:12 46:24 56:15 74:9,11 81:6,19 88:11 95:22 98:21 148:18 160:16 160:18,23 163:23 164:1	166:5,18 167:17 169:10 170:13 171:21 174:13 longo's 6:9 25:2 35:23 37:1 47:17 49:8 51:14 54:11 81:24 82:4,21 88:2 105:9 107:13 114:21 119:15 124:17 165:11 171:10 181:20 look 8:19 11:22 33:4 60:2 74:19 74:19 89:1 95:10 99:7,11 104:4 106:13 107:16 114:19 117:16 121:17 129:23 133:3 136:2 137:19 145:13 147:2,2 149:4 150:22 153:20 155:23 156:4 157:16 158:11 159:23 166:11 168:1 172:22 173:4 174:8 175:14 176:24 177:5 177:21 178:20 178:21 181:13 182:12 183:8
--	--	--	--

[looked - marked]

Page 28

looked 45:12 74:14 79:13 90:6 93:13 127:18 129:6 132:22 141:18 170:24 175:4 185:14 looking 10:8 60:6,8 73:11,13 77:9,19 89:9 91:9 93:17 95:19 96:2 97:1 103:17 104:11 105:15 111:11 120:7 123:12 133:7 135:24 137:10,14 138:6 140:14 142:1 152:19 153:5 154:5 165:17,24 173:7 174:2,7 175:18 177:21 183:23 looks 33:7 105:16 122:16 127:19 152:19 157:4 158:6,9 173:3 174:12 178:20 lot 7:17,24 17:2 20:14 21:17 29:17 126:4 130:12 138:9 144:9 156:23	love 140:4,9 166:3 low 86:21,22 100:17 137:4 145:15 168:16 lower 101:3 145:17 lowest 167:23 168:3 luke 61:12,12 61:14 lunch 87:23 91:24 93:4 131:17 luncheon 92:3 lyon 139:10 m m 2:9 3:1 116:18 117:13 127:3 135:14 173:13 m12001 93:19 115:23 116:14 116:17,22 117:3 119:4 162:9 m12001.1.550 116:5 m2000 117:13 m2001 6:3,4,5 6:6,7 117:13,18 117:20,22 120:23 122:20 m7 156:21,23	m71171-001 183:1 m71202-005... 187:2 m71547-001... 174:11 178:15 178:24 180:4 m71547-001... 179:20 m71547-001... 178:7 made 43:20 129:5 160:18 magenta 96:17 121:23 magnesium 181:5,9 maintained 34:3 majority 136:11 make 21:4,15 33:2 37:14 38:9 78:17 110:20 119:9 124:7 129:1,20 141:2 151:12,17 160:9 174:9 177:12 makes 13:1 38:16 181:12 making 129:10 135:6 man 21:21 mandarin 7:1	manipulated 58:11 138:19 manufacture 67:14 maple 2:9 mapping 16:17 16:19 march 42:11 43:10,21 44:2 48:24 51:18,23 52:7 53:3 54:4 55:3,7 margaret 3:5 mark 13:13 26:6,13 94:18 103:17 104:5 117:11 120:17 137:9 157:16 171:8 marked 23:8,14 23:22 24:8 26:11 34:20 40:24 42:5,8 53:15 63:10 66:20 67:12,23 68:10 70:12 72:4 76:18 79:12 94:22,24 97:12 103:20 104:9 109:9 110:2 117:19 117:21,23 120:24 122:21 138:15 154:7 157:19 171:11 171:18 182:20
--	---	---	---

[market - meeting]

Page 29

market 2:4	152:11 159:1,8	31:18 33:9 90:9	measure 5:10
marketing 1:7	169:4,6 170:6,8	99:24 131:20	60:17 68:8,13
marking 53:12	materiality	131:22 132:1,7	81:14,14 108:7
76:10,13,14	184:1	132:10,19	124:4 128:17
married 15:6	materials 5:11	146:16 147:5	150:1,9,21
maryland	27:12 68:9,14	160:8 169:11	151:11,15,16
61:11 65:1	94:6 101:16	169:16	156:18 157:8
88:18	138:14	mccrone's	167:4
mas 1:4 25:2,11	mathematically	132:21	measured 69:1
25:18,21 26:4	86:16	mcdevitt 3:14	74:24 85:16
26:17 126:17	mathematics	mdl 1:4 23:10	149:8 163:19
127:6,10 136:2	20:15	41:14,15,19	measurement
mass 123:3,10	matt 30:23 31:9	42:23 49:11	71:3,4 86:6
massachusetts	31:11,15 32:3,8	50:2,24 55:1	151:24
19:16	32:12 33:23	66:13 108:21	measurements
master's 10:17	34:4,11 36:10	108:22 134:16	86:14 152:5
match 101:4	37:9 39:17	135:2	measuring
113:17,19,19	71:16 74:7	mean 14:20	100:12,19
150:24 153:15	91:15 93:22	26:16 27:3	128:4 151:9
155:4,5,5,13,24	94:2 139:5,7	30:13 48:16	159:7 169:4
156:2,7 157:6	172:14	64:10 65:11,12	mechanical
175:2,11,14	matter 1:19	77:8 88:7 98:18	40:16
187:19,20,20	24:18 46:18,19	104:16 167:7	medicated 4:17
matches 107:21	133:2 140:3	168:18 169:24	24:3,7
matching 20:23	matters 22:12	172:20 180:21	medium 137:5
63:20 147:4	32:9	meaning 34:5	meet 7:11,12
156:11 166:9	max 138:17	72:14 177:2	14:13 25:23
167:11,12	maximum 83:9	meaningless	31:2 44:6 49:19
mate 15:14,15	83:9,14,16	83:5	61:2 63:19
material 4:19	86:19,20 137:3	means 108:5	143:3
21:3,20 26:11	138:1,2	116:22 127:22	meeting 31:7
26:23 36:11	mccarter 2:19	128:21 150:15	34:5 39:2 40:2
55:1 67:2,5,5	mccarter.com	153:15	48:4 52:16 61:6
74:15 149:11	2:22	meant 9:15	61:9 132:12,16
149:14,23	mccrone 18:5	66:4 116:23	139:8,10
151:14,16	18:15 20:19	167:23 180:21	

[meetings - misidentified]

Page 30

meetings 48:22	131:22	125:1,3,4	mineral 16:15
meiji 127:3	methvin 3:4	126:10,14,17	17:12 21:12
member 78:3	mexico 11:4	127:3,4,17,23	64:18 67:5
180:19	michael 42:12	133:18 134:9	76:23 78:5,6
members 78:4	55:10	135:19 136:19	83:21,22 84:2
menagerie	michelle 3:10	136:24 137:2,6	98:6 150:10,12
112:7	mickey 13:8	138:3,20,21,23	169:6 180:18
mention 56:24	14:13,20 15:6	140:4,7 185:12	183:6
91:8	34:1 36:12	microscopes	mineralogist
mentioned	49:14 74:7,8,12	58:7 115:19	18:2 19:18
61:21 65:15,16	74:14 136:3	125:22 127:12	61:16,17 62:2
merged 145:24	172:4	microscopist	169:2
157:4	micro 132:12	130:24	mineralogy
mesh 108:15	132:16	microscopy	5:15,16 10:17
message 37:6	micrograph	7:17 13:19 14:1	11:9 21:13
met 14:16	58:15 96:17	14:9 16:2,5	62:17 75:19,21
25:11 31:5,18	152:16 157:15	17:16 64:6,23	76:5,15,17 78:4
31:23 32:16	micrometer	67:16 100:9	79:11
33:11 40:4 44:3	5:19,21 103:6,7	127:11 130:24	minerals 13:19
44:24 45:19	103:9,15,19	153:3	16:22 17:8,17
46:2 47:4 48:7	104:1,8,12,18	middle 38:11	62:14 67:1 69:2
48:9 49:5,6,8	104:24 105:1,5	110:23 112:5	69:12 70:7 77:4
50:24	105:8,11,13	120:7 123:1	80:6 81:6 161:6
metal 18:17,19	106:23 172:23	142:18 144:20	180:15
meters 16:21	micron 159:3	158:19 179:2	mines 90:14
method 5:13	microns 17:4	179:23 180:6	minimum
18:5 19:6,9,14	microscope	180:19 183:17	83:10,10,14,16
21:21,21 70:5	11:20 16:24	miles 3:4	137:3,13
70:10,11 85:24	17:6 41:11,13	milled 118:13	mining 62:13
100:12,14,16	55:17,19,21	118:15	ministry 16:11
100:20 101:7	56:2,8 59:9,12	millimeter	minus 81:18
128:6,9 130:22	59:16 60:18	18:18	82:14 83:10
131:4 147:20	100:13 108:12	mind 77:16	minutes 38:21
150:17	113:22 114:4,7	79:3 93:7	41:23
methodology	114:10 124:6,9	140:10	misidentified
17:23 82:1	124:13,16		24:1,5

[misidentifiedas - never]

Page 31

misidentifiedas 4:15 misinterpreta... 182:3 misinterpreted 84:1 misinterpreting 160:13,16 mismatch 113:19 missing 57:17 mission 16:14 misspeak 24:15 misstates 37:3 78:14 81:24 142:4 167:22 mister 52:10 misunderstan... 177:11 mixture 171:19 172:15 179:21 mm 13:10 27:13 29:8 37:20 54:17 57:9 60:3 63:17 65:21 72:8 123:5 161:14 170:1 176:12 176:15 mode 104:3 113:3,3 145:21 146:10 157:14 model 124:14 126:1,1,3 127:3 136:18	models 126:24 modify 50:14 molecule 181:6 moment 75:14 136:17,20 141:19 money 47:16 monica 73:24 monitor 42:10 114:9 115:2 158:8,12 montgomery 3:6 month 55:8 59:13 108:20 108:24 170:17 months 30:18 30:20,21,22 146:14,21 morning 7:9,10 34:23 morph 180:18 morse 19:16,17 19:22,23 20:8,9 20:16,18 21:19 morty 49:2,8 moscow 9:13 9:20 10:2,5,6 mount 68:21 150:10,12 mounted 72:23 73:20,21 94:14 171:20 173:12 mounting 150:14	move 11:7 movement 113:10 mparfitt 3:12 mss 4:16 24:2,6 mulberry 2:21 multidistrict 22:23 multination 12:20 multiple 47:10 84:11 86:13,13 99:18 muscovite 17:7 n n 2:1,4 3:2 69:5 135:14 name 13:14 17:12 24:17 25:20 27:2,2 46:7 48:8,9 75:24 104:24 114:8,8 118:24 119:7 120:1 149:7,12 named 19:15 42:12 45:1 103:9 names 45:3 149:5 nanometer 21:1 156:24 166:9 narrow 166:14	national 62:5 90:18 149:12 natural 59:21 naturalized 12:16 13:1,3 naturally 163:20 164:3 nature 24:21 49:15 51:8 58:24 69:22 106:7 navlap 162:9 neat 150:8 necessarily 56:23 167:12 necessary 39:13 74:18 need 13:13,22 26:8,13 39:10 40:14 46:19 59:23 68:5 93:7 98:11 112:24 120:17 129:20 131:3 135:22 150:22 151:7 159:9 170:7 needed 64:6 needs 170:7 neither 133:1 159:3 172:6 175:21 189:12 189:15 nesse 75:20,20 never 7:23 15:12 30:10 48:9,12 65:15
--	---	--	---

[never - oils]

Page 32

89:1 91:16 162:14 163:22 164:5,20,21 166:7 168:1 172:2 173:1 180:23 183:12 new 1:2,21,23 1:23 2:10,16,16 2:21 3:16 11:4 12:6 13:9 22:20 55:19 61:4 62:17 120:18 147:15 189:3 newark 2:21 11:24 12:4,6 nice 7:11,12 93:6 125:4 nikon 126:23 127:1 nine 177:15 niosh 90:17 nist 61:19,22,22 62:2 69:4 149:4 149:12 151:20 151:23 159:7 161:8,16,20 170:5 non 62:21 nonopaque 5:11 68:9,14 nonspiked 97:5 normal 19:10 136:9 137:22 138:2,17 140:6 187:10	north 112:4 157:23 northeast 177:3 northeastern 177:14,16 northwest 16:8 111:5 157:24 notary 1:21 189:2,21 note 53:22 119:2 158:4 174:10 notes 1:18 notice 4:11,12 23:5,7,9,13 noticed 15:12 50:2 november 8:16 8:18 number 78:2 85:6 93:19 94:24 95:8 115:22 119:14 119:20,22 124:15 132:8 173:13 175:2 189:21,21 numbers 87:5 numerical 21:23 119:16 numerically 75:1,2 nvlap 25:5,5 26:2,2 71:6 116:19,24 117:1 119:8	122:5 148:10 o o 3:1 17:13 o'dell 3:4 oaks 3:10 oath 59:4 object 49:23 100:18 140:2 145:17 150:11 154:23 155:21 156:1 objection 37:2 62:22 64:15 78:14,16 81:22 82:11 83:3 100:10 142:4 147:18 173:20 178:18 179:5 179:12 186:8 186:23 objections 132:23 179:24 180:7 objective 18:15 18:20 100:1 106:6,21 112:12,13,16 143:8 154:17 154:18 160:9 160:11 oblique 100:15 100:15 observe 102:9 147:10	observed 113:21 observing 137:15 obvious 145:23 occasionally 160:7 occupational 90:18 occur 32:19 69:23 107:14 143:2 occurred 38:3 october 6:9,10 171:8,11,18 oculus 112:10 154:16 offered 161:20 offers 43:4 office 15:14 35:15 oh 29:18 37:14 42:21 55:10 80:19 125:7 oil 73:20 94:14 97:17 122:2 147:11 150:18 150:20,23 153:5,7 154:14 154:24 156:7 156:18,18 163:7 165:5,5 165:13 177:22 oils 63:21 67:15 68:3 98:8 153:9
---	--	---	---

[okay - outside]

Page 33

okay 8:3 9:9 10:9 11:1,8,14 11:20,21 12:5 12:10,15 15:5,9 15:15,16 18:4,8 18:24 19:4 20:11,17 22:1 22:13,13,15 23:2,21 27:6,8 29:3,16 30:1,5 30:11,16,22 32:12,19 33:21 33:23 35:7 36:21,22 37:11 37:16,17,24 38:11,12 39:9 39:20 40:5,14 41:14,24 43:3 44:18,24 45:10 46:3,8,14,21,22 47:9,23 49:10 51:5,10 52:4,21 53:11 55:2,3,15 55:19 56:4,24 57:24 58:20 59:15 60:14,16 60:22 61:1 62:2 62:11 63:8 64:13 65:8,17 67:10 70:21,24 74:10 76:9 77:17 78:1,5 79:1,5,16 80:1 80:17 83:7,8,19 85:1 86:24 87:18,24 88:7	88:10,18 93:12 95:14,18 97:16 98:1 102:12,22 103:2 104:4,10 104:10 105:23 106:2,10,13,22 107:5 109:10 110:20 111:8,9 114:18 116:3,4 117:7 119:17 120:3,5 121:9 121:19,24 122:10 123:8 123:21,22 124:24 127:5 127:10,16 130:2 133:9 134:2 136:20 138:11,22 139:1,8 140:5 140:11,17 142:8 145:1 148:12 152:14 152:18 154:7 155:3 156:10 158:13 160:11 161:23 162:3 163:11 164:23 165:16 166:4 168:18 169:9 170:3,19 171:21 172:3 172:17,24 173:6 174:5 175:13 178:13 179:16 180:17	181:12 182:13 182:14,22 183:7 185:1,2 186:13 187:13 187:20 old 8:14 older 126:10 olivine 77:11 78:2,2,5 olivines 77:3,5 olympus 55:24 126:1,3,6,10,18 126:22,23 127:1,6 137:1,1 omar 2:3 once 38:18 81:11 143:5 161:5 ones 57:16 ongoing 22:21 online 139:9 148:11 operation 100:22 101:6 102:10 opinion 41:19 46:19 47:2 70:1 70:13 75:8 82:10 88:2,7 97:20 130:18 134:13 145:3 170:22 174:16 183:4 184:16 185:16 187:6 opinions 28:22	opposed 179:7 optical 5:15,16 13:19 75:19,21 76:5,15,17 79:11 80:5 96:5 108:12 186:11 orange 148:1 153:16,17 155:18 order 34:17 99:19 149:10 151:13 176:2 organization 62:4 orient 150:16 151:7,10 orientation 176:10,18 177:1 oriented 121:13 176:3 origin 71:15 original 134:4 136:2 originally 9:10 originated 119:3 originating 75:10 osha 63:4 outline 59:22 80:23 outlines 130:5 outside 105:18 110:24 113:16 123:10
---	---	--	--

[ovarian - pdf]

Page 34

ovarian 22:23 42:23 overall 33:3 overbroad 58:13 64:16 83:4 128:13 129:3,15 132:5 141:15 142:20 overinclusive 167:21 overlap 108:5 owes 174:13 own 41:18 87:9	179:18 180:3 182:24 183:22 184:14 185:3 185:14,14 186:14,15 187:1 pages 5:5,7,15 5:16 34:16 63:12 66:23 67:12,23 76:14 76:17 79:10,11 93:15 171:12 paginated 60:14 75:16 135:11 166:1 paid 47:11,16 paper 33:9 46:20,23 61:18 65:14 68:12,16 68:17 85:15,20 128:19 130:4,8 130:16 131:7,8 160:1 162:8 163:6,9 168:1,2 169:1,2,8,16,19 papers 34:7 63:23 130:9,11 130:12,17 paragraph 77:8 158:19 parallel 84:4 151:8 166:6 167:19 169:12 175:21 176:3,6 176:23 177:1,4	parameter 21:13 parcel 160:17 parental 110:13 parfitt 3:10 part 14:17 18:11 20:12 22:17 77:19 90:5 94:1 101:12,16 111:10 115:12 123:14 142:12 160:17,17 165:7 participate 56:13,19 particle 5:20,21 6:3,4,5,6,7 83:17 84:3 98:3 101:10 103:8 103:20 104:9 104:13 105:15 105:20 106:14 107:20,24 108:3,8 113:12 115:9,13 117:13,14,15 117:18,20,22 120:15,19,22 120:23 122:1 122:11,17,17 122:20,22 136:6 140:22 145:14,15,21 147:3,22	150:19,20 156:5,6,7,18 157:4,14,20,24 175:19 176:17 178:16 180:6 183:15 185:23 185:23 186:5 particles 113:7 113:8 115:22 116:9,12 117:16 136:11 136:11 156:3 157:21 176:11 176:19 178:11 particular 102:12 136:18 148:20 156:3,4 169:14 171:7 parties 189:14 passed 132:7 passing 18:22 past 126:2 150:18 pattern 111:1 153:20 patterns 181:14 paul 10:23 56:20 57:2 139:17 145:20 pay 53:4 pays 43:7 pc 2:8 3:4,14 pdf 40:23 60:15 75:17 133:7 135:10 140:15
p			
p 2:1,1 3:2,2,4 41:11 124:14 p.m. 39:2,12 188:4 pack 73:3 page 4:3 33:2,3 33:3,18,18 39:9 41:6 42:7 60:6 60:8,9,10,13,15 65:18 73:11 75:16,16,17 77:3 79:15 86:11,19 93:18 133:7,8 135:10 135:10 140:15 140:15 143:12 145:2 148:16 165:24 166:1 174:3,6 175:16 177:15,17,20 178:14,23			

[peak - polarized]

Page 35

<p>peak 108:3</p> <p>peer 13:15,21 68:11 89:13 130:3 161:1</p> <p>peking 9:18</p> <p>people 19:23 38:13,18 113:18 146:23</p> <p>people's 36:20</p> <p>percent 109:17 109:18,18,18 109:24</p> <p>percentage 109:15</p> <p>perfect 32:2 33:11 153:15</p> <p>perform 83:1 101:9</p> <p>performed 58:7 81:6</p> <p>perimeter 154:19</p> <p>period 30:10,12 30:13 31:22</p> <p>periodically 132:12</p> <p>perpendicular 84:5 95:4 151:10 175:21 176:4,7</p> <p>person 27:15 28:4 47:24 55:11 61:6 63:19</p> <p>personal 3:18</p>	<p>personally 25:8 27:4 71:14 159:18</p> <p>ph 20:13 84:6 166:18</p> <p>phase 100:9,11</p> <p>phd 4:5 11:8,13 14:19 20:6 31:22 151:22</p> <p>phelps 149:7,7 149:23 151:19 152:6</p> <p>phenomenon 142:3</p> <p>phone 39:22 40:9</p> <p>photo 94:23 95:3 103:8 109:12 154:13 176:9 178:14 183:24 184:14 184:15</p> <p>photocopied 179:7</p> <p>photograph 73:10,12 98:19 103:3 106:4 111:8</p> <p>photographic 179:6</p> <p>photographs 72:13</p> <p>photos 72:2 102:6 115:21 138:16,18 153:24 186:2,3</p>	<p>photoshop 58:23 134:1,2</p> <p>phrase 155:19</p> <p>physical 76:21 158:24</p> <p>physics 158:22</p> <p>pick 80:24</p> <p>picture 102:8 120:13</p> <p>pictures 109:2</p> <p>piece 17:3</p> <p>pieces 185:13</p> <p>pioneer 18:5</p> <p>pittsburgh 4:21 39:6 40:1,4,24 41:2 71:20 72:12 73:1 134:12 137:19 139:14 159:23 165:8 170:17 172:20</p> <p>place 22:9,11 59:21 69:15 157:22 162:12 162:21 189:10</p> <p>placitella 2:8,9 8:21 21:4 29:20 34:10 35:10 51:7 111:23 144:8 168:9</p> <p>plain 186:10</p> <p>plaintiff 2:6</p> <p>plaintiffs 2:11 3:7,13</p> <p>plan 4:21 39:6 40:24 41:3,6,12</p>	<p>plane 18:19</p> <p>plastic 72:7</p> <p>plate 185:11</p> <p>please 8:8,10 39:2 73:2 93:11 158:5</p> <p>pleasure 63:19 80:19</p> <p>plenty 22:13</p> <p>plm 6:11 27:20 27:20,24 35:24 36:14 38:4 47:17 57:1 59:4 110:7 116:18 148:18 182:17 182:19</p> <p>plot 20:19,21 20:22 108:8</p> <p>plus 12:21 128:20 149:18</p> <p>point 57:6 68:18 70:22 77:16 114:23 123:20 128:10 128:23 129:1 129:10 138:12 157:3</p> <p>pointed 64:9 121:18</p> <p>pointing 111:14 121:15</p> <p>polarized 11:19 13:18 14:9 16:2 16:5,23 17:6,16 18:12 27:16 41:11 55:17,20</p>
---	--	--	--

[polarized - product]

Page 36

64:6,23 67:16 95:5 100:12 127:11 130:24 151:11 184:20 185:9,12 186:10,10 polarizer 151:9 151:11 175:18 177:6 183:23 polars 184:15 polish 150:11 poor 127:4 population 108:2 portion 167:13 168:4 portis 3:4 position 109:10 170:20 possibility 114:5,6 167:3 possible 68:24 148:21 149:1 166:19 168:23 postdoctoral 11:12 postgraduate 9:12 potential 49:11 49:21 50:20 162:5 powder 1:7 4:17 24:3,7 45:14 64:19 88:13 90:11,15 90:20,23 91:2	91:13,20 95:9 95:10,16 96:3 96:19,23 97:3 105:11 119:9 132:3,20 137:21 164:22 172:15 powerpoint 29:9,12 30:2,14 40:22 58:23 133:8 135:11 140:16 148:15 166:1 practice 51:2 practices 1:8 preceding 95:8 predominantly 110:22 premium 9:19 preparation 50:2,10 51:12 51:13 178:16 178:24 prepare 17:2 59:23 73:8,8 101:3 103:6 150:20 prepared 49:18 73:6 95:21 103:15 105:1 105:10,24 128:11 171:21 182:6 preparing 56:14,20 65:4	presence 173:23 present 26:17 36:3 82:4 86:10 96:19 97:3 133:17 144:10 146:13 164:9 presentation 133:8 presume 41:8 72:17 136:17 139:2,19 presuming 82:24 187:4 presumption 134:3 pretty 26:3 56:2 prevent 18:21 previous 60:11 166:5 previously 45:13 74:11 119:5 121:15 177:24 185:15 186:22 prime 177:9 principal 151:3 151:5 principle 131:1 150:4 prior 25:1 36:5 45:12 48:4 52:15 61:5,9 88:23 94:6 127:18 186:2,3	189:4 privilege 49:24 50:4,6 privileges 50:8 probably 18:3 26:3 35:1 87:12 87:23 103:9 118:23 123:20 153:9 155:9 187:21,23 problem 26:20 56:24 57:1,3 83:24 152:1 186:24 problems 57:5 57:7,8,13 procedure 35:24 128:20 130:21 150:1 procedures 165:11 proceedings 1:19 process 17:21 19:11 67:15 106:7 110:7 128:2,3 produce 41:18 produced 36:24 37:18 72:13 101:17 118:13 119:23 158:7 product 50:6,7 64:19 143:24 163:21 164:4
--	---	---	--

[products - quite]

Page 37

products 1:7,8 3:18 131:19 164:10,15 professional 14:21 professor 10:22 11:4 13:8 19:15 19:17,21 20:6 20:16,18 21:19 61:11,12,14 71:18 95:22 proficient 71:6 116:17,19,23 profound 179:11 program 9:21 9:23 11:8 14:18 14:19 15:2 27:20 progressed 65:3 project 165:8 170:17 promise 12:11 pronounce 67:6 proper 68:21 136:9 137:18 138:6 properties 80:4 80:5 property 13:19 81:13 96:5 158:24 prosed 106:11 prove 41:19	proved 158:21 provide 26:8 158:20 provided 34:9 51:19 53:12 66:19 71:16 74:6,9,12 89:6 93:21 94:5,6,10 95:22 115:10 115:18 116:2 138:14 providing 64:20 province 9:5,8 16:10,12,14,18 psc 4:12 23:13 public 1:21 189:2 publication 24:11,12,16 161:2 publications 7:15 13:6 130:3 publish 14:5 61:18 160:1 168:18,20 published 13:20 14:8 89:12 161:13 163:6 169:11 publisher 20:10 76:4 pull 175:7 pulled 14:4 pulling 137:3	pumpkin 19:20 19:20,23 20:1,6 purchase 55:16 55:20 56:5 purchased 55:24 56:7 pure 97:5 118:9 172:16 purple 102:18 102:21,24 122:9,10 123:17,18 144:21 147:24 purplish 99:13 148:1 purported 74:5 94:14 109:5 purpose 18:21 55:21 100:17 131:7 169:8 purposely 96:22 purposes 111:7 121:22 123:16 put 16:21 17:5 30:2 54:19 67:8 76:12 78:22 96:22 97:9 101:1 106:8,12 107:17 111:23 126:3 152:7 162:8 166:12 167:1 178:21	q qualified 151:18 152:10 quartz 17:7 question 12:11 27:1 35:12 38:9 38:10,11 40:6 40:21 41:13 44:19 50:14 52:12 56:23 59:21,22 63:7 64:10 73:5 95:18 96:24 106:2,18 110:14 127:5 134:19 138:9 139:16 141:16 148:23 160:22 168:6,8,20 172:5 176:16 178:13,15 179:14 180:5 questions 8:12 23:11 26:14 49:16,21 50:20 51:2 56:15,20 58:18 69:10 110:7 128:1 135:16 141:11 168:7 171:23 180:9 182:6 quick 40:18 68:6 quite 16:1 132:8 162:13
--	--	--	--

[quite - refract]

Page 38

181:7 183:10 quotation 68:17 quote 37:10 59:12	ray 150:13 reach 143:6 144:2 reaction 136:4 136:14 read 7:15 51:14 66:16 90:16 161:1 172:9,12 181:24 reading 35:18 39:12 61:17 63:18 64:2 65:18 68:23 89:3 130:7 158:20 161:6 real 68:5 141:13 realize 121:14 135:8 realizing 79:2 really 23:11 82:2 127:4 167:16 reason 22:6 132:21 134:11 149:24 150:14 152:15 158:23 166:4,8 178:10 179:22 186:9 reasonable 129:12,17 recall 25:18 26:6,17 35:8 43:19 126:16 126:20	receive 49:16 received 73:15 73:16 119:21 recent 63:23 recess 92:3 reclassifying 62:20 recognize 54:2 125:4 141:5 record 60:16 111:14 112:2 119:3 154:12 158:5 188:2 recorded 47:5 records 169:10 recur 84:6 recurring 179:5 red 2:10 111:3 122:9,10 123:17,18 127:21 153:17 reddish 99:12 102:18,21,24 105:18 112:6 146:3 154:21 155:18 refer 70:4 128:4 155:7 reference 9:12 66:22 70:21 71:5 78:19 98:2 111:7 119:12 130:19 149:11 149:14,23 151:14 152:11	152:23 159:8 170:6,8 176:19 referenced 78:10 79:17 170:24 references 33:4 75:15 referencing 60:10 78:18 referred 17:21 19:6 22:22 62:14 67:14 79:23 87:16 91:19 130:21 135:13 referring 50:5 60:13 reflect 119:8 155:24 165:20 reflected 77:6 143:7 163:4 reflection 57:20 130:7 140:19 141:4,6 142:3 142:13 143:2 reflective 145:4 refract 20:13 20:24 21:3,16 21:23 22:7 70:7 74:23,24 77:24 98:13,19,22 99:20 100:12 102:16 128:5 128:17 131:1 145:14 148:13 149:8 150:1,9
r			
r 2:1 3:1,2 5:13 12:3 17:13 44:11 70:10,11 86:12 raised 114:19 range 69:22,23 70:1,6,18 75:5 80:6 83:11 84:3 84:6,17,20 85:12,17,23 86:13,14,17,24 87:6,14 98:17 98:18,20 125:14 152:15 159:24 162:15 162:16 163:2 166:7,10,14,17 166:18,20,22 167:19,20 168:5,19 169:2 169:11 183:17 ranges 70:13 86:16 87:2 ranging 77:7 rather 120:12 174:13 raw 106:9 113:24			

[refract - respected]

Page 39

150:23 151:3,5 151:17 152:3,9 153:7,9 156:23 157:1,8 158:24 159:5 162:15 164:22 167:24 169:3 175:1,5 178:5,20 184:24 186:12 refraction 185:22 186:18 refractive 5:10 22:3 63:20 68:3 68:8,14,21,24 69:1,3,6,14,18 69:21 70:2,15 71:1 74:20,21 75:5,9 77:6 80:14 84:11 86:5 95:7 97:16 109:6 110:4 113:6 122:2 149:2 154:14 154:24 156:16 159:19 161:7 161:20 162:1,6 163:19 164:2 164:15 165:1 165:13 167:19 168:14 170:16 181:17 187:15 regardless 35:12 regards 65:20 regionally 9:1	regular 105:12 regulations 63:4 reilly 3:14 relate 105:3 related 13:18 14:8 22:23 32:9 46:4,11 51:16 55:1 69:10 89:9 180:15 relationship 21:14 31:14 34:4 52:10,15 80:14 161:15 176:10 186:13 relative 35:24 52:6 63:3 64:23 81:6 157:12 189:13,15 relevant 75:18 reliable 83:2 relief 145:13,15 145:18,23 146:1 147:10 157:5 remainder 39:20 remains 96:24 remember 31:9 32:5 43:5,22 45:2,2,7,9 48:20 51:9 56:9 85:21 109:23 118:8 121:6 170:2,4 172:10 173:4 179:14	remind 124:12 remove 112:10 145:7 rename 68:5 122:19 rent 15:10 rented 15:11 repeat 74:22 replied 43:5 replies 65:14 report 4:14,18 6:9,10 23:15,22 23:24 24:18,21 24:22,24 25:9 26:11,23 27:9 28:8,9,13,18,20 28:24 29:6 30:7 41:14,15,15,16 41:19 45:12 46:10 47:7 51:1 54:14,20 55:2 57:1 59:17 60:2 81:3,3 89:4 108:21,22 117:9 119:16 127:18 133:5 134:16,23 135:1,2,3,5,8 136:2,3 139:5 144:11 160:15 164:6,20,22 171:1,8,10,12 171:13,15,17 173:2,2 182:1 182:16	reported 84:17 96:19 132:1 148:18 167:17 169:19 reporter 1:21 12:2 13:24 17:11 45:15 112:14 181:9 189:3 reporting 88:4 reports 170:24 represent 116:18 151:15 representative 98:4,13 117:17 170:11 186:2 representing 48:4,23 52:5 reproduction 174:11,14 reproductions 179:7,8 reputation 132:3 requested 7:3 requirement 130:5 research 11:12 31:19 67:1,4 100:23 researcher 62:2 resend 39:13 resolve 79:2 respect 50:9 respected 16:2
---	--	--	---

[respond - russia]

Page 40

respond 38:10 38:22 65:13	25:14 28:21 36:14 45:21	60:9,21,24 66:8 71:5 73:11,20	176:11,13 177:2,7,20
responded 65:12	46:17,20 49:21 50:20,22 51:5	75:13 76:20 77:21 78:12	179:12 181:10 181:12,15
response 37:8 65:17 136:21	54:24 76:3,4 89:9 90:5	79:15 80:2 81:19 84:23	182:5 184:10 185:8 187:7,9
responses 58:1 59:3	130:20 136:4 146:22	85:5 87:1,12 88:9 89:17 90:9	rio 91:19
responsive 65:8 65:9	reviewed 13:15 13:21 51:10	91:17 93:14 100:4 102:13	ris 159:17
rest 151:4 169:21	68:11 89:13 130:3 161:1	105:16 109:21 110:17,19	rj 39:24 41:10 73:24 91:1,12
result 142:2 143:13,14	164:2 173:1 181:20	111:15 115:19 121:13 122:23	91:15 134:12
144:23 145:3	reviewing 20:11 47:16	123:6 125:2,20 128:3,6,24	rls 1:4
resulted 33:9	revised 21:19	131:16 133:13	rmh 3:17
resulting 159:5	revising 20:9	133:23 135:3	robert 45:1
results 75:2 89:12 129:23	ri 5:5,7 63:20 67:11,22 110:9	137:2 139:19 141:4,13,24	robertson 1:20 189:2
133:2	161:16 162:18	144:15 147:12	rock 16:15 17:1 17:1,3,8,9,17
retained 88:24 89:2	163:7 175:1	147:13,17	rocks 16:22
retention 42:17 42:23 43:7	ribbe 10:23	148:5,9 150:8	roommate 15:12,13
51:17,18 53:2	right 7:14 10:3 10:10,21 14:7	155:6 156:5,6,8	rotate 150:15
retired 132:9 132:13	15:21,23 16:6 20:3,4 21:10	156:12 157:20	roth 2:8
retirement 132:11	24:19,24 25:3 25:17,24 26:15	157:24 158:3	routine 163:10
retractive 148:19	28:3,5 31:17 34:15 35:5,22	158:15,17,18	row 163:13
return 43:3	36:5,22 38:23	158:19 161:13	rti 116:16 118:18
returned 151:23	43:19 45:7 46:5 46:9,15 47:3	161:15,18,19	rule 68:23
review 4:15 20:11 24:2,6,13	49:10 52:9 55:10 57:22	161:21,22	rumor 15:17
		162:1 164:10	run 152:1
		164:13 165:19	running 121:10 123:3
		165:22 166:16	runs 77:22 123:9
		166:16 170:21	russia 9:17 10:7 18:2
		173:18 174:2	
		175:16,22	

[s - section]

Page 41

s	173:8,10,11,24 178:9,16 179:1 179:20 sampled 22:4 samples 16:20 16:22,22 17:2 19:8 27:17,24 36:7 41:13 56:3 68:22 71:24 72:5 86:1 93:14 93:15,23 94:2,6 94:9,13 106:19 137:21 172:18 sanchez 30:23 31:2,5,10,12,15 32:3,8,12 33:24 34:4,11 35:13 36:10 37:9,12 37:21 39:17,22 40:7,12 41:4 71:16,19,19 74:7 91:15 93:22 94:2 95:23,24 139:5 172:14 sand 10:18 62:5 62:7 sandra 1:20 189:2 save 73:2 saved 73:4 saw 9:12 25:15 43:2 75:23 76:22 80:8 119:21 126:1 136:5 150:24	168:17 178:8 saying 30:20 45:17 61:15 64:2 78:24 79:1 86:3 91:11 98:17 104:14 111:15 112:9 115:6,15 118:20 137:12 139:20,22 147:14 148:7 152:2 155:17 157:22 159:15 161:23 162:16 163:7,24 166:17 167:20 168:1 169:8 173:18 175:5 176:15 187:4 says 9:20 27:9 28:1 37:9 39:12 63:18 65:18 68:20 73:13 77:13,20 79:4 80:5 93:18 147:22 161:6 175:1 sb 71:21 scale 103:3,5,8 106:3,6,8,12 159:3 scheduled 65:2 school 10:5 15:14,20 90:14 science 7:17 9:21 10:17	sciences 10:19 scientifically 82:9 83:1 scientist 74:1 88:12 scientists 129:12,17 screen 27:11 33:5 34:15 60:9 76:13 93:14 114:17 115:24 120:7 122:12 122:15 157:21 158:12 170:9 179:3,23 180:6 screening 100:16 screwed 80:23 scroll 183:20 sdm 132:15 search 14:2 89:16 91:11 second 33:18 38:9 40:15 42:6 42:6,20 63:22 65:18 70:15 93:18 104:15 116:21 120:18 122:19 147:8 147:14 149:7 149:20 185:1 section 6:10 29:9 68:20 76:23 77:2,13 77:15 79:13,15 87:22 111:9,15
s 2:1 3:2 17:13 69:5 sabbatical 11:3 11:6 saed 181:13 safety 90:18 sailed 140:13 sake 181:8 sales 1:8 sample 5:17,18 40:3 71:11,19 71:20 72:11 85:17 88:17,20 94:21 95:11,16 95:24 96:22 97:4,11,13,20 99:18 100:24 101:3 103:6,6 103:15 104:24 105:10,23 106:8,17 108:2 108:8,11 109:12,16 110:3 118:4,7 118:10,22 119:1,13,21 120:2 133:3 135:13,14 140:8 150:14 150:22 152:23 159:8 162:10 163:10,12,16 169:18 170:3,4 171:20 172:17			

[section - shown]

Page 42

112:5 133:6	161:11 169:4	series 4:20 13:5	95:1,3,10,15,20
156:5 160:13	170:5 172:24	34:20 53:11	95:22 96:6,16
160:15 171:14	173:17 174:24	63:15 78:5	97:11 102:3
171:15,17	182:10,23	90:19 103:5	109:5,13,16
173:6,7,8 177:8	183:1 184:3,20	122:4 126:5,5,5	110:8 118:15
180:9	186:24	141:19 159:7	118:17 172:13
sections 76:11	seeing 129:2	169:16 180:18	172:23
see 9:15 13:3	seem 173:4	serpentine	sg210 5:23,24
15:6 25:10	seems 162:4	17:10 78:12	109:8 110:1
27:10 28:2	seen 70:24 99:6	serpentes	shake 158:21
32:21,23 33:1	102:7 114:3	79:18	sheet 4:18
35:15 36:21	138:17 146:2,3	serve 90:2	26:10,23 66:22
37:10 39:2,7,14	162:14 164:5	served 25:5	shelley 75:22
39:24 40:13	164:20,21	46:3	76:15
42:14,18,19,24	168:14 174:6	serves 32:8	shi 7:1
45:6 48:13	select 68:20	services 4:19	ship 140:13
51:14,20,21	99:16	26:11,24	shirley 2:3
54:16 69:8	selection 66:23	session 50:11	short 28:17
74:18 75:22	seller 55:17	64:4 65:4 93:1	33:20 47:5
77:7,14,20 80:7	sem 108:10,11	set 27:6 47:12	shot 28:17
80:11 81:1	send 35:14 73:3	69:5 146:16	47:10
82:18 83:15	sense 21:4	149:20 156:21	show 42:6
84:17 86:18	38:16 65:9	156:23 161:9	98:20 101:21
99:10,24	120:1 131:6	189:10	103:7,11
100:17 101:24	150:9 175:17	sets 149:16	104:16 105:2
101:24 102:10	sensitive 22:7,8	156:22	112:1 120:16
103:14 107:19	sent 5:14 16:22	setting 100:1	122:16 136:7
107:23 113:11	20:10 54:3	135:22,23	140:4 142:23
119:14 121:2,7	63:14 71:19,24	137:11 154:15	153:1 174:21
122:24 129:20	72:3,5,14,17,19	160:8	181:23 183:14
133:14 136:6	72:22 76:4	seven 34:16	showed 84:1
136:10,13,24	93:16 113:24	63:15 182:24	169:18 175:6
140:3 143:12	119:18 136:3	sg 5:17,18	showing 96:17
144:1 145:11	sentence	71:22,23 72:6	105:13 186:11
145:22 147:1	158:20	73:13 74:5,9,11	shown 178:11
157:4,5,13		74:11 94:14,21	178:16 179:6

[shows - spiked]

Page 43

shows 96:6 155:23 159:24 160:4 183:17 187:19 shu 1:8 4:5,13 7:4 23:14 64:7 65:19 sic 15:10 65:14 65:14 70:10 127:4 sichuan 9:5 side 76:20 111:1,2 137:2,9 157:24 166:16 177:4,16 187:8 187:9 sieve 108:14,15 sieved 108:13 108:19 signature 42:12 189:20 signed 24:17 significantly 69:3 145:17 161:7 silicate 181:5,9 similar 37:1 67:19 93:17 108:6 169:6 178:21 181:5 186:5 simple 11:19 21:7 simply 135:24 137:3,10 140:1 147:10 165:16	174:8 single 55:8 83:16 94:23 150:22 184:4 singular 84:11 86:4,6,7 187:15 sir 107:18 117:24 sit 54:11 115:19 site 25:10 126:21 sitting 43:16 six 5:15 9:21,23 12:21 73:11 76:14,17 174:3 174:6 177:17 185:3 size 18:18 57:13 103:8 107:8,15 107:22 108:3,4 108:8 114:20 115:10,13 skewed 127:20 skill 57:4 slide 105:11,12 143:4 148:14 148:15 165:23 166:5 167:4 185:4 slider 137:1,8 slides 11:23 72:23,24 73:2,7 99:4 slight 96:11 slightly 112:21 153:10,14,16	slowly 153:7 small 17:3 18:17 smaller 108:4,6 113:7 smallest 184:24 society 31:6 software 58:12 58:22 114:7,8 114:10,10 115:3,18 125:22 126:11 126:15 133:24 134:4 solid 19:1 solution 20:19 solve 20:24 somebody 40:11 61:21 sop 128:20 sorry 10:6 21:18 55:12 57:10,13 60:12 62:7 63:6 66:1 67:8 72:9 89:24 101:15 110:15 112:13 134:21 141:17 143:19 144:6,6,13 185:2 sort 33:8 179:8 sound 131:22 sounds 12:10 64:10,11 80:22 182:6	source 119:6 124:1,7 126:8 sources 125:19 south 112:4 southern 45:4 111:19 southwest 9:2,3 9:4 spalding 2:13 42:14 56:5 speak 44:4 speaking 38:18 179:12 special 31:7 species 180:20 180:21 181:21 183:12 specific 16:3 81:13 130:15 169:20 specifically 23:12 102:2,3 124:6 131:19 187:22 speculation 132:6 spelled 79:19 spend 100:23 spending 7:18 spike 96:22 97:2 180:1 spiked 95:10,16 96:21 108:2,11 109:12,16 118:9,10,22,24 119:8,13
--	--	--	--

[spiked - structure]

Page 44

173:15,16,22 173:24 spiking 96:4 spindle 31:19 33:7 150:2,4,21 151:12,22 spoken 44:1 49:2 55:13 66:6 66:10 spot 67:9 sprinkle 105:11 srm 69:5 149:4 149:10 151:18 161:9,16,19 srn 170:12 stable 151:17 152:3 stadiometer 167:5,6 stage 31:20 33:7 140:8 150:2,4,21 151:12,22 staining 5:9 17:22 18:1 19:7 19:11 20:14 21:16,24 27:16 27:21 36:24 57:19 68:7,13 84:2,3 96:7 98:2,10,12,20 99:23,24 101:8 101:22 104:2,7 105:20 112:16 112:16 113:2 128:3,16,18	129:24 131:3 141:7 143:9 144:3 147:5 152:17 159:24 160:2,9 166:11 166:21 174:9 183:5,16,18 185:21 186:17 187:12 standard 21:2 67:15 69:4 124:24 149:11 149:13,22 151:14 152:11 157:1 159:8 161:8 170:5,8 standards 67:15 149:12 149:16 154:10 156:21 standing 146:17 stands 67:3 149:10 star 114:8 starburst 111:1 111:16 start 12:18 17:15 23:3 32:10 52:12 94:19 127:17 131:15 133:6 138:12 168:7 171:22 started 10:22	starting 128:17 143:2 state 1:21 9:8 68:23 189:3 statement 68:22 135:22 161:1,5 166:23 states 1:1 10:11 14:6,10 18:4 20:5 26:4 35:4 45:22 46:2 79:17 status 9:7 12:13 163:13 stenographic 1:18 stenographic... 189:9 step 128:20 steps 22:1 41:7 128:23 129:9 sticker 26:8 stoiber 19:16 stone 62:5,7 stop 17:22 18:1 18:9,15,20 19:11 36:24 96:6 98:2,12,14 99:22 100:2,2,3 100:5 101:21 102:11 104:2,7 112:10 113:2 128:2 132:15 137:8 141:7 142:1 143:9 144:3 145:8,22	146:17 147:5 157:11,14 160:6,8 166:21 174:8 183:15 187:10,11,23 stopped 137:18 stories 14:24 straight 95:15 172:18 182:21 streak 121:9 street 2:4,21 3:5 strength 59:24 60:4,18 stretch 93:9 strikes 139:24 stroke 67:7 strong 153:16 stronger 153:16 structure 16:10 97:6 98:6,14 99:17 100:19 101:2 107:19 111:5 113:14 113:16 118:12 122:13,14 123:1,4,10,11 123:16 145:18 159:2,4,24 160:4,5 175:20 178:4 179:17 180:14,17,22 180:23 181:6,7 183:1,9 184:4,4 184:11 185:15
---	--	---	---

[structure - talc]

Page 45

187:18 structures 176:23 182:9 183:14 184:5 struggling 186:1 students 33:24 study 7:15 107:24 su 1:8 4:5,13 5:3,14 7:4,9 19:6,9,14 21:21 21:21 23:14 27:1 42:3 63:10 72:4,14 93:16 130:21 146:7 su's 119:4 subjective 129:10 subjectivity 155:17 submit 153:24 submitted 154:13 subtracted 86:20,21 subtracting 83:8 suddenly 127:21 suggestion 33:2 65:10 suitable 113:7,9 suite 2:4 3:11 3:16	summary 4:23 53:13,15,20 summer 10:15 superimpose 105:8 106:22 superimposed 103:7 185:10 supervisor 149:6 supplied 154:9 supplier 91:18 suppliers 91:18 supply 91:20 suppose 22:16 96:24 supposed 41:2 157:11 suppressed 133:13 135:18 136:16 137:22 138:2,16 139:23 140:6 sure 23:19 37:15 38:10 44:9 50:17 56:13 78:17 80:22 95:12,13 103:24 107:21 110:20 115:8 121:5 131:12 135:6 141:2 146:24 151:17 159:12 174:24 188:1 surface 103:15	surrounding 154:23 176:24 survey 16:9,12 16:13 switch 21:5 100:5 102:9 113:2 124:4 157:11 160:6 160:10,18 switched 112:9 157:15 switching 102:11 145:21 160:24 sworn 7:2,5 189:5 symposium 31:6,8 32:2 system 114:9 114:11 126:7 129:22 t t 3:1 17:13 69:5 tab 76:20 table 70:6,7,8 87:16 153:12 160:13,17 162:24 166:9 167:1,2,10,11 167:23 169:3,7 170:2 175:6,7 175:15 tables 5:5,7 63:21 67:11,23 128:21	take 8:1 9:23 29:22 38:17 41:7,20,22 93:8 102:8 114:2 123:20 127:24 131:10 155:11 156:10 159:10 172:22 173:1 182:12 taken 1:20 42:1 56:21 69:17,19 92:3 109:11 131:13 148:18 159:13 170:14 170:20 174:13 189:9 takes 12:23 29:17 talc 4:15,16 5:19,21 6:11 24:1,2,5,6 27:17,24 42:22 60:11 62:13,17 64:5 82:16 85:10,13,16,18 85:22 87:13,15 88:3 95:9 103:20 104:9 104:13 105:20 105:20,21,22 107:6,20 108:1 108:2,3,9 109:12 118:6,9 118:9,13,15 119:8,13 127:11 131:23
---	--	---	--

[talc - think]

Page 46

163:21 164:4 164:10,14,22 170:21 171:4 171:24 172:15 173:8 181:2,4 182:1,17,19 183:9 184:8,12 184:13 187:3 talcum 1:6 talk 18:6 20:16 22:14 30:9 56:22 57:6 63:22 83:15,20 85:23 100:7 101:24 148:14 talked 25:13,14 30:17,19 61:15 148:17 talking 23:20 33:6,8 58:5,15 79:22,23 84:8 84:12 88:21 108:16,19 123:9 126:10 135:8 140:18 146:4 147:7,9 159:16 tall 167:7 taller 167:6 target 150:10 teach 65:5 teaching 64:24 tech 11:7 32:24 151:21 technical 19:2 26:2 44:19	46:18 technician 74:1 technique 5:9 68:8,13 128:4 128:16 150:9 151:23 technology 149:13 tedious 151:13 151:20 tell 11:14 40:11 41:8 49:5 50:19 91:6 138:4 154:23 156:17 163:15 174:17 176:9 telling 64:11 temperature 22:3,5,6,8 124:2,8,21 125:9,11,19,21 127:20 temperatures 125:5 ten 17:18 115:21 116:8 tend 132:3 tensile 59:24 60:4,18 term 133:13,14 147:24 terminated 163:14 terrible 8:12 test 5:13 70:5 70:10,11	116:22 117:2 162:10,14 163:13,16,18 tested 163:12 testified 7:5 36:23 59:4 170:14 testifying 32:13 71:7 testimony 16:1 37:3,4 38:4 49:11 54:12 59:8 63:3 78:9 78:15 82:5 117:4 119:5 140:21 142:5 167:22 189:8 testing 71:6 116:17,19,23 texas 2:5 text 37:10 textbook 19:18 20:12 21:12 thank 7:20 8:20 8:20 24:14 37:15 42:4 44:12 65:14,18 161:4 168:11 174:15 181:1 thanks 8:11 15:18 64:7 93:5 theorized 46:24 theory 158:21 thick 17:4 thickness 184:18,19	185:1,4,15 186:4,22 thing 106:24 141:13 146:9 149:9 174:17 182:10 183:21 things 11:23 57:4 58:23 79:22 84:9 87:13 91:9 109:2 129:18 131:23 147:8 think 9:6 13:6 13:17 14:3 18:3 18:4 19:15 23:11 24:20 25:12 32:10 35:2 44:7 46:1 48:19,19 51:14 51:15 55:16 56:16,18,22 57:16 61:3,10 61:18 64:3,17 64:24 65:5 67:4 74:18 79:1 81:13 83:5 84:2 84:12 85:14 87:17,23 88:14 96:18 98:11 101:18 104:16 105:3 107:10 107:23 119:4 120:13 127:7 127:17 130:4 130:16,23 132:9,13 133:5
---	--	---	---

[think - tungsten]

Page 47

135:21 137:17	28:2 29:17	30:3 54:20	140:11
137:20 138:8	30:16,19 31:5	152:7 177:23	transcript 1:18
140:12 141:20	31:23 40:18	180:24 181:3	51:15 189:8
143:3,10	45:20 46:7	told 15:1 30:10	transcripts
145:20 146:12	47:22 50:16	47:13 65:11	51:6,11
146:22 147:7	53:4,7 54:20	88:11 91:16	transition
150:5,7 158:12	58:16 59:11,15	104:22 119:11	183:13,24
160:17 168:22	66:1 71:10,13	148:12 168:13	184:3
172:16 173:7	79:19 87:13,23	took 103:4	transportation
177:11 184:2,4	91:23 100:23	108:10 109:2	55:18
187:23	101:5 105:13	115:21 120:19	trap 12:10
thinking 187:14	106:12 110:4	123:24 137:21	travel 12:20,22
third 4:11	116:24 117:1	138:20 141:19	13:2 18:12
22:11 23:7	135:7 136:3	144:15 187:13	treating 163:12
101:7 137:23	140:12 148:10	tool 167:8	tremolite
162:12,21	151:21 162:9	tools 128:21	149:21
thompson 3:5	170:7 171:3	top 112:6 125:3	trial 51:11,19
thoroughly	189:10	145:2 156:5	51:22
173:5	times 87:19,20	157:23	tried 99:8
thought 10:6	timestamp 35:3	topic 89:10	159:18,20
110:19 131:7	tinkering 40:16	total 54:4,6	true 15:4,5
thousand 31:4	tinto 91:19	55:3 140:19	59:10 67:16
three 79:18	tip 150:10	141:4,6 142:2	96:12 98:12,13
100:1 137:21	177:14,15,17	142:12 143:2	98:19 99:22,22
138:2 151:5	tips 29:22	171:13 185:21	123:13 136:22
156:22 160:8	title 72:16,17	186:18	151:9 153:21
186:2,3	173:3	totally 84:7	155:24 162:7
thrown 101:15	titled 24:1	143:7	189:8
thumb 68:23	117:12 172:23	track 160:14	try 80:24 170:5
time 7:12,18	tm 27:20	trade 62:4	trying 67:8
9:16,18 10:12	today 8:14	traditional	77:18 143:10
12:18,24 13:14	17:21 18:14	100:13	167:15 175:8
14:16,22 16:12	23:10 115:19	trained 130:23	tube 137:15
16:16 22:4,14	today's 158:7	131:6	tungsten
24:17,20 25:11	together 15:19	training 32:22	125:18
25:13 26:16	15:20 28:16	64:4 65:3,4,16	

[turn - utilize]

Page 48

<p>turn 29:19 76:21 137:8,16 turning 29:21 twice 96:9 163:13 two 4:22 21:5 23:4 27:19 31:4 33:3 35:14 38:17 40:1 41:6 42:6,8 63:11,12 64:4 66:18 67:13 75:17 76:4 78:4 82:13 84:8 87:11 106:17,19 107:8,24 108:2 108:5 109:20 115:13 116:19 116:21 118:18 118:24 126:23 127:17 130:17 135:21 147:7 149:5,16 151:3 151:6 156:3 157:14,21 180:14,20,21 182:9 183:2,6 183:12,13 184:5,22 type 24:18 106:7 123:23 169:4 180:15 183:6 typed 29:1 types 49:15</p>	<p>u ultra 17:9 unadulterated 95:15 unaware 115:17 164:15 uncommon 17:9 149:20 under 9:6 52:24 53:3 59:4 70:14 85:23 95:5 115:24 138:6 153:9,13 154:14 173:23 181:13 undergrad 9:23 underlying 147:11 169:13 understand 8:9 10:1 11:14 22:24 24:21 54:19 64:5 82:20,23 84:9 86:3 91:14 106:18 110:8 114:2,5 131:1 152:12 159:15 163:24 165:10 165:22,23 understanding 8:11 11:16 19:9 52:23 64:21 74:6,13 87:8 109:11</p>	<p>understood 82:19 uniform 17:4 97:21,22 union 118:3 unit 22:11 85:6 162:12 united 1:1 10:11 14:6,10 18:4 26:4 units 85:7 162:20 university 9:13 9:18,19,21 10:2 11:4 19:15,16 61:11 65:1 unnoticeable 145:16 unquote 59:12 unsuppressed 133:14 upper 168:22 upstate 62:17 use 17:16 41:12 41:17 99:17 100:4 101:3,19 101:20,23 117:1 127:2 128:16,21 133:12,13 137:16 146:23 148:6,12,13 150:11,17 154:10 155:1 156:14,20 157:7 158:15</p>	<p>160:7 162:10 163:7,17 165:19 167:5,8 169:8 170:12 175:23,24 187:17 used 9:5 19:19 20:18,19 21:2 21:13,20 55:24 59:9,11,15,17 67:15 69:7 99:20 100:16 113:17,19 115:4 126:2,17 127:6,12 136:15 150:13 161:10 166:4 169:4,5,6 180:1 uses 124:17 using 27:16 58:22 98:1 113:5 123:24 124:10,13,19 126:19 127:1 127:17 128:15 131:2 140:7 147:9 149:24 157:10 160:18 162:3 163:11 usp 107:24 usually 18:18 22:9 115:4 122:23 166:13 utilize 8:9 165:12</p>
--	---	---	---

[utilized - west]

Page 49

utilized 46:24 134:9	variation 98:21 185:20	visa 12:23 viscomi 38:7	warm 127:20 wars 114:8
v	varieties 79:18 variety 148:19	visit 25:24 visualization 148:4	washed 179:8 washington 45:24 47:4
v 44:11 vague 58:13 64:15 82:12 83:3 128:13 141:15 142:20 146:11 164:18 valadez 93:19 95:9,16 96:23 97:3,4 109:12 119:6,8,12 120:2 137:20 valid 142:16 value 21:23 53:7 71:6 81:21 81:21 82:15,16 83:23 86:2,8 87:10 98:16,23 104:1 156:16 156:19 157:8 166:6,13 168:14,19 169:20,24 values 22:3 80:15 82:9,21 82:24 85:2 87:11 162:6 167:20 vanderbilt 62:14 variability 159:16,17	various 109:2 149:13 verbal 136:21 verified 159:6 verify 41:14 verkouteren 61:19 62:1 149:6 vermont 75:10 83:18 152:8 version 174:12 versus 96:10,15 98:14 101:22 108:1 142:18 155:18 170:16 184:24 187:8 video 28:17 39:23 40:9 47:5 47:6,10 139:1,2 videographer 47:12 view 57:8 58:16 105:14 106:15 106:16,20 113:21 114:4 114:15,21,24 115:11 133:1 136:12 146:9 virginia 3:11 11:7 32:24 151:21	w wait 38:8 104:15 133:10 walter 169:11 want 22:16 36:19,20 40:2,3 41:18 56:2,3 71:20 72:16 73:2 74:19 76:21 78:17 80:19,20 87:22 93:8 98:6 101:19,20 105:12 109:1 121:4 127:24 131:10 133:4,5 133:6 134:12 134:17 138:12 139:19 141:2 141:10 148:14 150:16 158:4 159:10 160:12 171:6,23 173:16 182:2 182:13 wanted 22:19 41:7 76:19 94:18 110:19 131:15,19 140:14 180:9	watch 54:11 wave 185:10,11 wavelength 21:15 22:2 143:6 166:10 wavelengths 18:23 20:24 21:1,2 63:20 147:4 156:24 157:1 167:12 167:13 way 22:19 34:18 60:17 65:3 70:18 80:6 83:2 85:6 87:1 99:23 114:1 128:6 133:21 134:20 135:1 137:7,14 138:3 139:18 140:6,8 141:5 146:12 165:24 168:7 week 153:24 weight 109:15 welcome 42:3 93:4 went 9:9,16 39:24 134:12 151:21 west 111:5 177:6

[wet - yelling]

Page 50

wet 108:13,14 108:19	work 4:21 9:13 12:17 13:2,4 15:19,20 16:17 22:19 32:14 34:6 39:6 40:24 41:2,6,18 45:12 47:16 50:6,7 54:19 73:1 91:15 94:20 107:14 108:17 108:23 114:21 134:13,18 140:11 152:20 159:23 172:20	wrong 38:14 79:1,1 84:7 89:11 135:8 136:5 wrote 13:7 19:18 37:12 41:15 45:21 wylie 5:4 34:12 60:21 61:2,5,8 61:15 63:10,12 64:22 65:7 66:6 66:10 wylie's 61:13 62:4,13,20 63:3	74:23 76:22 78:8 80:4 82:17 87:20 88:17,23 89:5 94:12 99:5 108:15 114:22 117:13 118:2 119:7,20 121:1 121:20 123:11 135:5 139:7,21 152:13 154:2,6 154:11,18 155:10 163:2 171:5 172:21 172:24 173:7 174:23 181:11 181:15
wheel 137:7,8 137:11,17	working 10:22 12:18 16:13 30:11 34:3 46:6 56:1 61:16 126:24	x	year 8:16 9:21 9:22 11:3,7 31:5 32:6,10,14 32:20 43:23,24 43:24 44:2 45:23 52:7 54:5 55:4 63:15 66:9 71:8 89:20 116:19,20,20 116:21,22,24 126:21 135:4 162:9 172:5
white 124:2 125:5,15,16,20 126:12 127:22	works 44:15,21 44:23 134:20	x 147:21 150:13 x's 147:21 xy 150:15	years 9:23 12:24 13:3 17:18 38:14 89:19,22 127:17 128:19 132:8 163:6
widely 19:19	world 69:15 169:17	y	yelling 50:13
wider 166:19 167:3	worth 167:16	y 17:13,13 135:14 147:21	
wife 15:10	wow 8:19	yeah 11:11 13:12 14:23 15:7,18 17:19 18:20 22:5 25:22,22,22 28:19 29:2,15 32:1 33:15,19 33:22 36:19 37:23 38:22 40:20 41:22 45:8 47:2 48:8 57:4,21,23 58:4 60:13,13 61:23 68:19 71:7,23	
william 6:9 75:20 171:10	wraps 122:13		
willow 3:10	write 28:13,20 46:10,17,19,20		
wilmington 44:3,4	writing 39:17 40:12,14 48:17 66:6 161:12 162:4		
wine 151:15	written 28:9 40:4 47:7 111:14		
wise 128:20			
wish 144:10			
witness 4:3 12:3 14:1 17:12 32:8 33:19 35:4 38:12 41:24 43:13 46:4 52:2 63:8 89:3 90:3 112:15 119:7 144:10 174:17 188:3 189:5			
woke 35:1			
wondering 130:14			
word 67:7 142:21			
words 78:22 165:23			

[yellow - zoom]

Page 51

yellow 127:21
174:22**yellowish**
110:24 125:10
127:19 148:1**yep** 23:18**yesterday**
50:24 56:21
58:2 79:3**ying** 7:1**york** 2:16,16
55:19 62:17**z****zoom** 139:9
189:6,9

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:

(A) to review the transcript or recording; and

(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.